Dye-sensitized solar cells: A safe bet for the future.

Luis Moreira Gonçalves, Verónica de Zea Bermudez, Helena Aguilar Ribeiro and Adélio Magalhães Mendes

Received 30th April 2008, Accepted 19th September 2008
First published as an Advance Article on the web 24th October 2008
DOI: 10.1039/b807236a

This review describes the main features of dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs) and highlights recent breakthroughs in this promising thin-film photovoltaic (PV) technology. After a brief presentation of the commercially available technologies, the general operation principles and the most relevant characteristics of DSCs are summarized. Recent major advances in high efficiency sensitizers, nanostructured semiconductors and robust electrolytes offer an opportunity for DSCs integration into the marketplace. With attractive features, like low-cost potential, simple processing, wide range of applicability – from low-power electronics to semi-transparent windowpanes for electricity generation – and good performance under typical operating conditions, these cells are one step from large-scale commercialization. We describe major strategies that are under way to make DSCs a key technology in the future PV paradigm.

PV and DSC position in the global energy market

Now, more than ever before, energy is what makes our world continuously work. World energy consumption is ca. 4.7 x 10^20 J (450 quadrillion Btu) and is expected to grow about 2% each year for the next 25 years.1 Earth’s resources upon which the world economy has sustained its grown are finite and governments are increasingly aware of this, particularly now that peak oil is on a near future.2 In order to maintain social and economic development, society is obliged to find a way of making a suitable transition to renewable fuels. This desired change in our energetic paradigm is also being hastened by environmental issues;3 mankind cannot afford to continue to progress by relying on sources of energy that release greenhouse gases.

Nowadays, renewable sources comprise about 13% of all energy production and photovoltaics (PV) account for no more than 0.04% and most probably only in 2030 will that figure reach 1%.4 Solar PV energy costs are not yet competitive and continued PV grow is mainly based on government support,5 as is easily perceived by analyzing three major consumers: Germany, Japan and the USA (the three combined share about 90% of the world market).6 Nevertheless, PV solar cells are clearly very elegant and attractive devices for producing energy: cells are free from chemical and noise pollution; their power output is flexible, producing μW as well as MW; production can be done in situ, it is not dependent on the electrical grid, which makes them uniquely portable; they do not rely on reserves located abroad in geopolitically unstable countries and, of course, their source of energy – the Sun – is free and inexhaustible for the next few million years. Moreover, the sun’s rays reaching the earth are enough to fulfill global energy demand more than 10 thousand times over, i.e. in theory one hour of sunlight is more than enough for a whole year of global consumption. Also, ad extremam, solar energy is the only way of respecting the second law of thermodynamics towards sustainable development, because it benefits from all the massive creation of entropy in the star’s core. It is true that PVs do not work at night but that fact is minimized due to reasonable synchronization between peak production and peak consumption.

The PV market has had outstanding yearly growth, 33% growth per year since 1997, and it is expected to grow by 25 to 30% per year in the next decades.4 While traditional energy sources will become more expensive, PV will be much more competitive due not only to technology improvements but also to
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Now, more than ever before, energy is what makes our world continuously work. Currently, photovoltaics (PVs) account for no more than 0.04% of all energy production; however this is set to change. The PV market has shown an outstanding 33% growth in the last 10 years and is expected to grow by 25 to 30% yearly in the coming decades. This review describes the evolution and “state of the art” of promising recent PV technology called dye-sensitized solar cell (DSC). In this kind of cell, that mimics natural photosynthesis, photon harvesting and charge transport are performed by different elements. The components which comprise DSCs are reviewed along with the status of the technology in the context of the PV market, which is now making the transition from the laboratory to industry.
economies of scale, with a predicted learning factor of 18% till 2030. Some optimistic scenario predictions state that by 2100 solar power (PV and solar thermal generation combined) may be more than one half of our primary energy use (Fig. 1). This means than even among other renewable sources of energy, PV is likely to have the highest potential in the long-term.

**Present PV market regarding all different technologies**

The first modern PV solar cells, silicon (Si) p/n, were developed by Chapin *et al.* at Bell Laboratories in 1954. A few years later, they were already intensively used in space exploration. Si cells were the perfect solution for space energy production. Although several improvements in terms of efficiency and reliability occurred with time, considerable domestic use only begun in 1978 when NASA installed a 3.5 kW system in a 16 home Indian village in Papago Reservation, Arizona. Since, apart from regular use in power calculators, traffic signs, clocks and other small appliances, the use of solar cells has grown quite slowly although steadily.

Mono and polycrystalline silicon (mc-Si and pc-Si, respectively) solar cells have totally dominated the terrestrial PV market so far (Fig. 2). Silicon is far from being the ideal material for PV conversion, so the ongoing situation may seem at first to be a bit surprising. The major reason for crystalline Si (c–Si) market dominance – besides Si natural abundance, low toxicity and a well-established processing technology – is that manufacturers have been supplied with rejected material from the high-tech semiconductor industry.

Apart from oxygen, Si is the most abundant element in the Earth’s surface, it is generally found in quartz or sand as silicon...
dioxide (SiO₂). This is turned into metallurgic grade Si (MGS) by a rather energy demanding process (it must be heated to over 2000 K). Such purity levels (ca. 98%) are widely used in many heavy industries but cannot be employed in semiconductor-based technologies. MGS is further purified into electronic grade Si (EGS), of a very high purity degree, by the Siemens process. This “intermediate” purity level can be roughly called “solar grade” Si (SGS). This means that purified Si is a fairly expensive feedstock due to the two costly purifying processes, and that it has been supplied cheaply to the PV industry as a “by-product” from electronic high-tech manufacturers. Logically, this situation has created an unwanted dependency that can only sustain itself if the electronic Si industry continues to grow at least at the same rate as the PV industry, which is not the case.¹⁰ The Si-dependent PV industry has known for quite some time that it has no other choice but to quickly find an autonomous source of SGS.¹¹ This has been a dynamic research field in the past years within PV. Meanwhile the Si price has more than doubled since 2002¹² and, for instance, in Germany some production lines may be already working at half their capacity due to supply shortages.¹³

C–Si has a quite simple operating principle. The cell is constituted by three layers: the n-type, the p-type and the pn-junction (the connection formed between the previous two). The n-type layer is doped with elements from group V, normally phosphorous, because it has extra electrons and works as the donor (anode); the p-type layer, on the other hand, is doped with group III elements, commonly boron, and therefore has a lack of electrons to create “holes” and, consequently, becomes the receptor (cathode). Light reception occurs in the pn-junction, each photon generates an electron–hole pair by exciting electrons from the junction valence band to the conduction band (electrons are driven to the negative layer while “holes” are left as positive). This mechanism leads to different potentials in the anode and cathode, inducing an electron flow, and in doing so generates electricity. Champion cells achieve up to 24.4% efficiency under standard reporting conditions¹⁴ (1 sun irradiance, i.e. 1000 W m⁻², AM 1.5, 298 K; all efficiencies presented here comply with these criteria).

The first amorphous Si cell (a–Si) appeared in 1976,¹⁵ right after the first oil shock, when a great amount of hope and expectation was set upon PV energy. It is odd that the main competitor to c–Si lies in making an economically suitable transition for terrestrial purposes. Raw materials cost and high-purity demands make it almost impossible, and the only feasible solution appears to be a combination of a–Si and CdTe are the PV thin-film technologies. Of these three, CIGS cells appear to have the best future potential due to higher efficiencies,¹² confirmed maximum efficiency of 19.2%²¹ and lower manufacturing energy consumption, which may even be the lowest for any PV technology. However, high quality cell fabrication is very complex and still challenging to undertake.²⁷ Although not as much of concern as CdTe, CIGS have some inherent toxicity that may turn out to be a problem for mass production.²³ III–V semiconductor solar cells are very efficient but expensive devices, normally based on GaAs and InGaP, they can be used alone although better results are obtained in multijunction (also referred as tandem cells) with semiconductors showing different energy bandgaps, thus taking better advantage of the whole solar spectrum. Double and triple junction (J) devices are currently being commercialized; the most common 3J is GaInP/GaAs/Ge with a record efficiency of 32%.²² If higher efficiency is the ultimate goal, 4J, 5J or more junctions can be investigated and tested; for example a 6J cell (AlInGaP/InGaP/AlInGaAs/InGaAs/InGaAsNAs/Ge) has a predicted maximum efficiency of 57%.²⁸ In space applications, where cost is not the major problem, multijunction cells have replaced Si cells. The problem lies in making an economically suitable transition for terrestrial purposes. Raw materials cost and high-purity demands make it almost impossible, and the only feasible solution appears to be a conjunction with concentrator systems. This takes advantage of the fact that these cells’ efficiency may even increase with higher irradiance.²⁸ Molecular and polymer organic solar cells are simple PV devices that are made by organic semiconductors “sandwiched” between two electrodes. These cells are characterized by high optical absorption coefficients and low manufacturing costs. A great deal of attention has been given to these cells in recent times, as they are expected to play a key role in the future PV market, particularly now that the 5% efficiency barrier has been overcome.²⁹,³⁰

The supply of cheap raw materials is not exclusively a problem for the Si industry. CdTe, CIGS and III–V semiconductor cells face the same problem! Tellurium is not a widely available and
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cheap material, neither are gallium or indium. Indium is a
by-product of zinc production and it is also used in flat-panel
production, an exponentially growing industry, as indium-
tin-oxide (ITO). Mass cell production may at first lead to a
decrease in feedstock costs, but this is not expected to happen
continuously. In the end non-abundant materials will be more
expensive and so will significantly increase final product price.

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSC) PV technology is a very
promising alternative for low cost production of energy. State of
the art DSCs achieve more than 11% energy conversion allied to
good performance under any atmospheric condition and low
irradiance.31

DSC is a successful attempt to create an anthropological
analogous concept to photosynthesis. The photoreceptor and
the charge carrier are different elements; it is an analogous
situation to that of the photosynthetic process where chlorophyll
absorbs photons but does not participate in charge transfer. This
is contrary to conventional PV cells where a semiconductor
assumes both functions. This separation of functions leads to
lower purity demands on the raw materials side, and conse-
quently makes DSCs a low cost alternative.

DSCs main advantages can be summarized as follows:
• Good performance under standard reporting conditions;
• Stable performance at non standard conditions of temper-
ature, irradiation and solar incidence angle;
• Low cost;
• Available environmental-friendly raw materials;
• Semi-transparency and multi-color range possibilities.

This last factor should not be underrated as often happens.
The manufacture of multi-colored cells is truly a competitive
advantage of DSCs. This is achieved by changing the dye, either
organic32 or inorganic.33 So the color possibilities are immense, as
has been successfully shown.34 These cells can be used, for
example, in power-producing windows by landscape architects
to respect the natural scenery or for fashion reasons, and thus target
a high-value market segment. It is also worth mentioning the
crucial importance of a low cost PV power source to the
population of developing countries, particularly in Africa, where
sunshine is an abundant natural resource. Millions of people live
without electricity for all their basic needs, and to provide them
with this vital commodity, and so supply all that is normally
taken for granted like refrigerators, radios or night illumination,
is per se a stimulus more than enough to promote the develop-
ment of this technology.

Evolution of PV market and DSC progressive importance

While wide band-gap semiconductors like TiO₂ or ZnO are
cheap and photochemically stable, making them suitable for PV
applications, their spectral sensitivity is limited to UV. For this
reason a chromophoric compound, the sensitizer, is adsorbed
onto the semiconductor’s surface expanding the absorption
spectrum range, and thus increasing light harvesting efficiency.35
Sensitization was first tried at the end of the XIX century with the
advent of color photography using silver halides. Only one
century later did sensitization started to be used for photo-
electrochemical purposes. Significant pioneering works include
Tributsch36 and Fujishima and Honda37 (photocatalytic splitting
of water on a TiO₂ electrode) and Tsubomura et al.38 These
works have more similarities to modern cells than two decades of
difference would lead us to believe. A US patent submitted in
1977 already included almost all major characteristics of today’s
DSCs and is entitled *Dye-sensitized solar cells*.39

Although previous works regarding TiO₂ sensitization by
polypyrrolid ruthenium complexes were published by Grätzel and co-workers40–42 in the 1980s, it was the 1991 article43 that origin-
ated the interest that still seems to be increasing every year
(Fig. 3). The work reported a breakthrough efficiency of around
7% motivated by the innovative use of a nanoscopic TiO₂ particle
layer and a careful choice of a ruthenium complex as the light
absorber.

Despite the current PV market status being dominated by Si
(Fig. 2) research reports forecast an impressive growth of new
emerging solar cell technologies. Within decades Si will lose its
unquestionable leadership (Fig. 4). The average annual growth
rate for non c–Si cells is estimated at 43% over the next 10 years,
a tremendous rate of growth.44

It must be made clear that all these different technologies will
coeexist since they all have their pros and cons (Fig. 5). A number
of factors like location, power demand, climatic conditions,
panel orientation etc. must be taken into account before an
accurate choice can be made. In the same way that no particular
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A typical DSC is composed of two sheets of glass coated with a transparent conductive oxide layer (TCO). One of the glass plates – the working electrode – is covered with a film of small dye-sensitized semiconductor particles; the other glass plate – the counter-electrode (CE) – is coated with a catalyst. Both plates are sandwiched together and the electrolyte, commonly a redox couple in an organic solvent, fills the gap between them.

Light absorption is carried out by dye molecules, \( D \); photons cause dye photoexcitation, \( D^* \) (Fig. 6(1)) to rapidly release an electron to the semiconductor (Fig. 6(2)). The electron then percolates through the semiconductor, a process in which the injected electrons hop through the colloidal TiO\(_2\) particles and reach the collector (TCO). Afterwards, the electron goes through an outer circuit to reach the other TCO layer (at the counter-electrode), performing electrical work on the way (Fig. 6(3)). The electron is then transferred to the electrolyte where it reduces the oxidant species, \( \text{Ox} \) (Fig. 6(4)); subsequently, the reducing agent formed, \( \text{Red} \), reduces the excited dye, \( D^* \), returning it to the ground state, \( D \) (Fig. 6(5)), and completing the circuit. Notice that all these dynamic processes are kinetic rather than thermodynamic-based; for example, after photoexcitation the electron can either be injected into the semiconductor, which is the desired pathway, or returned to ground state releasing energy. The electron is normally injected because the time scale of the process is in the femtosecond range while relaxation is in the picosecond range. A similar phenomenon prevents the diffusing electron from recombining either with the dye or the electrolyte, both microsecond-based processes.\(^{45}\) This is very similar to photosynthesis where, to prevent chlorophyll reduction, an electron is rapidly transferred...
from the excited reaction chlorophyll centre to a distant acceptor of lower energy.\textsuperscript{46}

To sum up, solar energy is converted into electricity primarily by a kinetic-based process, the generated voltage equals the difference between the Fermi level of the electron in the semiconductor and the redox potential of the electrolyte, while overall there are no chemical species consumed.

Dye

According to Grätzel, at the time of DSC initial development, the dye sensitizer was the device’s weakest point.\textsuperscript{47} Not surprisingly, various research efforts were and are being made to develop the best performing dye. As stated before, the dye is the photosensitizer sensitizing the semiconductor, and so several requirements must be fulfilled. These include a broad absorption spectrum, adequate ground and excited energy states, long stability (it must endure at least $10^8$ redox turnovers corresponding to ~20 years of operation),\textsuperscript{48} no toxicity, good absorption to the semiconductor’s surface, etc.

The first high-performance polypyridyl ruthenium complex was the so-called N3 [4,4'-dicarboxylic acid-2,2'-bipyridine] ruthenium(II) reported in 1993 by Nazzeruddin et al.\textsuperscript{49} N3 results were only surpassed more than 5 years later by another ruthenium complex,\textsuperscript{50,51} the black dye [tris(isothiocyanato)-2,2',2''-terpyridyl-4,4',4''-tricarboxylate] ruthenium(II), first introduced in 1997.\textsuperscript{52} Later, N3 returned to the top ranking position thanks to its combination with guanidinium thiocyanate, an additive that increased the cell open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$)\textsuperscript{53} (top row Fig. 7). To date, ruthenium complexes are the most successful dyes\textsuperscript{53,54} and the only ones so far to achieve over 10\% efficiency under standard conditions. More recent research (bottom row Fig. 7) has focused on accomplishing a suitable molar absorptivity and stability under thermal stress and light soaking\textsuperscript{55-57} by extending the π-conjugation of the hydrophobic ligands.\textsuperscript{58} C104 was reported very recently and presents noteworthy efficiency of 10.5\%.\textsuperscript{59} Despite the high performances of these ruthenium dyes, other alternatives are currently being pursued.\textsuperscript{60} Due to its scarcity, ruthenium is a very expensive metal and hence requires an extra recycling fee. The most promising alternatives are organic dyes, natural or synthetic, which are considerably cheaper, though so far generally less stable and less efficient.

Chlorophyll (Chl) is the pigment responsible for light absorption in photosynthesis. It consists of porphyrin ring structures linked to a hydrocarbon tail.\textsuperscript{89} Not surprisingly, Chl-a has been extensively explored for PV applications, since the 1970s\textsuperscript{66,69} to the present,\textsuperscript{84} inclusively in DSCs.\textsuperscript{62,93} But Chl is far from being the only metalloporphyrin that has been tried in DSCs,\textsuperscript{64} and recent studies report structured guidelines for the identification of porphyrin analogues.\textsuperscript{94} However, these compounds cannot fully equal the best ruthenium dyes since they do not exhibit red light or near IR absorption.

Metal-free sensitizers, examples include cyanine,\textsuperscript{88,95} merocyanine,\textsuperscript{81} hemicyanine,\textsuperscript{82} anthocyanine,\textsuperscript{82} phthalocyanine,\textsuperscript{86} indoline,\textsuperscript{70} coumarin,\textsuperscript{72} eosin Y,\textsuperscript{87} anthraquinone,\textsuperscript{98} polyprene,\textsuperscript{77} pentacene,\textsuperscript{79} triphenylamine,\textsuperscript{80,103} and other promising metal-free structures,\textsuperscript{64,66,78,104} have progressed enormously in the last few years and several structures (Fig. 8) have yielded efficiencies of around 8\% and above.\textsuperscript{69}

Co-sensitization, i.e., the use of several dyes with different spectral responses simultaneously, has the theoretical advantage of enhancing photoabsorption.\textsuperscript{95,101,104} However, inherent problems, such as energy or electron transfer from one dye to the other, have suppressed its use.\textsuperscript{105}

Semiconductor

Since research began, TiO$_2$ has been the preferred semiconductor in DSCs, despite some promising properties offered by other metal oxides like ZnO, SnO$_2$ and Nb$_2$O$_5$.\textsuperscript{106} Anatase, a crystalline form of TiO$_2$, has been widely used because it has a high band gap energy (3.2 eV, and absorbs only below 388 nm) making it invisible to most of the solar spectrum, reducing the recombination rate of photo injected electrons. Additionally, it has good thermal stability, is chemically inert, non-toxic and relatively cheap.\textsuperscript{107} Rutile, another crystalline form of TiO$_2$ can also be employed. However it has a higher dark current ($E_g$ 3.0 eV) and so is less effective (NREL tests point to a 30\% decrease in the short-circuit current)\textsuperscript{108} and also photon excitation within the band gap generates holes that act as oxidants making it less chemically stable.\textsuperscript{109} Some exceptionally good results have been obtained using mixtures, such as TiO$_2$–ZrO$_2$ (95 : 5)\textsuperscript{110} or ZnO–SnO$_2$ (50 : 50).\textsuperscript{111}

The ideal semiconductor layer should have a nanostructured mesoscopic morphology, crucial for a high specific surface area. Logically, the objective is to obtain the maximum area available for dye adsorption using the minimum quantity of TiO$_2$. The two deposition techniques generally used for this purpose are screen-printing and doctor-blading.\textsuperscript{112}

TiO$_2$ film morphology is a major variability factor in DSCs performance\textsuperscript{113} mainly because of its influence in the electron recombination rate. Zhu \textit{et al.} concluded that recombination occurs close to the substrate (glass coated with a transparent conducting oxide (TCO)) and not throughout the whole titania matrix as one would perhaps think.\textsuperscript{114} For this reason, researchers use a compact\textsuperscript{115} or nanocrystalline\textsuperscript{116} TiO$_2$ “blocking
layer"; the latter seems to be particularly effective when using organic dyes. A simpler and yet effective method is by making the substrate undergo an initial TiCl₄ treatment. The use of a light-scattering layer (LSL) is also quite common; it consists of larger titania particles that work as a phototrapping system. It has been shown that, as expected, this...
layer performs photovoltaic work just as well.\textsuperscript{120} In fact, when using light scattering particles, Koo \textit{et al.} observed efficiency increments of about 15\%\textsuperscript{121}.

A relatively new and exciting research field in semiconductor morphology is the use of nanostructures, namely nanotubes,\textsuperscript{122–125} nanowires,\textsuperscript{126} nanorods\textsuperscript{127,128} and inverse opals.\textsuperscript{129,130} The synthesis of oriented tubule nanostructures was first achieved with carbon in 1991.\textsuperscript{131} Knowledge of nanomaterials grew fast and now it is technically feasible to build nanostructures of metal oxides like ZnO\textsuperscript{132} and TiO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{133,134} These structures have been applied to DSC electrodes, replacing the semiconducting mesoporous layer. Despite nanowires having a constrained surface area electrode efficiency is increased because they provide a direct passageway for photoexcited electrons to get to the conducting substrate; this smart compromise between electronic conductivity and specific surface area available for dye adsorption has the potential to boost performance. Since the first pioneering applications of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanostructures to DSC by Uchida \textit{et al.} and Adachi \textit{et al.} in 2002\textsuperscript{135,136} these structures continue to show exciting results (9.3\% efficiency was obtained with oriented anatase nanowires\textsuperscript{137}) and they are particularly favorable in solvent-free liquid electrolytes.\textsuperscript{138} Results have also demonstrated that highly oriented transparent TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube arrays show enhanced photocurrent densities and high electron lifetimes.\textsuperscript{139}

**Electrolyte**

The electrolyte is a crucial part of all DSCs. It is responsible for the inner charge carrier between electrodes – it is the hole-transport material.\textsuperscript{140} It endlessly regenerates the dye at the photoelectrode with the charge collected at the CE. The best results have always been obtained with the triiodide/iodide (I\textsubscript{3}/I\textsubscript{2}) redox couple in an organic matrix, generally acetonitrile.\textsuperscript{141} The most noteworthy of the non-traditional electrolytes are room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs), quasi-solid state and solid state. These electrolytes are progressively viscous enabling increased stability. They appear to solve problems such as dye desorption, solvent evaporation and sealing degradation, however, until now their performance has been consistently lower. A more viscous electrolyte diminishes regular charge diffusion and, therefore, requires higher concentration of the redox couple to maintain conductivity. Hence, a higher redox couple concentration creates new problems, or makes them meaningful (e.g., corrosion and direct reaction with the semiconductor). Balanced electrolyte development is the missing piece to remove complicated sealing and volatility issues while pursuing long-term high performance.

**Redox couple – the traditional approach.** The I\textsubscript{3}/I\textsubscript{2} couple works well, again mainly due to kinetics: first, the photooxidized dye injects an electron into the conduction band of the semiconductor much faster than electron recombination with I\textsubscript{3}. In fact, electron injection occurs in the femtosecond time range,\textsuperscript{142} secondly, the oxidized dye preferably reverts with I\textsubscript{2} then recombines with the injected electron;\textsuperscript{143} finally, the two electron process of I\textsuperscript{−} regeneration from I\textsubscript{3} occurs swiftly enough at the catalyst-coated CE to be productive. These combined processes lead to coherent I\textsubscript{3} diffusion towards the counter-electrode and I\textsuperscript{−} diffusion in the opposite direction towards the TiO\textsubscript{2} electrode.

Another issue to be considered when using I\textsubscript{3}/I\textsuperscript{−} is its concentration. Obviously, at low concentrations, conductivity will be insufficient and rapid reduction will not be ensured. On the other hand, when employed in high concentrations, apart from possible corrosion problems, iodide can substantially suppress cell efficiency by, increasing the recombination of I\textsubscript{3}− and injected electrons, and increasing the rate of light absorption by the redox couple. The spectroscopy and dynamics of I\textsubscript{3}− have been extensively studied in solution; its absorption spectrum consists of two broad bands centered at 360 and 290 nm.\textsuperscript{144} The suppression of the dark current may be achieved by additives such as tetrabutylammoniumhydroxide (TBAOH),\textsuperscript{145} 4-tert-butylypyridine (4TBP),\textsuperscript{146,147} 2-propylpyridine (2PP)\textsuperscript{148} or methylbenzimidazole (MBI).\textsuperscript{149} Additionally, these additives also enhance the cell’s long-term stability.\textsuperscript{150}

As stated before, other redox couples have been tested, and theoretically a well designed change in the electrolyte formulation could increase the $V_{oc}$ by up to 300 mV.\textsuperscript{146} In 1997, Argazzi \textit{et al.} tested some phenothiazine compounds but IPCEs were persistently low.\textsuperscript{147} Oskam \textit{et al.} provided evidence that neither (SeCN)\textsubscript{2}/SeCN− nor (SCN)\textsubscript{2}/SCN− were able to produce results as good as I\textsubscript{3}/I\textsubscript{2} with TiO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{148} though encouraging results were obtained when using SnO\textsubscript{2} as the charge carrier.\textsuperscript{149} In 2005, Wang \textit{et al.} concluded that with certain organic dyes the redox couple Br\textsubscript{3}/Br\textsuperscript{−} may be more effective,\textsuperscript{151} a claim that may interestingly influence near-future works. Nonetheless, probably the most tested and most viable alternative to date is the use of cobalt complexes. Several complexes of Co(II)/Co(III) have been tried.\textsuperscript{150–152} Compared to iodide, their advantage is that they are non-volatile, non-corrosive and have the benefit of being easy for molecular modifications.\textsuperscript{151} However, with present technology the current exchange rate at the counter-electrode is much smaller and leads to voltage losses.\textsuperscript{152}

**Solvents – the traditional approach.** Several organic solvents – non-aqueous solvents given that most dyes are generally unstable in water – have been used, like methoxypropionitrile,\textsuperscript{153} butyronitrile\textsuperscript{144} or methoxycyanitride\textsuperscript{154} among others. The most recent solvent is acetonitrile, particularly when one wishes to maximize cell efficiency. Most recently, a mixture of acetonitrile and valeronitrile has become popular, either 50 : 50 or 85 : 15.\textsuperscript{150} Virtually hundreds of chemical compounds can be experimented with as long as they fulfill most of the following requirements: low volatility (−40−80 °C) at the expected cell operating temperature; low viscosity; resistance to decomposition over long periods of time; good redox-couple solubility; low toxicity and low cost.\textsuperscript{157}

**Ionic liquids.** In recent years the development of ionic liquid electrolytes has been one of the most dynamic research areas within DSCs. The development of viscous electrolytes to avoid known sealing and stability issues has had very promising results.\textsuperscript{158} Suitable RTILs for DSCs must have good chemical and thermal stability, negligible vapor pressure, non-flammability, high ionic conductivity and a wide electrochemical window.\textsuperscript{159} The first time a molten salt-based DSC was reported was in 1996 by Papageorgiou \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{160} At that time the ionic liquid was 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (HMII). Since then the best results have been obtained with 1,3-dialkylimidazolium
iodine compounds (Table 1). Ionic liquids end up working simultaneously as iodide source and as solvent. Other molten salts have been tested with notable results, like trialkylsulfonium, -alkylpyridinium. Also, recently 7.2% was achieved with organic dyes in RTIL-based DSCs.

Solid state. The solid-state concept is quite simple: the regular liquid electrolyte is replaced by a p-type semiconductor layer. Making the inevitable analogy with regular Si, the sensitized semiconductor matches the n-type layer, and the sensitizer the p–n junction. The main difficulty is optimizing the interface between the sensitized semiconductor and the electrolyte; it is very difficult to achieve a close contact, without voids, among particles due to the roughness of the former and the impossibility of high-temperature depositions of the latter.

In the first works with p-type semiconductors solid state DSCs (Table 2), which appeared in 1995 from Tennakone et al.172 and simultaneously O’Regan et al.173, the overall efficiency was lower than 1%. In these pioneering works, electrolytes were totally inorganic but soon afterwards organic materials were tried.174,175 In 1998, Tennakone’s group replaced the initially used cyanidine dyes, derived from flower pigments, with ruthenium complex dyes obtaining exciting results176 and breaking the 2% efficiency barrier.177

CuSCN and CuI have been almost exclusively the p-type inorganic semiconductors used in solid state DSCs, CuBr being a notable exception. So far, performances by CuI have been higher than CuSCN, because deposition methods for copper thiocyanate are not yet satisfactory. However, instability has been frequently reported in CuI-based cells.179 This turns out to be rather ironic since solving instability issues with DSCs was the original reason for experimenting solid state cells. Of the several organic materials tried (in contrast to inorganic compounds they have high chemical tenability) spiro-MeOTAD has been one of the most important. It was initially presented by Grätzel and co-workers in 1998178 and presently attains conversion yields above 4%.180

Conducting substrates

The most commonly used substrates for DSCs are made of coated glass with a transparent conducting oxide (TCO). Suitable TCO must have high electrical conductivity (an order of magnitude of 10^4 S cm⁻¹) to efficiently collect all the generated photocurrent, and high transparency (better than 80% visible wavelengths). Among a whole variety of TCOs, the most widely used is fluorine doped tin dioxide (SnO₂:F or FTO) due to its thermal steadiness and low cost (the two TCO layers may be up to 60% of the cell’s total price183). Indium tin oxide (In₂O₃:Sn or ITO) has also been extensively used because it has higher specific conductivity. However, it does not remain stable at high temperatures and hence it is specifically used in flexible cell technologies in which sintering temperatures are generally lower (typically 200 °C or less).184 Recently, Goto et al.185 reported a DSC with a multi-layer FTO and ITO substrate with increased heat resistance. The above mentioned TCOs are the most widely used materials in the remaining PV industry for the same reasons.

Flexible substrates, either plastics or metals (Table 3), are advantageous when considering mass production, because flexible materials allow a low-cost roll-to-roll manufacture. Plastic substrates, examples include polyethylene naphthalate (PEN) or polyethylene terephthalate (PET), are advantageous in terms of malleability, weight and handling. However, since most polymers cannot withstand the regular sintering high temperature, the sintering step had to be changed to low-temperature alternatives.191 Metal sheets have been progressively tried as substrates: they have excellent electrical and thermal conductivities and low price. Metals that form a non-conducting layer during the high temperature sintering process (steel, Al or Co) cannot be used without a TCO layer or else they are liable to corrosion by the redox couple species. In contrast, metals that form a conducting layer (stainless steel, W or Ti) can be used by themselves and are very promising alternatives.192 Recently Onoda et al.193 showed Ti superiority even when compared to FTO.

Catalyst. The I–I bond breaking reaction (Fig. 6(4)) is extremely slow on naked ITO or FTO glass,194 a catalyst is therefore needed in the CE to overcome the high activation

Table 1 Some relevant research using 1,3-dialkylimidazolium in the DSC context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RX</th>
<th>X⁻</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>(CH₂)₃CH₃</td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>(CH₂)₃CH₃</td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>(CH₂)₄CH₃ :</td>
<td>I⁻ : N₃⁻</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃ (13 : 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>(CH₂)₃CH₃</td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>(CH₂)₄CH₃ :</td>
<td>I⁻ : NCS⁻</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃ (13 : 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>SeCN⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>I⁻ : C(CN)₃⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>B(CN)₄⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>I⁻ : B(CN)₄⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>CH₂CH₃ : CH₂CH₃ : CH₃ (16 : 12 : 12)</td>
<td>B(CN)₄⁻ : I⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Some relevant results concerning solid electrolytes in DSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>cyanidine</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>spiro-MeOTAD</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>N719</td>
<td>spiro-MeOTAD</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>N719</td>
<td>spiro-MeOTAD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Z907</td>
<td>spiro-MeOTAD</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>indoline</td>
<td>spiro-MeOTAD</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Li/Hydroxypropionitrile</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>N719</td>
<td>poly(N-alkyl-4-vinyl-pyrindine) iodide</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
energy of the two-electron transfer. Platinum is clearly the most widely used material acting as a catalyst in the redox reaction at the CE. It has the extra advantage of reflecting wavelengths not initially absorbed by the dye, a transversal procedure to all PVs. Of course catalyst performance depends on the way it is deposited onto the TCO surface which, among other deposition methods, can be by sputtering, electrochemically or pyrolytically.195 In 1997 Papageorgiou et al. developed the "platinum thermal cluster catalyst"196 a method that provides low platinum loading, superior kinetic performance and mechanical firmness compared to conventional platinum deposition methods such as sputtering. This method requires a high temperature environment of up to 380 °C.194 Another problem with platinum, apart from its high price, is the non-confirmed possibility of corrosion by the iodide solution which leads to the formation of PtI4. Since platinum is very expensive other cheaper alternatives may take its place like various forms of carbon197 – carbon black,198 graphite,144 activated carbon199 and even single-wall carbon nanotubes.200 Polymer materials, such as PEDOT [(poly(3,4-ethylendioxythiophene)],194 polypyrrole197 and polyaniline can also be used.201 Gold is another viable alternative153 that is consistently used in solid state DSC.202

Conclusions
Since the 1991 breakthrough, all aspects related to DSCs have been subject to improvement. Currently, there are more than 100 research groups working in DSC research around the world (countries include, among others, Switzerland, the Netherlands, the UK, Spain, Germany, Sweden, Finland, Portugal, China, Japan, South Korea, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and the USA).

As outlined previously,204 energy conversion efficiency (Fig. 9) is controlled by four elements: (i) light harvesting efficiency (augmented by dyes with an enhanced near infrared response, thus increasing \( I_{sc} \); (ii) charge injection efficiency; (iii) electron transport and collection efficiency in the electrodes (diminished internal resistance should augment the \( FF \) – fill factor); and (iv) hole transport and collection efficiency in the electrolyte. There is no scientific deterrent to a potential 15% efficiency result.188 Possible efficiency boosts may come about by: the complex use of a cocktail dye; better control of undesirable electron recombination between the percolated electron and the redox couple; or from the application of nanotechnologies in the development of nanostructured semiconductors. The possibilities are immense and promising.

Along with efficiency, long-term stability, particularly at high temperatures, has been a major challenge in the last decade.209 However, most if not all problems have feasible solutions on the horizon.210 DSCs have been tested to identify and solve the last remaining critical issues; latest endurance results under light soaking for thousands of hours report no significant loss of performance211 (recent experiments were performed in a 500 W DSC panel power station212).

In the end it will be the positive combination of efficiency and stability which will determine the commercial success of DSCs. The start of significant market exploitation is on the way. Companies such as Aisin (Japan), Greatecell (Switzerland), Dyesol (Australia) and G24 Innovations (UK), a Konarka (USA) subsidiary, are manufacturing and commercializing – or intend to commercialize – dye-sensitized cells Fig. 10; and new companies will most certainly appear in the very near future. Notably, the Swiss company Swatch is planning to launch a DSC powered watch.213 Fortunately for the environment a great deal of optimism is moving the DSC concept forward.

Table 3 Some relevant results concerning the use of plastic and metal substrates in DSCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>( \eta ) (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>ITO–PET</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>ITO–PEN</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Ti (E) and ITO–PEN (CE)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 (A) Typical I–V curve of PV solar cells. The overall efficiency (\( \eta \)) of the PV cell is the product of integral photocurrent density (\( I_{sc} \) – current obtained at short-circuit conditions, divided by the cell area), the open-circuit photovoltage (\( V_{oc} \)) and the cell fill factor (FF) (defined as \( V_{MP} / V_{oc} \)), where MP stands for maximum power point) divided by the intensity of the incident light (\( P_{incident} \)). (B) Some DSC top efficiency results (standard reported conditions of 1000 W m\(^{-2}\), 25 °C and air mass 1.5).

Fig. 10 A DSC lightweight and portable mobile phone charger (courtesy of G24i).
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