

A Universidade do Porto no Times Higher Education World University Rankings - THE WUR 2015-2016

Reitoria da Universidade do Porto

>> Serviço de Melhoria Contínua. 1 de outubro de 2015

SUMÁRIO

1. Metodologia do THE WUR e participação da U.Porto	2
2. Evolução das posições da Universidade do Porto no THE WUR.....	4
3. Posição das Universidades portuguesas no THE WUR 2015	6

Universidade do Porto no Times Higher Education World University Rankings 2015-16

<http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/world-university-rankings/>

1. Metodologia do THE WUR e participação da U.Porto

"The *Times Higher Education* World University Rankings are the only global performance tables that judge research-intensive universities across all their core missions: teaching, research, knowledge transfer and international outlook. We use 13 carefully calibrated performance indicators to provide the most comprehensive and balanced comparisons, trusted by students, academics, university leaders, industry and even governments. The basic methodology for this year's rankings is similar to that employed since the 2011-12 tables, but we have made important changes to the underlying data.

The performance indicators are grouped into five areas:

- **Teaching** (the learning environment)
- **Research** (volume, income and reputation)
- **Citations** (research influence)
- **International outlook** (staff, students and research)
- **Industry income** (knowledge transfer).

Exclusions

Universities are excluded from the World University Rankings if they do not teach undergraduates or if their research output amounted to fewer than 1,000 articles between 2010 and 2014 (200 a year). In exceptional cases, institutions below the 200-paper threshold are included if they have a particular focus on disciplines with generally low publication volumes, such as engineering or the arts.

Data collection

Institutions provide and sign off their institutional data for use in the rankings. On the rare occasions when a particular data point is not provided – which affects only low-weighted indicators such as industrial income – we enter a low estimate between the average value of the indicators and the lowest value reported: the 25th percentile of the other indicators. By doing this, we avoid penalising an institution too harshly with a "zero" value for data that it overlooks or does not provide, but we do not reward it for withholding them.

Getting to the final result

Moving from a series of specific data points to indicators, and finally to a total score for an institution, requires us to match values that represent fundamentally different data. To do this we use a standardisation approach for each indicator, and then combine the indicators in the proportions indicated to the right.

The standardisation approach we use is based on the distribution of data within a particular indicator, where we calculate a cumulative probability function, and evaluate where a particular institution's indicator sits within that function. A cumulative probability score of X in essence tells us that a university with random values for that indicator would fall below that score X per cent of the time.

For all indicators except for the Academic Reputation Survey we calculate the cumulative probability function using a version of Z-scoring. The distribution of the data in the Academic Reputation Survey requires us to add an exponential component.

Teaching (the learning environment): 30%

1. Reputation survey: 15%

The Academic Reputation Survey (run annually) that underpins this category was carried out in December 2014 and January 2015. It examined the perceived prestige of institutions in teaching. The responses were statistically representative of the global academy's geographical and subject mix.

2. Staff-to-student ratio: 4.5%

3. Doctorate-to-bachelor's ratio: 2.25%

4. Doctorates awarded-to-academic staff ratio: 6%

As well as giving a sense of how committed an institution is to nurturing the next generation of academics, a high proportion of postgraduate research students also suggests the provision of teaching at the highest level that is thus attractive to graduates and effective at developing them. This indicator is normalised to take account of a university's unique subject mix, reflecting that the volume of doctoral awards varies by discipline.

5. Institutional income: 2.25%

This measure of income is scaled against staff numbers and normalised for purchasing-power parity. It indicates an institution's general status and gives a broad sense of the infrastructure and facilities available to students and staff.

Research (volume, income and reputation): 30%

- **Reputation survey: 18%**

The most prominent indicator in this category looks at a university's reputation for research excellence among its peers, based on the responses to our annual Academic Reputation Survey (see above).

- **Research income: 6%**

Research income is scaled against staff numbers and adjusted for purchasing-power parity (PPP). This is a controversial indicator because it can be influenced by national policy and economic circumstances. But income is crucial to the development of world-class research, and because much of it is subject to competition and judged by peer review, our experts suggested that it was a valid measure. This indicator is fully normalised to take account of each university's distinct subject profile, reflecting the fact that research grants in science subjects are often bigger than those awarded for the highest-quality social science, arts and humanities research.

- **Research productivity: 6%**

We count the number of papers published in the academic journals indexed by Elsevier's Scopus database per scholar, scaled for institutional size and normalised for subject. This gives a sense of the university's ability to get papers published in quality peer-reviewed journals.

Citations (research influence): 30%

Our research influence indicator looks at universities' role in spreading new knowledge and ideas.

We examine research influence by capturing the number of times a university's published work is cited by scholars globally. This year, our bibliometric data supplier Elsevier examined more than 51 million citations to 11.3 million journal articles, published over five years. The data are drawn from the 23,000 academic journals indexed by Elsevier's Scopus database and include all indexed journals published between 2010 and 2014. Citations to these papers made in the six years from 2010 to 2015 are also collected.

The citations help to show us how much each university is contributing to the sum of human knowledge: they tell us whose research has stood out, has been picked up and built on by other scholars and, most importantly, has been shared around the global scholarly community to expand the boundaries of our understanding, irrespective of discipline.

The data are fully normalised to reflect variations in citation volume between different subject areas. This means that institutions with high levels of research activity in subjects with traditionally high citation counts do not gain an unfair advantage.

This year we have removed the very small number of papers (649) with more than 1,000 authors from the citations indicator.

In previous years we have further normalised citation data within countries, with the aim of reducing the impact of measuring citations of English language publications. The change to Scopus as a data source has allowed us to reduce the level to which we do this. This year, we have blended equal measures of a country-adjusted and non-country-adjusted raw measure of citations scores. This reflects a more rigorous approach to international comparison of research publications.

International outlook (staff, students, research): 7.5%

- **International-to-domestic-student ratio: 2.5%**

- **International-to-domestic-staff ratio: 2.5%**

The ability of a university to attract undergraduates, postgraduates and faculty from all over the planet is key to its success on the world stage.

- **International collaboration: 2.5%**

In the third international indicator, we calculate the proportion of a university's total research journal publications that have at least one international co-author and reward higher volumes. This indicator is normalised to account for a university's subject mix and uses the same five-year window as the "Citations: research influence" category.

Industry income (knowledge transfer): 2.5%

A university's ability to help industry with innovations, inventions and consultancy has become a core mission of the contemporary global academy. This category seeks to capture such knowledge-transfer activity by looking at how much research income an institution earns from industry (adjusted for PPP), scaled against the number of academic staff it employs.

The category suggests the extent to which businesses are willing to pay for research and a university's ability to attract funding in the commercial marketplace – useful indicators of institutional quality.”¹

¹ <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/ranking-methodology-2016> Acedido 1 de outubro de 2015

De 2010 a 2014, o THE WUR foi feito pela *Thomson Reuters* e a Universidade do Porto participou no GIPP - *Global Institutional Profiles Project*² fornecendo dados sobre estudantes, docentes, investigadores e financiamento. Até 2013, era reportada a lista de variantes de nome da Instituição na *Web of Science*; em 2014, esse pedido foi substituído pela lista de “*Divisions*” e *Affiliated Institutions*” da Universidade.

Para a edição deste ano, 2015, a informação sobre estudantes, docentes, investigadores e financiamento foi solicitada diretamente pelo THE WUR, usando as mesmas definições dos anos anteriores e foi respondida em abril. Deixou de ser pedida informação sobre variantes de nome ou estrutura da instituição.

2. Evolução³ das posições da Universidade do Porto no THE WUR

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Ranking do Mundo	301-350	351-400	351-400	n/d	401-500
Ranking da Europa	130-156	154-180	157-181		202-253
Ranking da Ibero-américa	7**	7-15	5-8		10-20
Ranking de Portugal	1*	1***	1(iv)		2-5

* Ex aequo com a Universidade de Aveiro. ** Ex aequo com as universidades de Aveiro e Valência.

*** Juntamente com a U.Aveiro e a U.Minho

(iv) Juntamente com a U.Minho.

n/d A U.Porto não consta nas 400 primeiras posições.

Evolução dos 5 indicadores globais

	Teaching	Research	Citations	Industry income	International outlook	Overall score ⁴	Rank
	30%	30%	30%	2,5%	7,5%		
2011	17,7	13,0	43,9	33,7	42,0	26,4	301-350
2012	26,2	21,1	50,2	36,2	43,2	33,4	351-400
2013	20,5	17,8	47,6	36,7	43,9	30,0	351-400
2014 ⁵	27	20	44	36	43	31,4 [30,9-31,8]	--
2015	32,0	28,2	37,2	38,7	45,4	33,6	401-500

Como os valores de 2014 foram retirados do Perfil onde eram apresentados sem casa decimal, optou-se por acrescentar o intervalo do *Overall score*. O limite mínimo de 2014 é superior ao valor de 2013.

² <http://ip-science.thomsonreuters.com/globalprofilesproject/>

³ Dados até 2013 retirados de “Evolução das posições da Universidade do Porto nos rankings universitários”, janeiro de 2014, in https://sigarra.up.pt/up/pt/conteudos_service.conteudos_cont?pct_id=20113&pv_cod=55GoHdmanvlg; dados de 2014 e 2015 foram retirados de <http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/world-university-rankings> respetivamente em 2 de outubro de 2014 e 1 de outubro de 2015.

⁴ O *Overall score* foi calculado usando as ponderações dos 5 indicadores.

⁵ Thomson Reuters, *Global Institutional Profiles Project 2014 Profile: University of Porto*.

Evolução dos 13 indicadores

	2011 ⁶	2012 ⁷	2013 ⁸	2014 ⁵	2015 ⁹
TEACHING INDICATORS					
Academic staff / students	34	37	35	41	39.2
Doctoral degrees awarded / undergraduate degrees awarded	34	41	40	51	47.2
Doctoral degrees awarded / academic staff	24	35	29	49	45.4
Teaching reputation	8	18	9	10	20.8
Institutional income / academic staff	19	24	27	26	41.0
RESEARCH INDICATORS					
Papers / academic and reseach staff (normalized)	23	39	45	47	53.4
Research income / academic staff (normalized)	24	23	25	28	30.9
Research reputation	6	15	6	8	18.9
CITATIONS					
Citation Impact	44	51	48	44	37.2
INDUSTRY INDICATORS					
Research income from industry / academic staff	34	36	37	36	38.7
INTERNATIONAL OUTLOOK INDICATORS					
Academic staff - international / academic staff	19	24	24	23	23.2
Students - international / students	30	35	36	38	39.9
Papers - international co-author / papers	76	71	72	68	73.2

⁶ Thomson Reuters, Global Institutional Profiles Project 2011 Profile: University of Porto.

⁷ Thomson Reuters, Global Institutional Profiles Project 2012 Profile: University of Porto.

⁸ Thomson Reuters, Global Institutional Profiles Project 2013 Profile: University of Porto.

⁹ THEDataPoints. 2015. University of Porto.

3. Posição das Universidades portuguesas no THE WUR 2015

	Mundo	Europa	Iberoamérica	Portuga l	Mundo 2014
Instituto Superior Técnico Lisboa	351-400	176-201	7-9	1	n/d
University of Aveiro	401-500	202-253	10-20	2-5	n/d
University of Coimbra	401-500	202-253	10-20	2-5	n/d
New University of Lisbon	401-500	202-253	10-20	2-5	n/d
University of Porto	401-500	202-253	10-20	2-5	n/d
University of Lisbon	501-600	254-288	21-35	6-7	351-400
University of Minho	501-600	254-288	21-35	6-7	351-400
# IES	801	356	61	7	401

n/d Não consta nas 400 primeiras posições

	Teaching	Internatio- nal Outlook	Research	Citations	Industry Income	Overall ¹⁰	Rank Mundo
	30%	7,5%	30%	30%	2,5%		
Instituto Superior Técnico Lisboa	22,2	43,7	25,0	59,8	38,8	36,3	351-400
University of Aveiro	24,8	45,9	26,5	43,6	40,1	32,9	401-500
University of Coimbra	28,3	46,2	26,5	42,4	34,8	33,5	401-500
New University of Lisbon	26,9	44,5	21,9	38,3	41,3	30,5	401-500
University of Porto	32,0	45,4	28,2	37,2	38,7	33,6	401-500
University of Lisbon	25,9	46,6	18,3	32,4	35,4	27,4	501-600
University of Minho	23,9	43,6	20,1	35,3	51,6	28,4	501-600

¹⁰ O Overall score foi calculado usando as ponderações dos 5 indicadores.