



FACULDADE DE MEDICINA  
UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO

## MESTRADO INTEGRADO EM MEDICINA

2013/2014

Mário Xavier Baptista  
Radiological predictive factors for the  
outcome of surgically treated  
calcaneus fractures

março, 2014

FMUP



FACULDADE DE MEDICINA  
UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO

Mário Xavier Baptista  
Radiological predictive factors for the  
outcome of surgically treated  
calcaneus fractures

**Mestrado Integrado em Medicina**

**Área: Ortopedia e Traumatologia**

**Trabalho efetuado sob a Orientação de:  
Dr. João Manuel da Costa Ferreira Torres**

**Trabalho organizado de acordo com as normas da revista:  
Acta Orthopaedica Belgica**

março, 2014

**FMUP**

Eu, Mário Xavier Baptista, abaixo assinado, nº mecanográfico 200800165, estudante do 6º ano do Ciclo de Estudos Integrado em Medicina, na Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, declaro ter atuado com absoluta integridade na elaboração deste projeto de opção.

Neste sentido, confirmo que **NÃO** incorri em plágio (ato pelo qual um indivíduo, mesmo por omissão, assume a autoria de um determinado trabalho intelectual, ou partes dele). Mais declaro que todas as frases que retirei de trabalhos anteriores pertencentes a outros autores, foram referenciadas, ou redigidas com novas palavras, tendo colocado, neste caso, a citação da fonte bibliográfica.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 27/03/2014

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

MÁRIO XAVIER BAPTISTA

NOME

Mário Xavier Baptista

CARTÃO DE CIDADÃO OU PASSAPORTE (se estrangeiro)

E-MAIL

TELEFONE OU TELEMÓVEL

13811120

mimed08177@med.up.pt

917026384

NÚMERO DE ESTUDANTE

DATA DE CONCLUSÃO

200800165

Março de 2014

DESIGNAÇÃO DA ÁREA DO PROJECTO

Ortopedia e Traumatologia

TÍTULO DISSERTAÇÃO/MONOGRAFIA (riscar o que não interessa)

Radiological predictive factors for the outcome of surgically treated calcaneus fractures

ORIENTADOR

João Manuel da Costa Ferreira Torres

COORIENTADOR (se aplicável)

É autorizada a reprodução integral desta Dissertação/~~Monografia~~ (riscar o que não interessa) para efeitos de investigação e de divulgação pedagógica, em programas e projectos coordenados pela FMUP.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 27/03/2014

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Mário Xavier Baptista

# **Radiological predictive factors for the outcome of surgically treated calcaneus fractures**

Mário BAPTISTA<sup>1</sup>, João TORRES<sup>1,2</sup>

*<sup>1</sup>Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, <sup>2</sup>Serviço de Ortopedia e Traumatologia do Centro Hospitalar de São João, E.P.E.*

---

Mário Baptista, MSc Graduate *Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, Portugal.*  
João Torres, MD, FEBOT  
Correspondence: Mário Baptista, Alameda Professor Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal, +351917026384, mario.xb@gmail.com.

## ABSTRACT

Calcaneus fractures are fairly common and clinically relevant due to their poor outcome. Thus, solving the controversy regarding treatment and outcome prediction should be a target. This study intends to evaluate the predictive ability of common radiologic tools for the surgical outcome of calcaneus fractures, regardless of treatment modality. 44 patients' records, with operated calcaneus fractures between 2008 and 2013, were retrospectively assessed and imagiology was blindly evaluated. Patients were submitted to percutaneous or open lateral approach. No relevant correlations were found between the measurements on the plain lateral radiograph and the outcome. Fractures were also graded according to the Sanders classification. Type 4 fractures predicted the occurrence of any hazard, such as skin or pain related complications and need for secondary surgery ( $p=0.051$ , odds=14.00 [CI=1.30-150.89]). However, it's still not possible to accurately target patients with high risk of postoperative complications. Until then, follow-up protocols should be maintained indiscriminately.

## INTRODUCTION

The calcaneus is the most commonly fractured bone of the tarsi and represents 1-2% of all fractures<sup>(1)</sup>. It articulates with the talus through the subtalar joint complex, of which the posterior facet is the largest and, functionally, more important articular surface. By supporting the talus, the calcaneus is of paramount importance in transmitting the body load to the ground<sup>(2,3)</sup>.

Due to the complex anatomy of the calcaneus, the fracture pattern is highly variable and about seventy-five percent of these fractures have an articular compromise<sup>(1,2)</sup>. This compromise has been associated with a poor functional outcome<sup>(4)</sup>. Calcaneus fractures are typically produced by an axial force, as from a fall from height or a motor vehicle accident<sup>(1,4)</sup>. Therefore, associated fractures of nearby bones and vertebral column are often present in these patients<sup>(5)</sup>. Severe soft tissue compromise is also commonly present, being often a cause for delaying surgery<sup>(6)</sup>.

There is controversy about open and percutaneous treatment of calcaneus fractures, with no consensus in the literature<sup>(7)</sup>. Most authors agree that a successful anatomical reduction of the articular surface is the most important goal to achieve<sup>(4,6,8)</sup>. The main reasons for a poor outcome after surgical treatment are reduced joint mobility and subtalar arthritis<sup>(4,9)</sup>. The latter is the major cause for disabling residual pain and may require a subtalar fusion<sup>(10,11)</sup>.

Imagiology plays a preponderant part both in diagnosing and assessing the fracture pattern, therefore aiding the surgical approach selection<sup>(4,12)</sup>. The plain radiograph and CT scan provide information on specific aspects of the calcaneus morphology<sup>(13,14)</sup>. It's however controversial whether radiological data may or not play a role on the outcome prediction<sup>(7,14,15)</sup>.

This study intends to evaluate the predictive ability of those radiological tools, for the surgical outcome of calcaneus fractures, regardless of treatment modality.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data was retrospectively acquired from patient's medical records. Imagiology was blindly evaluated.

All patients with a closed calcaneus fracture treated surgically between 2008 and 2013 were included (n=49). Exclusion criteria were: primary arthrodesis (n=2), fracture by avulsion of the Achilles ("beak fracture") (n=2) and polytraumatized patients admitted to an intensive care unit (n=1). For bilateral fractures (n=5) only the foot submitted to surgery was considered relevant for measurement and outcome evaluation. When both calcaneus were submitted to surgery (n=2), the foot with greater articular displacement was selected.

Information on demographics, fracture mechanism, associated fractures and the surgical approach was acquired. The evaluated outcomes were: presence of skin complications (skin necrosis or soft tissue infection); disabling residual pain (mainly due to posttraumatic arthritis); need for a secondary surgery (subtalar arthrodesis or hardware removal). A general dichotomous outcome variable was encoded as the occurrence of *any hazard*, which included the need for a secondary surgery and the presence of skin or pain complications.

### *Radiographic measurements*

All radiological data was acquired by one observer and only the injured foot was measured. All measurements were obtained 3 times and the average of the values was calculated. The acquired measurements were: Böhler's angle, Gissane's angle, tibiotalar angle and talocalcaneal height, as described in Figure 1. All measurements were acquired in pre-operative lateral radiographs. Böhler's and Gissane's angle were also measured after surgical intervention.

The Böhler's angle<sup>(12)</sup> was defined as the angle formed by the highest points of the calcaneus tuberosity, the subtalar joint and the anterior process. The difference between the post and pre-operative value was calculated (*DifBöhler*).

The Gissane's angle<sup>(16)</sup> was defined as the angle formed by the posterior facet and the line that goes from the sulcus to the tip of the anterior process of the calcaneus. The difference between the post and pre-operative value was calculated (*DifGissane*).

The tibiotalar angle<sup>(14)</sup> was defined as the angle formed by the axis of the tibia and the axis of the talus.

The talocalcaneal height<sup>(14)</sup> was defined as the distance from the *plane of support* (the line that goes from the lower point of the calcaneus to the lower point of the 5<sup>th</sup> metatarsal bone base) to the upper point of the talus.

### *CT Classification*

Sanders *et al* proposed a classification to evaluate the amount and location of fractures lines in the coronal CT scans at the level of the posterior calcaneal facet, proposing different surgical approaches accordingly<sup>(13)</sup>. Type 1 is an extra-articular fracture, type 2 has one fracture line, type 3 has two fracture lines and type 4 is a highly comminuted fracture with three or more fracture lines. The position of the fracture lines is evaluated using A-C letters to describe its lateral to medial location. On this study, we chose not to apply this encoding, restricting our classification to the number of fracture lines, as described in Figure 2. For correlations and logistic regression models, Sanders type 1 and 2 have been grouped.

The coronal CT scans were evaluated by two observers. Any discrepancy was settled by consensus.

### *Statistical Analysis*

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

A descriptive statistic of all variables was performed. To evaluate the distribution of scale variables Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Only the *Time to Discharge* was not normally distributed. To correlate categorical variables the Chi-square test was used. To compare the means of parametric scale variables we used Student's Independent t-test and One-way ANOVA, according to the number of categories. To compare the distribution of unmatched groups with nonparametric scale variables Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis test were used. To measure the strength of the linear relationship between scale variables the parametric Pearson correlation coefficient or the nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated. To evaluate the statistical significance of the difference between the post and pre-operative measurements a Paired t-test was applied. The results of the Logistic Regression Models are presented as Odds Ratio (*odds*) with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

## **RESULTS**

A total of 44 patients were included in the study. The average age was 48 years (range 17-73 years) and 86% were male. Female patients were older than male, with a mean of 59 years versus 46 respectively ( $p=0.045$ ). The right foot was injured in 52.3% of patients ( $n=23$ ), the left foot in 36.4% ( $n=16$ ) and 11.4% of patients injured both feet ( $n=5$ ), of whom two required bilateral surgery. The major mechanism of injury was a fall from height, 89.7% ( $n=35$ ), followed by motor vehicle accidents, 7.7% ( $n=3$ ), and 2.6% of fractures was due to an ankle sprain ( $n=1$ ). Associated fractures were present in 18.2% of patients ( $n=8$ ), of which five were of nearby bones and one of the vertebral column.

Percutaneous surgery was performed in 77.3% of patients (n=34) while 22.7% (n=10) were submitted to an open lateral approach. The mean time from injury to surgical stabilization was 9 days.

Early skin related complications were present in 17.5% of patients (n=7) and disabling residual pain was reported in 44.7% (n=17). Twelve patients were elected for a secondary surgery (31.6%). Of those, nine were submitted to a hardware removal surgery (75.0%) and three to a subtalar arthrodesis (25.0%). Therefore, only 39.5% of patients (n=15) were neither elected to a secondary surgery nor had any of the referred complications. Regular consultations stopped after a median of 7 months from the day of trauma. Patients elected for a secondary surgery were submitted to it after a mean of 16 months of being treated for the first time.

The measured values of this study are presented in Table I, along with a comparison to reference values in the literature. Böhler's angle measured an average of 11.9° preoperatively and 17.8° postoperatively ( $p=0.030$ ). The mean restored Böhler's angle was 6.1°. Gissane's angle measured an average of 125.7° preoperatively and 123.1° postoperatively ( $p=0.339$ ). The mean restored of this angle was -2.9°. The mean tibiotalar angle was 120.1°. Talocalcaneal height measured an average of 76.5 mm.

Only the postoperative Böhler's angle and the restored amount of it correlated significantly to skin related complications ( $p=0.004$ , odds=1.12 [CI=1.02-1.22] and  $p=0.170$ , odds=1.06 [CI 1.01-1.12] respectively). Patients with such complications had an average postoperative Böhler's angle of 14.6° while those who didn't had an average of 32.0°. No other measurements correlated to skin complications. None of the angles or distance measured presented a significant  $p$  value for predicting disabling residual pain, need for secondary surgery nor its type. Similarly, none of the measurements predicted the occurrence of *any hazard*.

According to Sander's classification, 7.7% of fractures were classified as type 1, 7.7% as type 2, 23.1% as type 3 and 61.5% as type 4. This classification did not correlate with the treatment choice. An association between type 4 fractures and the suffering of *any hazard* was found ( $p=0.051$ , odds=14.00 [CI=1.30-150.89]).

No correlations were found regarding the need for secondary surgery or postoperative complications. None of the measurements in the plain radiograph correlated to the degree of articular displacement according to Sanders classification.

When an analysis of the variables regarding demographics, fracture specifics, associated fractures and surgical approach was performed some interesting findings were discovered. Open approaches allowed a much higher recovery of the Böhler's angle when comparing to percutaneous approaches ( $p=0.029$ ), restoring a mean of  $16^\circ$  and  $3^\circ$  respectively. More skin complications were reported in patients submitted to an open surgery, 56%, than in those submitted to a percutaneous surgery, 7% ( $p=0.003$ , odds=18.13 [CI=2.59-126.72]). A correlation was also found between the delay of surgical intervention after trauma and the presence of disabling residual pain in follow-up. Patients who presented this complication had waited a mean of 6 days for surgery, while those who didn't had waited a mean of 10 days ( $p=0.011$ , odds=0.81 [CI= 0.68-0.97]).

The significant odds ratio found in this study are presented in Table II.

## DISCUSSION

An articular compromise in calcaneus fractures is very common and is the main cause of their poor functional outcome. As the typical patient is an adult man at working age, who risks never returning to work with full capacities due to postoperative complications, these fractures are clinically very important<sup>(4,17)</sup>. As so, it is unfortunate that controversy regarding surgery criteria and methods subsists.

Böhler's and Gissane's angles are commonly used to diagnose and help evaluating calcaneus fractures<sup>(16,18)</sup>. However, they cannot clearly delineate the location and extent of the fractures, as articular surfaces and anatomic references may be extremely hard to assess on the plain radiograph, especially on highly displaced fractured calcaneus<sup>(14,19)</sup>. Thus, CT scanning has then become a popular tool for assessing calcaneus fractures patterns<sup>(14)</sup>.

The correlation between measures on plain radiographs and the outcome is not consensual. In this study none of the preoperative measures was able to predict clinical outcomes. This finding is supported by other studies<sup>(15,19)</sup>. Not only these measurements alone provide little detail about complex fractures, but also the impact of the articular conditions on the outcome may be greater after the surgery than prior to it. However, some authors have reported significant correlations for Böhler's angle, tibiotalar angle and talocalcaneal height<sup>(14,20,21)</sup>. Correlations between Gissane's angle and clinical outcomes were never found<sup>(19,22)</sup>.

Of the two postoperative angles measured in this study, only Böhler's angle correlated to a clinical outcome. Higher postoperative values of this angle predicted skin related complications on the early follow-up, as did the amount of this angle restored by surgery. This finding contradicts the literature, which supports that restoration of Böhler's angle positively affects function and should be a goal to achieve for the surgeon<sup>(23-25)</sup>. We believe that in our study this result is based on the influence of the surgical approach on this angle. Open surgery permitted greater restorations of the Böhler's angle but was also associated with more skin related complications. However, despite the high skin complication rate after open approaches, these complications do not affect the long-term clinical outcome of calcaneal fractures<sup>(23)</sup>.

Sanders classification for coronal CT scan is the most widely used classification for calcaneus fractures. However, though it was originally proposed with predicting intention, divergent results are described on this matter<sup>(7,13,26)</sup>. Type 4 fractures predicted the occurrence of *any hazard* during follow-up. According to this finding, Sanders classification may be helpful in targeting high risk patients during follow-up. However, a moderate inter and intra-observer variability for this classification has been reported on several studies<sup>(26-28)</sup>. In order to promote this classification for a predictive purpose a higher inter-observer agreement is necessary, as are studies with larger samples.

The surgical window of 7-14 days post trauma is well accepted as a mean to prevent postoperative skin infection<sup>(29)</sup>. In our study, patients waited a mean of 9

days to be submitted to surgery. However, we found that the increase of this delay was a protective factor for the presence of postoperative residual pain (most likely due to posttraumatic arthritis). We hypothesized that this surgical window may also be relevant for allowing the regeneration of chondrocytes in the displaced articular surfaces and thus premature treatment might be a cause for an elevated posttraumatic arthritis rate. The association between cartilage necrosis and posttraumatic joint degeneration has been speculated by Sanders<sup>(13)</sup> and supported by an histologic study showing chondrocyte death in biopsies of the cartilage fragments<sup>(30)</sup>. This finding should be further investigated as it might impose a revision of the surgical window conception.

The limitations of this study were: the reduced sample size; its retrospective design, non-complemented by contacting patients to fill functional outcomes forms; non-evaluation of the uninjured foot; the measurements being acquired by only one observer; only skin and pain complications being considered, not taking into account other possible hazards.


In this study no relevant correlations between measurements in the plain radiograph and outcome were found. The difficulty of accurately applying these measurements, associated with the little information they provide, make them unlikely to predict an outcome of any kind. Nonetheless, these measurements are important interpreters of the anatomical conditions of the calcaneus. Therefore, they may have a role to play in the improvement of the surgical techniques by detecting surgery factors for the outcome and allowing a better understanding of what is worth to reconstitute in the bone's shape. Highly displaced fractures, according to the Sanders classification, can predict a generally poorer outcome and may be used by the surgeon for predicting it. The usage of common tools for the prediction of poor outcomes in calcaneus fractures is attractive and may help targeting patients who are more likely to develop postoperative complications. However, the discovery of these tools is still hampered by the lack of an inter-observer uniform fracture classification and outcome measurement. Until then, it's still not possible to accurately target patients with high risk of postoperative complications. Thus, follow-up protocols should be maintained indiscriminately.

## REFERENCES


1. **Burns AE.** Fractures of the calcaneus. Clinics in podiatry 1985;2:311-24.
2. **Hall RL, Shereff MJ.** Anatomy of the calcaneus. Clinical orthopaedics and related research 1993:27-35.
3. **Sarrafian SK.** Biomechanics of the subtalar joint complex. Clinical orthopaedics and related research 1993:17-26.
4. **Sanders R.** Displaced intra-articular fractures of the calcaneus. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume 2000;82:225-50.
5. **Charles A. Rockwood RWB, Charles M. Court-Brown, James D. Heckman, Paul Tornetta.** Rockwood and Green's Fractures in Adults: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010.
6. **Rammelt S, Barthel S, Biewener A, Gavlik JM, Zwipp H.** [Calcaneus fractures. Open reduction and internal fixation]. Zentralblatt fur Chirurgie 2003;128:517-28.
7. **Rammelt S, Zwipp H.** Calcaneus fractures: facts, controversies and recent developments. Injury 2004;35:443-61.
8. **Zwipp H, Tscherne H, Thermann H, Weber T.** Osteosynthesis of displaced intraarticular fractures of the calcaneus. Results in 123 cases. Clinical orthopaedics and related research 1993:76-86.
9. **Veltman ES, Doornberg JN, Stufkens SA, Luitse JS, van den Bekerom MP.** Long-term outcomes of 1,730 calcaneal fractures: systematic review of the literature. The Journal of foot and ankle surgery : official publication of the American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons 2013;52:486-90.
10. **Csizy M, Buckley R, Tough S, et al.** Displaced intra-articular calcaneal fractures: variables predicting late subtalar fusion. Journal of orthopaedic trauma 2003;17:106-12.
11. **Radnay CS, Clare MP, Sanders RW.** Subtalar fusion after displaced intra-articular calcaneal fractures: does initial operative treatment matter? The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume 2009;91:541-6.
12. **Böhler L.** Diagnosis, pathology and treatment of fractures of the os calcis. J Bone Joint Surg 1931:75-89.
13. **Sanders R, Fortin P, DiPasquale T, Walling A.** Operative treatment in 120 displaced intraarticular calcaneal fractures. Results using a prognostic computed tomography scan classification. Clinical orthopaedics and related research 1993:87-95.
14. **Schepers T, Ginai AZ, Mulder PG, Patka P.** Radiographic evaluation of calcaneal fractures: to measure or not to measure. Skeletal radiology 2007;36:847-52.
15. **Pozo JL, Kirwan EO, Jackson AM.** The long-term results of conservative management of severely displaced fractures of the calcaneus. The Journal of bone and joint surgery British volume 1984;66:386-90.
16. **Essexlopresti P.** The Mechanism, Reduction Technique, and Results in Fractures of the Os Calcis (Reprinted from Br J Surg, Vol 39, Pg 395, 1951). Clinical orthopaedics and related research 1993:3-16.
17. **Zwipp H.** Chirurgie des Fußes. New York: Springer; 1994.
18. **Isaacs JD, Baba M, Huang P, et al.** The diagnostic accuracy of Bohler's angle in fractures of the calcaneus. The Journal of emergency medicine 2013;45:879-84.

19. **Crosby LA, Fitzgibbons T.** Computerized tomography scanning of acute intra-articular fractures of the calcaneus. A new classification system. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume* 1990;72:852-9.
20. **Buckley R, Tough S, McCormack R, et al.** Operative compared with nonoperative treatment of displaced intra-articular calcaneal fractures: a prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter trial. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume* 2002;84-A:1733-44.
21. **Loucks C, Buckley R.** Bohler's angle: correlation with outcome in displaced intra-articular calcaneal fractures. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma* 1999;13:554-8.
22. **Leung KS, Yuen KM, Chan WS.** Operative treatment of displaced intra-articular fractures of the calcaneum. Medium-term results. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery British volume* 1993;75:196-201.
23. **De Groot R, Frima AJ, Schepers T, Roerdink WH.** Complications following the extended lateral approach for calcaneal fractures do not influence mid- to long-term outcome. *Injury* 2013;44:1596-600.
24. **Makki D, Alnajjar HM, Walkay S, Ramkumar U, Watson AJ, Allen PW.** Osteosynthesis of displaced intra-articular fractures of the calcaneum: a long-term review of 47 cases. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery British volume* 2010;92:693-700.
25. **Rammelt S, Zwipp H, Schneiders W, Durr C.** Severity of injury predicts subsequent function in surgically treated displaced intraarticular calcaneal fractures. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research* 2013;471:2885-98.
26. **Schepers T, van Lieshout EM, Ginai AZ, Mulder PG, Heetveld MJ, Patka P.** Calcaneal fracture classification: a comparative study. *The Journal of foot and ankle surgery : official publication of the American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons* 2009;48:156-62.
27. **Bhattacharya R, Vassan UT, Finn P, Port A.** Sanders classification of fractures of the os calcis. An analysis of inter- and intra-observer variability. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery British volume* 2005;87:205-8.
28. **Furey A, Stone C, Squire D, Harnett J.** Os calcis fractures: analysis of interobserver variability in using Sanders classification. *The Journal of foot and ankle surgery : official publication of the American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons* 2003;42:21-3.
29. **Tennent TD, Calder PR, Salisbury RD, Allen PW, Eastwood DM.** The operative management of displaced intra-articular fractures of the calcaneum: a two-centre study using a defined protocol. *Injury* 2001;32:491-6.
30. **Borrelli J, Jr., Torzilli PA, Grigiene R, Helfet DL.** Effect of impact load on articular cartilage: development of an intra-articular fracture model. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma* 1997;11:319-26.

## FIGURES



**Figure 1** - Measurements performed on the plain lateral radiograph: A) Böhler's angle; B) Talocalcaneal height; C) Gissane's angle; D) Tibiotalar angle.



**Figure 2** – Adapted Sanders classification for calcaneus fractures in the coronal CT scan.

## TABLES

**Table I** – Comparison of obtained measures with literature references.

Measure	Uninjured Reference	Injured Reference <sup>(14)</sup>	Preoperative measure (min-max)	Postoperative measure (min-max)
Böhler's angle ( <i>degrees</i> )	25-40 <sup>(12)</sup>	16	12 (-27-38)	18 (-17-51)
Gissane's angle ( <i>degrees</i> )	120-145 <sup>(16)</sup>	108	126 (97-161)	123 (94-156)
Tibiotalar angle ( <i>degrees</i> )	110 <sup>(14)</sup>	103	120 (105-141)	-
Talocalcaneal height ( <i>mm</i> )	81 <sup>(14)</sup>	77	77 (64-90)	-

**Table II** – Variables significantly associated in logistic regression models.

Variables	Odds Ratio [95% CI]
PostOpBöhler – Skin related complication	1.12 [1.02 – 1.22]
DifBöhler – Skin related complication	1.06 [1.01 – 1.12]
Wait Time To Surgery – Disabling residual pain	0.81 [0.68 – 0.97]
Open surgery – Skin related complication	18.13 [2.59 – 126.72]
Sanders type 4 – <i>Any Hazzard</i>	14.00 [1.30 – 150.89]

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

Acta Orthopaedica Belgica welcomes original studies, review articles and current concepts reviews that contribute to orthopaedic surgery and traumatology (children and adults), from any authors regardless of their country of residence. Case reports are not accepted.

Original articles are accepted for exclusive publication in Acta Orthopaedica Belgica (A.O.B.). Simultaneous submission to several journals will be considered malpractice on the part of the authors and will result in rejection of their manuscript.

Publication does not constitute official endorsement of opinions presented in the articles. Articles published and their illustrations become the property of A.O.B. and are covered by copyright. Upon request to the AOB editor, permission may be granted to reprint in a recognised medical journal material previously published in AOB, provided the original publication in AOB is duly credited

### Letter of transmittal

After reception of a manuscript, the corresponding author will receive a message which acknowledges receipt of the manuscript, together with a standard letter of transmittal which shall be signed by all authors and returned by e-mail to the editor. Each author must assume full responsibility for the content of the manuscript.

### Structure of the article

Acceptance of a scientific contribution is a decision of the editorial board, after consulting expert referees.

UK English is the sole language of the journal. The editors understand that English is not the mother language of many contributors. Nevertheless, proper grammatical construction, proper use of vocabulary and spelling are the prime responsibility of the authors. Manuscripts requiring rewriting or excessive editing work will be returned for revision or rejected.

**Title page** : the title, in bold low case letters, should be short (maximum 15 words), clearly indicating the content of the article.

The title will be followed by the first names (in low case letters) and last names (in capital letters) of the **authors** ; no more than six authors can be listed for an original study, and four for a case report.

A footnote on the title page should give the hospital or university affiliation and title of each author, as well as the name, mailing address, telephone, fax and e-mail address of the corresponding author. The authors shall also specify in which institution the study was carried out.

The text must be precise, clear and concise.

A review article or original study should be no more than 4000 words, all included. Pages should be numbered.

**Abbreviations** may not be used in either the title or abstract ; if used in the text, their meaning must be given the first time used.

Articles should follow the "AIMRAD" structure (Abstract, introduction, materials (or patients) and methods, results and discussion) :

**Abstract** : normally no more than 150 words. It should state the purpose of the study in one sentence. Methods of study should be stated. Results should be summarised in sufficient detail to support the conclusions.

**Introduction** : short review of previous relevant studies and statement of the purpose of the study.

**Materials (or patients) and methods** : methods should be detailed only when they are original ; otherwise the source should be given.

The authors shall clearly specify whether their study was prospective or retrospective, whether it was a randomized, controlled or cohort study; the proportion of patients lost to follow-up shall be indicated.

Statistical methods shall be described in detail.

**Results** : they should be described, without comments. As a rule, results of surgery should have a follow-up of more than two years.

A p value must be reported for any significant differences presented. When no significant differences are reported, a power study must be done and the value of alpha or beta reported. Correlation coefficients will be reported when applicable.

**Discussion** : includes comments on published data correlated to bibliographical references.

No attempt should be made to present a comprehensive literature review.

The strengths, weaknesses and limitations of the study must be presented.

The conclusions shall be based on the findings reported in the manuscript.

**References** : The references quoted in the text are numbered and listed

**alphabetically** at the end of the article ; they are referred to in the text by their number, in smaller italic figures in rounded parentheses. Only references actually used by the authors and of significance will be included. For books, author or editor, title, publisher, year of issue, first and last page of quoted text shall be mentioned. Titles of journals shall be abbreviated according to international rules (Index Medicus) ; the first and last page of each article shall be mentioned. The typography to be used is that found in recent issues of the journal; it shall be used with scrupulous attention to accuracy.

### Examples :

**Rockwood CA, Matsen FA III.** *The Shoulder.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1990, pp 722-735.

**Shaw M, Burnett H, Wilson A, Chan O.** Pseudosubluxation of C2 on C3 in polytraumatized children, prevalence and significance. *Clin Radiol* 1999 ; 54 : 577-580.

**Hoser C, Fink C, Brown C et al.** Long-term results of arthroscopic partial lateral meniscectomy in knees without associated damage. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2001 ; 83-B : 513-516.

**Conflicts of interest** : Any conflict of interest, any grant or financial profit related with the study must be mentioned in a brief note at the end of the manuscript. This statement has no bearing on the editorial decision to publish the manuscript.

### Presentation of the manuscript

Manuscripts shall be submitted by E-mail, together with tables and figures, to the editor. A second title page is also requested, not mentioning the names of the authors nor their institution.

Illustrations and figures must be numbered with arabic figures, according to the sequence in which they appear in the text.

The tables should be provided as individual separate files and should be numbered in roman figures. Figures and tables will be quoted in the text and their appropriate places indicated in the margin. There should be a title with a short descriptive heading for each table and a legend for each figure. A list of the legends should be typed double spaced on a separate sheet, attached to the main text.

When submitting an illustration that has appeared elsewhere, its origin must be stated and evidence must be provided that permission has been obtained from the author and publisher to reproduce it.

Illustrations shall be serially numbered and come as individual electronic files which shall include the first author's name. Digital illustrations may be in JPEG or TIF format and should have sufficient resolution to permit quality printing (at least 300 dpi, which corresponds to a file of at least 150 Kb for each individual figure). The use of colour prints will be considered only when it is necessary to illustrate a particular feature that cannot be made clear in some other way. The extra cost related with colour prints (ranging from 50 to 250 euros) will be charged to the authors, who shall provide written evidence of their agreement before publication.

When an article has been returned to the authors for revision, an electronic copy of the revised version shall be sent as an e-mail attachment to the editor according to previously prescribed rules. *All correspondence will be by e-mail.* Before publication, galley proofs of the accepted version of the manuscript are sent to the corresponding author for final critical reading. They shall be returned by e-mail to the printer ([info@universa.be](mailto:info@universa.be)) and to the editor within three days. After publication, the corresponding author will receive by e-mail the PDF file of the manuscript as it appears in the journal so that he can produce offprints of his paper. Hard-copy reprints can also be ordered directly from the printer, at the authors' expense, upon returning the galley proof.

The corresponding author will be informed in case of non-acceptance of a manuscript.

Authors who wish to submit a manuscript are invited to visit the journal website (<http://www.actaorthopaedica.be>) in order to get familiar with the lay out and typography requested.