Designing environmentally friendly chemical processes with fugitive and open emissions
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Abstract

Fugitive or open emissions can dominate the potential environmental impacts of a chemical process. In this work the design and simulation calculations of a process provide an opportunity to visualize relationships between economic potentials and potential environmental impacts. The analysis of the economic and environmental effects of process alternatives are completed quickly and easily using order-of-magnitude costing techniques and the Waste Reduction algorithm for environmental evaluation. In the example studied, the hydrodealkylation of toluene, both the economic and environmental results point towards the alternative of recycling diphenyl to extinction, which is a form of pollution prevention by source reduction. As open emissions are eliminated, the importance of fugitive emissions is shown to increase. Finally, results show where economic optimum and minimal environmental impact designs occur, and therefore one can see tradeoffs between these designs. Published by Elsevier Ltd.
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1. Introduction

Designing a chemical process normally includes aspects of economic and environmental disciplines. While the desire for profit (or minimal cost) leads to studying the economics, the desire to be safer and environmentally friendlier leads to examining the environmental releases from a process. Releases can be detrimental to the environment and at the same time have a negative effect on the economics. Studying these negative effects will increase our understanding of chemical processes. It is the knowledge produced from including both environmental and economic analyses that this work explores.

The more specific focus of this work is on methods to quickly and easily evaluate the economics and potential environmental impacts of a process that has both open and fugitive emissions. By making the methods quick and easy an engineer can use them at various stages of the development process. In particular, when one can examine processes early in their development, it is much easier to make substantial changes — changes that have large effects on both economic and environmental results. To describe the analyses methods and study alternative designs, we have chosen the hydrodealkylation of toluene as an example.

2. Background

There are a number of methods applied to the development of chemical process designs. To study alternatives at an early stage one can use heuristic methods [1], which employ a hierarchical procedure for developing flowsheets. For waste minimization a hierarchical approach has been suggested by Rossiter and Klee [2]. These hierarchical methods can be contrasted with mathematical programming techniques such as mixed-integer nonlinear programming, which has been combined with a hierarchical technique to develop conceptual designs.
open and fugitive emissions, assuming that 0.1% of each stream in a flowsheet is lost as a fugitive emission. This assumption can be refined at a later time (e.g. [7]).

The process under consideration here is the hydrodealkylation of toluene to form benzene. This process often follows a reformer in a refinery, where the reformer turns cyclic compounds into benzene, toluene, and xylene (among many other species). When these aromatics are separated from the reformate they can be purified and sold or used as individual chemicals. The demand for such chemicals can have a specific (but changeable) distribution, and the hydrodealkylation of toluene is one process for altering the supply distribution. This process, shown in Fig. 1, uses toluene and hydrogen feeds to form benzene. The resulting product is benzene and an undesired byproduct diphenyl, which is formed in series from benzene. An alternative design to that shown in Fig. 1 is to recycle diphenyl with toluene back to the reactor system. There the diphenyl builds up to an equilibrium level according to a reversible reaction with benzene. Descriptions of these processes, including a selectivity relationship used in spreadsheet calculations, are given by Douglas [1], while the kinetics for the simulations are from Luyben [8].

Analyses of the economics for the hydrodealkylation processes are shown in Fig. 2a, where successive levels of detail are added to the process flowsheet structure. Included in the economic potential (EP) are the annualised profits minus costs of chemicals and equipment, including hazardous waste treatment (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [9]) and fugitive emission costs. From Fig.

\[ \text{Fig. 1. Process flowsheet for the hydrodealkylation of toluene, with removal of diphenyl as the bottoms from the toluene tower. Toluene} \]

\[ \text{and hydrogen are fresh feeds to the process, while benzene is obtained} \]

\[ \text{as the tops from the benzene tower and hydrogen and methane leave} \]

\[ \text{the process through the purge and stabilizer tops streams.} \]
2a one can see that higher economic potentials can be obtained when diphenyl is recycled to extinction, and the optimum conversion increases to approximately 0.75 in this case as well.

Studying the potential environmental impacts (PEI) in Fig. 2b shows how increased levels of detail require more equipment and streams that increase the amount of fugitive emissions. The results indicate that recycling diphenyl reduces PEI considerably, especially at higher conversions. This result is due to the emission of diphenyl in the case where it is removed from the process. Even if the diphenyl is treated, it is considered to be emitted to the environment, as the best estimation of the effects of the treated emissions (without knowing the results of treatment) is to use the diphenyl PEI values themselves.

By considering the fugitive emissions from streams in the flowsheet one can focus attention on the most deserving areas. For instance, Fig. 3a shows five streams from the flowsheet according to the EP lost and PEI caused by their fugitive emissions. For both aspects it is most important to concentrate on the fugitive emissions of the recycle streams, followed by the reactor effluent, and other streams. While these streams follow a fairly regular pattern in terms of the importance of EP and PEI, it is quite possible that a valuable fugitive emission would have a small PEI or vice versa. Note that when the flowsheet is altered to consider the recycle of diphenyl to extinction that the toluene tower is not present, and the fugitive emissions from that source are eliminated.

One way to emphasize the differences in the results between the alternative designs is to present them as shown in Fig. 3b. Here, the EP lost due to environmental costs (hazardous waste treatment and fugitive emission losses) is graphed as a fraction of the value of the product. Thus, one spends as little as 1% of the product value on environmental aspects when diphenyl is recycled to extinction. However, when diphenyl is removed the costs are minimal at about 4%, and this percentage increases as one moves towards the economic optimum conversion shown in Fig. 2a. Thus, preventing the pollution through source reduction is the best alternative, in terms of both the economics and the environment.

The amount of PEI that fugitive emissions represent is displayed in Fig. 3b, where the case of recycling diphenyl shows a larger fraction of PEI arising from fugitive emissions. Logically, when diphenyl is emitted openly, the fraction of PEI from fugitive emissions is lower. Thus, a figure such as this shows where one should focus efforts on reducing environmental impacts. As open emissions are reduced, more impact comes from fugitive emissions.
4. Simulating the hydrodealkylation process

Once a process has been designed (in this case using heuristics and short-cut calculations such as perfect splits in towers), it is reasonable to refine the calculations through simulation. This was done for the hydrodealkylation process for the case where diphenyl was recycled to extinction, since it was found to be the better alternative in terms of both economic potential and potential environmental impact. These calculations assumed that a particular feed of toluene and hydrogen (mixed with methane) was available, which is a reasonable assumption following the reformer process. Equipment was maintained at specific sizes, except for the reactor system which was allowed to vary in size to alter the amount of conversion.

Results of the simulations define the needed heat exchangers and duties, as well as the recycle compressor, stream temperatures and pressures, and the flash and tower splits that can be obtained. Using these calculations the potential environmental impact for the recycle of diphenyl case shows a higher level (approximately $1.6 \times 10^7$ PEI/year at 0.45 conversion) than that from the design calculations (See Fig. 2b). However, similar to the design calculations, the PEI decreases slightly with higher conversions, so the overall trend in the results is similar. One can expect a difference between such calculations because the simulator uses more complex models (and better thermodynamics and kinetics than the assumptions used for the design calculations), and the simulator uses a larger number of streams, which adds to the fugitive emissions based on our assumption of 0.1% of each stream.

The rigorous calculations done with a simulator add to our confidence in the conceptual designs. After performing these calculations we can complete a sensitivity analysis on parameters, design specific experiments, or move forward to scale up a process depending on our engineering judgment. The economic and environmental analyses could also be refined. In particular, the potential environmental impacts can be considered according to each category of impact as well as the specific location for the process. These possible next steps build on the design calculations and simulations performed in this work.

5. Conclusions

A design and simulation of a process for the hydrodealkylation of toluene to produce benzene provides an example showing economic and environmental relationships. For this process both the economic and environmental results point towards the alternative of recycling diphenyl to extinction. While even lower potential environmental impacts are obtained by increasing the conversion to higher levels, the economic optimum occurs around 0.75 conversion. Results indicate which streams have potentially the greatest losses in terms of economic potential and potential environmental impact.
due to fugitive emissions, and therefore one knows which streams to focus on for fugitive emissions. A simple display of results as fraction of product value spent on environmental costs and fraction of potential environmental impact from fugitive emissions shows where emphasis should be placed. In particular, as open emissions are eliminated fugitive emissions become more and more important.
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