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Abstract

For most metals, the mechanical properties do not vary to a great extent from low to high temperatures. However the same is not true for polymers since the glass transition temperature, often abbreviated as $T_g$, is often not too far from room temperature or their expected use temperatures. Below $T_g$, polymers have a glass-like behaviour and above $T_g$ they have a rubber-like behaviour.

The most common methods used to determine $T_g$ are thermal and thermo-mechanical analyses. In the dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA), the mechanical properties are measured as a function of the temperature. Well below and well above $T_g$ (in cross-linked materials), the damping is often quite low. At $T_g$, there is a peak corresponding to the maximum energy dissipated hence the maximum damping. Therefore, if the damping peak can be measured as a function of temperature then $T_g$ can be found.

One method is to vibrate a constrained layer at resonance and to record the temperature and the amplitude. From the theory of forced vibration, the damping is proportional to the inverse of the amplitude. In other words, when the amplitude is at its minimum the damping is at its maximum. The exact value of the damping is not needed, but just the temperature at which it peaks. The objective here is to use a method based on that principle. An automatic device to maintain the constrained layer at resonance previously developed in the Department of Engineering of the University of Bristol, under supervision of Prof R. D. Adams, is tested, refined and if possible at a low cost.

In this project, a new mould for specimen manufacture was produced. Also, an entirely new holder structure was designed, using special aluminium extrusions and accessories.

The device was assembled and tested several times to obtain results and compare them with reliable sources, which allowed us to calibrate the device accurately. An epoxy adhesive XN1244, supplied by Nagase Chemtex® (Japan) and two epoxy adhesives, Araldite® 2011 and Araldite® AV 138M with hardener HV 998, supplied by Huntsman® Iberia (Spain) were used.
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Resumo

Para a maioria dos metais, as propriedades mecânicas não variam muito desde baixas até altas temperaturas. No entanto, o mesmo não acontece com os polímeros desde a temperatura de transição vítrea, abreviado como Tg, muitas vezes não estão muito longe da temperatura ambiente ou das temperaturas de utilização esperadas. Abaixo da Tg, os polímeros têm um comportamento tipo vidro e acima da Tg eles têm um comportamento tipo borracha.

Os métodos mais usados para determinar a Tg são análises térmicas ou termo-mecânicas. Na análise mecânica dinâmica térmica (DMTA), as propriedades mecânicas são medidas em função da temperatura. Bem abaixo e bem acima da Tg, (para termoendurecíveis), o amortecimento é muitas vezes bastante baixo. Na Tg, existe um pico correspondente à máxima energia dissipada logo ao amortecimento máximo. Portanto, se o pico do amortecimento pode ser medido em função da temperatura, então pode-se obter a Tg.

Um método consiste em vibrar uma amostra num provete em ressonância e registar a temperatura e a amplitude. A partir da teoria de vibração forçada, o amortecimento é proporcional ao inverso da amplitude. Por outras palavras, quando a amplitude se encontra no mínimo, o amortecimento encontra-se no máximo. O valor exato do amortecimento não é necessário, só a temperatura a que ocorre esse pico. O objectivo aqui é usar um método baseado neste princípio. Um dispositivo automático para manter uma amostra em ressonância anteriormente desenvolvido no Departamento de Engenharia da Universidade de Bristol, sob a orientação do Prof. R. D. Adams, é testado, melhorado e se possível a um baixo custo.

Neste projeto, foi produzido um molde novo para fabricar espécimes. Além disso, foi concebida uma estrutura de suporte totalmente nova, usando-se extrusões de alumínio especial e acessórios.

O dispositivo foi montado e testado várias vezes, para obter resultados e compará-los com fontes seguras, o que permitiu calibrar o dispositivo com precisão. Foi usado um adesivo epóxico XN1244, fornecido pela Nagase Chemtex® (Japão), e foram usados dois adesivos epóxicos, Araldite® 2011 e Araldite® AV 138M com o endurecedor HV 998, fornecidos pela Huntsman® Ibérica (Espanha).
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1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

For most metals, mechanical properties do not vary to a great extent from low to high temperatures. But this is not true for a variety of materials such as polymers (which includes the material studied in this thesis, adhesives) since the glass transition temperature, often abbreviated as $T_g$, is often not too far from room temperature or their expected use temperatures. Below $T_g$, adhesives have a glass-like behaviour and above $T_g$ they have a rubber-like behaviour. This principle is important to the knowledge of the properties of the materials, fundamental to all engineering design (Rubinstein and Colby, 2003).

1.2 Problem definition

The existing devices for measuring $T_g$ are usually expensive and complex. Additionally they have long work cycles, which might over cure the adhesive or dry a previously wet adhesive. The in-house device proposed in this work is faster to use and less complex. This device allows us to determine the $T_g$ with accuracy, is relatively fast and also economic, when compared to the general methods used for measuring $T_g$.

1.3 Aim of the study

This research aims to develop a reliable device based on previous works from the University of Bristol. The main objectives of this study are:

- Develop an existing device based on the one developed at the University of Bristol,
- Ensure that it is a low cost and functional device,
- Establish a reliable and simple procedure to obtain data,
- Develop a stiff and adjustable specimen holder structure,
- Select appropriate specimen specifications, geometry and,
- Develop moulds to produce specimens and coils.
1.4 Thesis overview

The structure of the thesis is summarised below:

This work is divided into seven chapters that describe in detail the theoretical and experimental work developed. The first chapter introduces the dissertation subject and presents the main objectives of this work.

Chapter 2 (Literature review) describes the theory about $T_g$ and the general methods used for measuring it, such as:

- Thermal mechanical analysis (TMA),
- Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and,
- Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA).

Chapter 3 (Experimental details) describes the apparatus used for measuring $T_g$, more specifically:

- The whole system in general,
- The feedback system,
- The heating system,
- The holder structure and,
- The coils, moulds and specimens manufacture.

Chapter 4 (Results) describes the experimental results achieved with this work and compares them with those obtained using other techniques.

Chapter 5 (Conclusions) summarises the main conclusions from research of this thesis.

Chapter 6 (Future works) provides some suggestions for future works and improvements.
2 Literature review

As this work describes a novel method for measuring the glass transition temperature of a polymeric material, it is important to first explain the definition of \( T_g \) and to describe the main methods currently used for its determination, such as; thermal mechanical analysis (TMA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) (Menczel and Prime, 2009).

2.1 Glass transition temperature (\( T_g \))

A very important property of polymeric materials is the glass transition temperature, often abbreviated as \( T_g \), a single parameter that determines the application of many non-crystalline polymers (Mark et al, 1993). At low temperatures, a polymeric material is stiff and vitreous while at higher temperatures it becomes softer and rubbery. The \( T_g \) is the temperature at which there is a clear transition between these two behaviours. As adhesives are polymeric materials, it is fundamental to know their \( T_g \) so that it is possible to predict how an adhesive joint will react within a certain temperature range.

Polymers used as adhesives have an amorphous or semi-crystalline structure. This means that there is significant degree of randomness in the distribution of the polymeric chains. The movement of the atoms in these chains is the underlying factor for the existence of the \( T_g \). Below the \( T_g \) there is a lack of rotational and translational movement of the atoms that compose the polymeric chain. They are frozen in position and this translates into a stiff adhesive that cannot withstand large deformations. Above \( T_g \) there is more freedom for this molecular movements to occur, which leads to increased flexibility and deformation capability on the adhesive.

The most common theory that explains the existence of this change in behaviour as a function of temperature is the free volume theory. This theory explains that as the polymer volume expands or contracts (due to the temperature changes) more or less free volume is available for the molecular chains to move. It is known that when the polymeric materials suffer a volumetric expansion of approximately 2.5%, the chains become considerably more mobile, which leads to a transition into a rubbery behaviour.

Due to the complex structure of the amorphous materials, the glass transition temperature is not a single exact temperature but a small range of temperatures in which there is a noticeable change of properties. ASTM E1142-07 standard defines it as the temperature chosen to represent the range of temperatures in which the transition occurs (Reis, 2012).
The $T_g$ of a material can be determined by studying the variation of certain parameters with temperature. The modulus of elasticity, damping, specific volume and enthalpy all suffer noticeable changes around the $T_g$ value (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1 - Plot of the variation of dynamic modulus, $E^*$, and damping, $\eta$, with respect to the temperature of an amorphous polymer (Zhang, 2008)](image)

Various experimental methods study the variation of these fundamental properties to pinpoint the range of temperatures where there is a significant change of behaviour. This allows the precise identification of a $T_g$ value. The methods most commonly used for this purpose are presented in the following section.
2.2 General methods used for measuring \( T_g \)

In this section, the different techniques to measure \( T_g \) are described:

- The thermal mechanical analysis (TMA),
- The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and,
- The dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA).

2.2.1 Thermo mechanical analysis (TMA)

The TMA method directly measures the expansion of a sample when heated. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the equipment used in this method. There is an abrupt expansion during the change of the polymer state from vitreous to rubber, which permits measuring \( T_g \).

![Diagram of a vertical TMA instrument](image)

**Figure 2 – Schematic diagram of a vertical TMA instrument (Menczel and Prime, 2009)**

The two major fields of TMA application in polymers are the determination of the thermal expansion coefficient and the measurement of \( T_g \). Sometimes the melting point (\( T_m \)) of semi-crystalline polymers is also measured using this method.
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These quantities can be measured only once in TMA, as shown schematically in Figure 3. As mentioned above, the glass transition temperature is determined as the point of intersection of the curves of glass and expansion phases of rubber (or fusion) (Menczel and Prime, 2009).

Figure 3 – Schematic representation of a TMA curve in expansion (Lobo and Bonilla, 2002)

Sometimes a particular dynamic loading is used to study the elastic behaviour of materials. The name given to this variant is the DLTMA (Dynamic Load TMA). The scope of the TMA technique covers a variety of materials such as plastics, composites, ceramics and adhesives. The method is also applicable to the determination of properties such as, for example, the coefficient of thermal expansion, $T_g$, and softening temperature. However, it is a method that has a high dependence on sample preparation and poor repeatability (da Silva et al, 2007).
2.2.2 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The DSC is a technique, which is part of a group of techniques called thermal analysis (TA). The TA is based upon the detection of changes in the heat content (enthalpy) or the specific heat of a sample with temperature. As thermal energy is supplied to the sample, its enthalpy increases and its temperature rises by an amount determined, for a given energy input, by the specific heat of the sample. The specific heat of a material changes slowly with temperature in a particular physical state, but alters discontinuously at a change of state. As the sample temperature increase, the supply of thermal energy may induce a physical or chemical process in the sample, e.g. melting or decomposition, accompanied by a change in enthalpy, latent heat of fusion, heat of reaction etc. Such enthalpy changes may be detected by thermal analysis and related to the process occurring in the sample. The measuring principle of DSC is to compare the rate of heat flow of the sample to an inert material that are heated or cooled at the same rate (Holubová et al, 2005). The changes in the sample are associated with absorption of heat. By comparing the heat absorption of the sample with that of the inert material, a differential heat flow is measured. The heat flow curves have a peak, where the area under the peak is directly proportional to the enthalpy change and its direction indicates the thermal event is an endothermic process. The analysis of a DSC thermogram enables to determine two important parameters, the transition temperature peak ($T_g$) and the enthalpy (Friedli, 1996). Figure 4 shows a temperature versus heat flow graph, which can be used to identify $T_g$ as described above.

![Figure 4 - Schematic graph shows the determination of $T_g$ from a plot of specific heat capacity versus temperature (Zhang, 2008)](image)

The equipment used for this type of measurement consists in a metal disk, which connects a sample and a reference with low heat flow resistance (see Figure 5). This connection is placed inside a heating device. The enthalpy or heat capacity of the sample when experiencing changes causes a differential temperature measured against a reference sample (Höhne et al, 2003). This is then registered and is related to the change in enthalpy in the sample by an experimental calibration system (Zhang, 2008).
Figure 5 – Cross-section of a Du Pont (now TA Instruments) DSC heat flux cell

This system has some advantages such as the easy preparation of the samples, because it makes use of small samples and is an automated procedure (Rodriguez et al, 2003), applied to solids and liquids, and covers a wide range of temperatures. Besides being able to obtain the glass transition temperature it can also collect information on other properties, for example, melting and boiling point, crystallization temperature and time, percentage of purity degree and rate of curing, heat capacity and specific heat, etc.

The most important disadvantages and concerns are the results are susceptible to systematic errors based on incorrect calibrations by poor packaging and preparation of samples or by an inappropriate reference.
2.2.3 Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA)

The dynamic mechanical (thermal) analysis, often abbreviated as DMA or DMTA (Rodriguez et al, 2003) is another method commonly used to measure the \( T_g \) of polymeric materials. The DMTA apparatus measures the changes in mechanical behaviour of any material as a function of temperature, frequency, time, stress or combinations of these parameters over the temperature range of -150 \(^\circ\)C to +500 \(^\circ\)C with experimental scanning rates of 0.1 to 5 \(^\circ\)C/minute for heating and cooling. This is a sensitive technique, especially for determining \( T_g \), which give rise to a pronounced maximum damping in a dynamic mechanical experiment.

The DMTA analysis gives accurate information on stiffness, cure, ageing, melting behaviour, stress/strain, and mechanical hysteresis. The analyser is used to obtain information regarding the structural properties of materials, product and materials development, process optimisation, quality control and production process support. The range of materials that can be studied includes polymers (including both thermoplastics and thermosets), elastomers, adhesives, optical materials and metals ranging from solid bars to coatings, films and fibres (Odlyha, 1997).

A typical DMA device with grips to hold the sample and an environmental chamber to provide different temperatures is shown in Figure 6. The mounted sample is an environmental chamber and can slide to and encompasses the sample.

![Figure 6 - Schematic of a DMA operation (Perkin Elmer Instruments)](image)

The polymer viscoelastic property is evaluated by dynamic mechanical analysis, where a sinusoidal force (tension \( \sigma \)) is applied to a material and the resulting displacement (strain) is measured (Fried, 2003). For a perfectly elastic solid, the resulting stress and deformation will be perfectly in phase. For a purely viscous fluid, there is a phase delay \( \delta \) of 90 degrees with respect to the deflection voltage. Polymers have viscoelastic characteristics where the phase delays \( \delta \) will occur during the DMA testing.
The storage modulus measures the stored energy, which represents the elastic portion, and the loss modulus measures the energy dissipated as heat, representing the viscous portion (Menczel and Prime, 2009).

Figure 7 shows a graph with curves obtained by DMA of an epoxy adhesive. The curves are tan δ, the storage modulus, E′ and loss modulus, E″, as a function of temperature. The glass transition point (and the corresponding Tg) is observed at the inflection point of E′, the maximum peak of E″ and tan δ, as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7 - Curves E′, E″ and tan δ of a DMA of an epoxy system (Li et al, 2000)

For highly cross-linked polymers, the Tg region is wide and the test depends on parameters such as the frequency of the test and heating rate. By this technique, the Tg range extends from the point of inflection of the curve E′ to the temperature of tan δ peak. According to Li et al (2000), the standard recommended for reporting Tg is the temperature of the temperature peak of E″.

Although DMTA is as powerful as DSC for measuring Tg, it is time-consuming. Moreover, the method may change the curing state of the specimen or dry samples that are initially wet.
2.2.4 Comparison between different methods

As mentioned above, there are various methods for measuring the glass transition temperature.

The first method used to determine the glass transition temperature is the DSC, which detects the change in heat capacity. Later came the MDSC (Modulated Differential Scanning Calorimetry), which increased the sensitivity and resolution of thermal events. Although less used, TMA, DMA (or DMTA) are more sensitive methods. The TMA method measures the volumetric expansion of a specimen during heating. By analysing the expansion versus temperature curve, transition temperatures can be identified by changes in the curve slope.

The DMA is a thermal analysis that is designed to identify modes of oscillation, oscillation frequency, frequency of resonance voltage and creep. It directly measures frequency, stress, strain, time, temperature and phase angles. The DMTA is a thermal analysis in fixed-frequency mode, which measures time, frequency, temperature and rigidity. Both techniques measure the loss modulus and storage temperature. Each method has its advantages and limitations. Depending on the method, the measured values for the glass transition temperature can have differences.

The study of an epoxy with DMA showed that the $T_g$ value of the epoxy resins based on tan $\delta$ peak is influenced significantly by the frequency at which the test takes place (Li et al, 2000). Moreover, the value of $T_g$ is more consistent using the peak of loss modulus. However, if the tests are performed at high heating rates, the $T_g$ tends to be higher.

Konarski (1999) studied the effect of measuring instruments in the $T_g$ of samples cured at 145 °C for 2 hours. Table 1 shows the glass transition temperature measured by different methods, DMA, DSC and TMA. This study supports the fact that $T_g$ varies as a function of the measuring device, in this case $T_g$ varies from 130 until 146 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring method</th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>Typical run time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>137 (loss modulus)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>146 (tan $\delta$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The DSC test is the most simple and rapid to measure $T_g$. The method requires extremely small samples (usually 5-20 mg), which do not require special preparation.

Unfortunately, this quick and convenient method is not universally applicable to all materials. High load, high crosslink density, and other processes may mask the displacement due to $T_g$ and make the transition difficult or impossible to identify. This technique becomes costly because of using considerable quantities of liquid nitrogen for each test to obtain the cooling of the sample.

The TMA analysis consists in simply heating the sample and measuring its dimensional change with a probe. This method also achieves relaxation of the stresses around the glass transition region, which sometimes leads to ambiguity in assigning a specific $T_g$ and can measure a different value for the same sample if measured at a different point. The measured $T_g$, for instance, can vary when measured near the edge or at the centre of the sample.

The DMA is a technique, which consists on measuring the oscillation energy of bending applied to a cured sample. The stress in the sample is measured versus temperature. The method is highly accurate, but $T_g$ may be defined in different ways, which will have different values.
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3 Experimental details

The apparatus used in this work measures damping changes of a specimen as a function of temperature. To that purpose, a specimen with adhesive is made to vibrate as much as possible while its temperature changes. At the glass transition temperature, the damping is at its maximum, as shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8 - Damping versus temperature for a polymeric material (Koh, 2000)](image)

By identifying this damping peak and the temperature at which it occurs we can accurately determine the glass transition temperature of a polymeric material.

3.1 Description of the T_g apparatus

As explained before, the T_g of polymeric materials has a large importance in its damping characteristics. As a material reaches the T_g, the damping properties will change significantly. The device developed during this thesis subjects a thin layer of adhesive to a constant and cycling oscillation. This oscillation movement is achieved with a pair of coils and magnets. The adhesive layer is mounted on an aluminium beam with a magnet bolted in each extremity. A coil is placed underneath each magnet. A variable electric current passes trough the coils. When the current is at its highest intensity (positive signal) the coils attract the magnets, see Figure 9a. When the coils do not have intensity the magnets go back to their original positions, see Figure 9b, and when the current is at its lowest intensity (negative signal) the coils push the magnets, see Figure 9c. If the current is changed cyclically as shown previously, the beam and the adhesive layer are subjected to oscillatory movements.
Figure 9 depicts the changing polarity of the coils and the effect it has in the movement of the specimen (and consequentially, the stress in the adhesive layer, (bold black arrows). Figure 9a shows a situation where there is attraction between the beam magnets and the coils. This produces a large traction stress in the adhesive layer. Figure 9c, shows the opposite situation, where the adhesive layer is compressed.

Figure 9 – Working principle of the \( T_g \) apparatus, a) Maximum positive polarity of the coils, b) Neutral polarity of the coils, c) Maximum negative polarity of the coils
If the temperature of the specimen is gradually changed while the oscillation movement occurs, there will be a temperature value where there is a significant increase of damping. This means that the $T_g$ is achieved and the adhesive has started to have a rubber like behaviour, resisting the movement. If this sudden increase in damping is detected, the temperature at which it occurs will be the $T_g$.

The temperature of the specimen is measured with another specimen, located very close to the test specimen. This dummy specimen has a thermocouple lead embedded in the adhesive layer. If the thermocouple lead were installed in the real specimen, it would change the behaviour of the specimen during the test and could hide the damping changes caused by the glass transition.

To have good results, the amplitude of movement of the specimen should be as large as possible. The maximum amplitude of vibration occurs when the specimen is forced to vibrate at its resonance frequency. This creates a problem during the heating phase, as the resonance frequency changes with the temperature and if the frequency of the current applied to the coils is significantly different from the resonance frequency the specimen might stop to vibrate.

To ensure that the specimen is always vibrating, a feedback system is required. This system is explained in the following section.
3.1.1 Feedback system

Figure 10 shows the diagram of the complete apparatus with the feedback circuit used to maintain resonance.

![Diagram of the feedback circuit to maintain resonance](image)

During the initial operation of the device, the electronic system is configured to work in an open loop. A signal generator provides a cyclic wave at the resonance frequency of the specimen. This cyclic wave is amplified in a power amplifier and fed to the drive coil. This coil is responsible for the movement of the specimen.

The resonance frequency is identified by gradually changing the frequency of the signal provided by the signal generator until the maximum amplitude of movement in the specimen is detected.

In the other extremity of the specimen is the pickup coil. This coil converts the vertical movement of the magnet bolted to the specimen into a small electric current. This current is directly proportional to the amplitude of movement of the specimen. This can be used as a simple method to identify $T_g$. However, as the temperature changes, the resonance frequency of the specimen also changes, and the frequency provided by the signal generator must be constantly changed to ensure that the specimen keeps vibrating. This is unwanted, as it requires constant human action until $T_g$ is found. The accuracy is also not acceptable.
To solve this problem, a device known as a feedback box (see Figure 11) was developed by Prof Adams at the University of Bristol. This system works in a closed loop, with feedback. This box reads the small current induced in the pickup coil, amplifies it and feeds it to the drive coil. The feedback box is configured to create a phase change in the signal so that the signal at both coils overlaps. This places the specimen at its resonance frequency, keeping it vibrating independently of the temperature changes.

As shown in Figure 11, due to the electronic circuits used by the feedback box, the output signal has a square shape instead of the sinusoidal shape suitable to actuate the coils and magnets. To convert this square wave into a sinusoidal wave, a wave filter is inserted after the feedback box. This filter can be seen in the diagram shown in Figure 10.

This feedback box also has an output called "DC level". This DC level output is an electric signal whose voltage is directly proportional to the amplitude of the specimen displacement. By using a data acquisition system to register the temperature and this DC level, $T_g$ can be identified.

An Instrunet i100B device, connected to a PC, was used as the data acquisition system. Data was registered in two voltage channels, one for the temperature and another for the amplitude.

Data was acquired at a rate of 100 Hz, to allow the use of the digital filter provided by the Instrunet i100B. This filter reduced significantly the amount of noise in the final data.
3.1.2 Heating system

One has to be very careful in choosing the correct heating method, especially when the purpose is to measure correctly $T_g$ (Twiggs et al, 1989). This has to do with the fact that the most important single factor affecting the damping performance of any viscoelastic material, such as a polymer, is definitely the temperature (Koh, 2000).

Two main approaches can be used for the heating system:

- The fast method (with a hot air gun, but not used in this project) and,
- The slow method (with a portable oven, that has been offered to us by the University of Bristol), the only method used in this project.

The fast method (with a hot air gun)

This method was initially developed by Koh (2000) and met the requirement of flash heating by using two 2000 W hot air guns, aimed to produce a concentrated airflow with a fishtail nozzle. The specimen was held firmly to a support to apply airflow on both surfaces of the specimen (the upper and the lower). It is possible to quickly raise the temperature and cool it down quickly to prevent it from curing. Some adhesives, which have very particular curing demands, must use this fast method or the glass transition temperature is greatly changed during the heating process. The main disadvantage is that it is hard to accurately maintain the specimen temperature, and there is a risk of overheating the specimen. This method can also alter the movement of the specimen. The airflow impacting the vibrating specimen can change the damping and slightly alter the results. A test with Araldite® AV119 epoxy adhesive (Huntsman®) is shown in Figure 12 where it can be seen that the $T_g$ used in the heating and cooling cycle due to different temperature rates (Zhang, 2008).

![Figure 12 – Epoxy adhesive Araldite® AV119 damping in the heating and cooling process by using a hot air gun (Zhang, 2008)](image-url)
The slow method (with a portable oven)

To solve the problems of the hot air gun, a small oven was designed at the University of Bristol, as shown in Figures 13 and 14 (Laurence, 2003).

![Diagram of portable oven](image)

**Figure 13 - Portable oven (Zhang, 2008)**

This oven is built with a circular heating element encased in aluminium walls. The interior of these walls is insulated with boards of "super wool"; a material with extremely low thermal conductivity and that can withstand a maximum temperature of 1000 ºC. The heating source is a ring-shaped Mica heater with 200 mm in diameter and 400 W of power.

The outer walls have a small passage in each side of the oven. The specimen passes through these holes so that the central section, containing the adhesive, is located directly inside the ring shaped heating element (Figure 15).
To minimize temperature fluctuations, an oven controller was used with a thermostat (see Figure 14), which allows controlled heating from room temperature up to 200 °C. A thermocouple located inside the oven provides the controller with the actual temperature and allows the continuous adjustment of the temperature.

Figure 14 - Entire heating system

Figure 15 – Coils, coils supports and magnets of the cast specimen out of the portable oven
The use of a portable oven (slow method) has the advantages of heating in an easy and controlled way the temperature of the specimen. Because the oven has a small work area that means it performs flash heating and does not over cure the specimen. A test with the Araldite® AV119 damping during heating and cooling process by using the portable oven (Zhang, 2008) is shown in Figure 16, where it can be seen that the $T_g$ measured in the heating and cooling cycles now nearly coincide.

![Figure 16 - Araldite® AV119 damping of the heating and cooling process by using a portable oven (Zhang, 2008)](image-url)
3.1.3 Holder structure

The initial structure to hold the specimen is shown in Figure 17.

![First holder structure approach](image)

**Figure 17 – First holder structure approach**

While this minimalist holder structure fits our purpose, after some initial validation tests, it was decided to develop an improved holder structure. The new structure must be practical, stiff, easier to adjust and use and must have a low cost. For that purpose Minitec company components were used, such as aluminium profiles, screws, nuts and fastening elements among others. The resulting 3D drawing is shown in Figure 18.
This design allowed us to build a holder structure that is more robust and easier to adjust, see Figure 19.
The final version of the apparatus, as installed in the laboratory, is shown in Figure 20.

Figure 20 – Entire $T_g$ apparatus
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3.1.4 Coils

The aim of the coils is to transmit a magnetic force to the magnets (Figure 21), which are fastened to the specimen. The geometry of the coil used is shown in Figure 22.

![Figure 21 - Magnet slot](image1.png)

![Figure 22 - Coil](image2.png)

The magnetic force of a coil with this geometry depends on the diameter of coated copper wire, the number of turns per layer and the number of layers used to produce the coil.

To produce coils easily and consistently, a mould was used for the manufacture, as shown in Figure 23.

![Figure 23 - Exploded view of the final mould for coil manufacture](image3.png)
To obtain coils with 1 mm of thickness (to fit in the magnet slot, see Figure 21) coated copper wire with 0.5 mm in diameter was used, with two turns per layer and with twelve layers.

A two components epoxy adhesive, Araldite® 2020 A/B resin and hardener (Huntsman®) was used to bond the copper wire for coils production. The complete data sheet for this adhesive can be found in Appendix B1.

The proportion of the resin and hardener was carefully weighed (2.5 g to 0.75 g respectively) in an electronic scale (Figure 24) then mixed at 1500 rpm for 1 minute and 30 seconds in a mixing machine, (Figure 25).

Each component of the mould was cleaned and degreased with acetone (the acetone was handled with care, in a ventilated place/area), as shown in Figure 26. The components were introduced into an oven for 10-15 minutes at 50 °C to dry them, before application of the release agent.
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The coated copper wire with adhesive was then applied in 12 layers, as shown in Figure 27. The mould was heated in the oven for 30 minutes at 100 °C to cure the adhesive and after that, the mould was removed from the oven, and disassembled to remove the coil, as shown in Figure 28.

The results were not satisfactory with this coil approach because the magnetic force of the coils was too low, and did not allow us to read the T_g accurately. Therefore, the magnetic strength of the coil was improved by using 0.2 mm diameter coated cooper wire; this implies five turns to keep the 1 mm thickness per layer with 30 layers.
The new coil obtained is shown in Figure 29.

![Figure 29 – Coil](image)

Table 2 shows the most significant differences between the two coils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of coated copper wire</th>
<th>Number of turns per layer</th>
<th>Number of layers</th>
<th>Magnetic force</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Adhesives tested

Three epoxy adhesives were investigated in this study. The epoxy adhesive XN 1244 (see Appendix B2), supplied by Nagase Chemtex® (Japan), and two epoxy adhesives, Araldite® 2011 (see Appendix B3) and Araldite® AV 138M with hardener HV 998 (see Appendix B4), supplied by Huntsman® Iberia (Spain).

The value of $T_g$ of XN 1244 adhesive was determined by Banea et al (2011), using a DMTA apparatus cured during 60 minutes at 140 ºC and a value off 155 ºC was obtained (Figure 30).

![Figure 30 - Dynamic mechanical analysis results for the epoxy adhesive XN1244 (Banea et al, 2011)](image)

Araldite® 2011 glass transition temperature measured by DMTA and cured during 20 minutes at 100 ºC is approximately 63 ºC (manufacturer data).

Araldite® AV 138M with hardener HV 998 glass transition temperature measured by DSC and cured during 4 hours at 60 ºC is approximately 85 ºC (manufacturer data).

The cure of the adhesives studied in this work was performed with the same temperature and time, as those given above, so that comparison can be made.
3.3 Specimen preparation

3.3.1 Specimen description

The specimen preparation is extremely important. The adhesive is stored in containers (adhesive or resin and hardener) and before use, the adhesive with two components need proper mixing. The centrifuging technique was used to mix the adhesive in order to remove the air bubbles and to ensure a homogeneous mixture.

The specimens are formed by the layers of the beam, adhesive and the sheet (referred also as top plate) and are adhered together using the adhesive to be tested, see Figure 31. The top plate is necessary to constrain the adhesive layer when the beam is flexing. This intensifies the stress on the adhesive layer, requiring less beam movement to create a given stress level in the adhesive.

![Figure 31 - Schematic 3D colour representation of the cast specimen](image)

The beams and sheets were made in aluminium alloy (2024) with dimensions 250 x 12.5 x 3 mm$^3$ and 30 x 12.5 x 1 mm$^3$, respectively. This material was chosen for its high strength and low specific heat, which makes it suitable to make the beam specimen (de Matos, 2002). Moreover, aluminium has a low rigidity and low damping property (Zhang, 2008).

The adhesive has a similar dimension to the sheet (top plate) but with twice the thickness (2 mm).
The length of the adhesive chosen was 30 mm, based on the study of Koh (2000), as shown in Figure 32. The graph demonstrates that there are diminishing returns when the length of the adhesive layer is increased. An adhesive layer of 30 mm exhibits very similar performance to one with 40 mm; therefore there is no need to increase the adhesive layer length, especially as larger specimens will require bigger equipment to be manufactured.

Prior to producing the specimens, it is necessary to prepare the mould for specimen manufacture and the aluminium beam specimens. The preparation consists in applying release agent before the manufacturing of the specimens and to achieve accurate results, the mould and the supporting aluminium beams had to be well cleaned and degreased to remove all atmospheric corrosion and dust particles.

A dummy specimen is used to measure the adhesive temperature. This dummy specimen has one thermocouple in the middle of the adhesive layer (to measure the temperature), but does not have any magnets (see Figure 33).
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The specimen used to measure damping does not have any thermocouple, but instead has two magnets tightened with screws and nuts, one in each extremity of the aluminium beam (see Figure 34).

![Figure 34 – Test specimen used to measure damping](image)

The specimens used in this work were made using a mould to directly bond the adhesive layer to the metallic parts. However, an alternative method to produce the specimens is available. A piece of pre-cured adhesive can be fixed by a nut and bolt (Figure 35). For temperature measurement, thermocouples can be sandwiched between the layers of the specimen. This type of specimen construction allows a fast and economic way to produce specimens. This procedure was not used in this work, but can be used in the future with this apparatus to measure $T_g$. It is particularly useful for assessing the effect of environment on $T_g$ as the adhesive sample can be easily removed from the sample and introduced in the environment to study (e.g. water).

![Figure 35 - Specimen made with pre-cured adhesive (Zhang, 2008)](image)
3.3.2 Mould for specimen manufacture

To produce the mould for specimen manufacture, a detailed study of the mould made by Zhang (2008) was firstly made, with the purpose to include some improvements wherever possible. This initial mould is shown in Figures 36 and 37.

![Figure 36 - Schematic representation of the mould for specimen manufacture (Zhang, 2008)](image1)

![Figure 37 - Mould to produce the cast specimen (Zhang, 2008)](image2)
The mould produced for this work uses the same general construction and working principle of the mould presented by Zhang (2008).

However, some improvements were introduced to respect the following design guidelines:

- Low manufacturing and materials cost,
- Good compromise between durability and cost (a carbon steel DIN CK45 was used),
- Able to produce at least four specimens at once,
- Lightweight and,
- Easy to use and to handle.

These guidelines led us to some significant differences listed below:

- Thicker plates to increase the robustness and stiffness,
- Deeper central plate to allow the production of thicker adhesive layers,
- Wider upper lid to function as handle and,
- Introduction of two inserts in the outer section to simplify the production of specimens with thermocouples.

These modifications can be seen in the following exploded view of the mould for specimen manufacture (Figure 38), which includes the name and quantities of all components (if more than one, in brackets). Appendix A contains the individual drawings of the mould parts.

![Figure 38 - An exploded view of the mould for specimen manufacture with all the components](image-url)
A very important factor is that the assembly of the mould for specimen manufacture is made from bottom to top. It begins with plate 3 and ends with plate 1. Four screws are needed to tighten all the components to close the mould.

Plate 1 (plate that sits on top of the mould) is 20 mm longer per side than plate 2 and plate 3, allowing using it as two extra handles, as shown in Figure 39. The total weight is just 1.9kg, which makes it a relatively lightweight mould. This allows easily placing and removing the assembled mould into the heated plates press, as shown in Figure 40.

The work area of the heated plates press is relatively high (about one meter and a half from the ground), as shown in Figure 41, explaining why the lightweight and the handles were so important in the design of the mould for specimen manufacture.
3.3.3 Manufacture steps of the specimen

Figure 42 shows the assembly sequence used in the manufacture of the specimens. These are manufactured in the two central holes of the mould (plate 2), while the dummy specimens are manufactured in the two outer holes to allow access to the thermocouple wires.

![Figure 42 - Schematic representation of the test specimen production](image)

The specimen is built (see Figure 42) by inserting components sequentially into the mould holes:

- First, a feeler gauge tape (with 3.2 mm thickness) is inserted to ensure the correct thickness of the adhesive layer.
- The metallic top plate is then inserted and then covered with a sufficient amount of adhesive.
- The aluminium beam can then be placed above the adhesive and aligned in the slots provided for this purpose in plate 2 of the mould.
- Plate 1 is then placed over the specimens and the screws are tightened to plate 3, see Figure 43.
Figure 43 - Schematic closed mould with two test specimens

Figure 44 illustrates the assembly sequence of dummy specimens. As mentioned before, these are manufactured at the outer holes of the mould.

The manufacture procedure for these dummy specimens is very similar to the one presented for the test specimens. However, it differs slightly as the thermocouple lead must be placed inside the uncured adhesive. To keep the thermocouple lead in position, one must also place the thermocouple insert, shown in green in Figure 44. This insert also avoids the escape of adhesive through the thermocouple hole. After the thermocouple is secured inside the adhesive layer, the procedure is again similar to the one described for the test specimen.
The aluminium beam is placed over the adhesive layer and centred, and the mould is closed, see Figure 45.

Figure 45 - Schematic closed mould with the two dummy specimens

Figure 46 shows the complete set of specimens, ready to be tested and Figure 47 shows a schematic closed mould with an entire cast specimens set up: two pairs of specimens with thermocouples inserted (dummy specimens to allow measurement of the temperature) and two test specimens to be subjected to the actual resonance test for measuring the glass transition temperature.

Figure 46 - Pair of set specimens

Figure 47 – Schematic closed mould with a complete set of specimens

Before the mould is used for specimen manufacture, some preparations are needed, such as: scraping the surface using an aluminium spatula (because the aluminium is softer than the CK45-steel of the mould it prevents possible damages on the mould during the cleaning procedure). This is necessary to remove some hard adhesive glued on the mould surface as well as cleaning other type of dust particles.

The chemical cleaning procedure consists in cleaning and degreasing each component separately from the mould with acetone (handling the acetone with care, in a ventilated place/area).
To be ready for use, the mould must be covered with mould release agent. To prepare for the application of this product, the mould first goes into the oven for 10-15 minutes at 50 °C. The mould release agent cures faster at this temperature. The release agent was then applied in at least five layers. Between each application all the mould components were dried very well. Special care with the rectangular holes of the plate 2 is needed, because it is extremely important to easily remove the cast specimen from the mould. Special care is also needed with the two holes for the thermocouples, because this is a very problematic area. If there is not sufficient mould release agent in this area it is very hard to remove the thermocouples in good shape.

To remove the dummy specimen two steps are needed. The first step is to remove the thermocouple, and the second step is to remove the dummy specimen. The test specimen can be removed in a single step.

Thermal gloves are necessary to safely remove the mould from the heated plates press and place it on the table surface, where it rests during a couple of minutes to cool down. At this moment all the mould components can be disassembled as shown in Figure 48. This is always done with the mould at room temperature.

Figure 48 - The mould disassembled
The next step is to remove the thermocouple, with the mould disassembled. Plate 2 is then turned down and placed over the plate 1 and plate 3, shown in Figure 49a. Using a piece of wire about 2 mm in diameter and a hammer, the thermocouple insert is removed, as identified in Figure 49b, with caution to avoid damage of the thermocouple wire. Plate 2 is then turned again, as shown in Figure 49c, and the thermocouple wire is finally removed.

The removal of the cast specimen is only done in the last step. Using a hammer and a pin removal tool, impacts are applied in the rectangular hole of plate 2, directly in the cast specimen that is to be removed, see Figure 49c.
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Figure 49 - Removal of the dummy specimen, a) Step 1, b) Step 2, c) Step 3
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4 Results

The glass transition temperature was measured for two pairs of specimens for each adhesive using the dynamic mechanical test device described in section 3.1. $T_g$ was measured during the heating and cooling stages, at heating and cooling rates of 16 and 8 °C/min, respectively.

Figures 50, 52 and 54 give curves of displacement amplitude (value inversely proportional to the damping of the adhesive) versus temperature for the heating and cooling cycles for each adhesive.

Figures 51, 53 and 55 give curves of $1/(\text{displacement amplitude})$ (value proportional to the damping of the adhesive) versus temperature for the heating and cooling cycles for each adhesive.

Figure 50 - Dynamic mechanical test results, Amplitude versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive XN1244

Figure 51 – Dynamic mechanical test results, Damping versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive XN1244
Development of a dynamic mechanical analysis with a vibrating beam method to determine the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of adhesives

Figure 52 - Dynamic mechanical test results, Amplitude versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive Araldite® 2011

Figure 53 – Dynamic mechanical test results, Damping versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive Araldite® 2011
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Figure 54 - Dynamic mechanical test results, Amplitude versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive Araldite® AV 138M with HV 998

Figure 55 – Dynamic mechanical test results, Damping versus Temperature for the epoxy adhesive Araldite® AV 138M with HV 998

The T_g values measured during the heating and cooling stages for each adhesive are presented in Table 3.

Table 3- Glass transition temperatures measured for each specimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoxy Adhesives</th>
<th>Expected result (see section 3.2)</th>
<th>Experimental result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T_g (ºC)</td>
<td>T_g (ºC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heating</td>
<td>Cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagase Chemtex® XN1244</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araldite® 2011</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araldite® AV 138M with Hardener HV 998</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference in results may arise from the fact that in the method DMTA the peak of tan δ is used for measuring $T_g$ which, shows great influence of the frequency at which the test is performed (Li et al, 2000).

Another reason for this difference of the $T_g$ is due to the fact that the cooling is higher than for heating. This difference may be explained by the difference of the rate of heating and cooling, which can lead to heterogeneities of the temperature during heating in the specimens and thus leading to values of $T_g$ slightly changed.
5 Conclusions

This work was divided in three main phases. The first phase consisted in the theoretical study of the glass transition phenomenon, its importance for engineering adhesive joints and the methods currently used for the determination of this property. Advantages and disadvantages of each method were noted, so that the possible points of improvement were identified. It was noted that current methods are expensive and time consuming, which make them unavailable for many laboratories. The development of a simpler and cheaper solution was therefore the logical aim of this work.

The next phase was the development of equipment and procedures for the vibrating beam technique. Holders, magnetic coils, moulds and specimens were the main focus of this stage, as significant improvement was necessary. The electronics were already sufficiently developed in other works. This work resulted in simpler manufacturing procedures as well as an increased reliability of the mechanical components of the apparatus.

Lastly, a small experimental procedure was undertaken to validate the method used in this work against the other established methods. This procedure used three adhesives with significantly different chemical compositions and mechanical properties, as a way to guarantee the accuracy of the method in different conditions. As shown in the previous chapter, the results were very satisfactory and demonstrated the viability of the method and procedures presented in this work.
6 Future works

Improve the device; especially miniaturize electronic components, some parts of the holder structure, support coil, so that it can work more efficiently, faster and easy to use.

Make further tests with different adhesives (or other polymeric materials) to calibrate the device with more accuracy and improve the way of obtain results of data acquisition.

Develop a portable low temperature chamber, to obtain negative $T_g$ (instead of the portable oven, which allows to measure only positive $T_g$). The chamber must be compact (especially in width) to enable to place it where the oven is currently located without replacing the components of the machine.
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Appendix

Appendix A: Mould for specimen manufacture drawings

Figure 56 - Drawing of the plate 1
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Figure 57 - Drawing of the plate 2
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Figure 58 - Drawing of the plate 3
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Figure 59 - Drawing of the thermocouple inserts
Figure 60 - Drawing of the mould for specimen manufacture assembled
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Figure 61 - Drawing of the assembly set of the mould

<table>
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<th>Mould for specimen manufacture drawing</th>
</tr>
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Appendix B: Technical information sheet of:

Appendix B1: Araldite® 2020A/B (XW 396 / XW 397) from Huntsman®

Used in Coils.

Advanced Materials

Araldite® 2020 (XW 396 / XW 397)
Structural Adhesives

Araldite® 2020 (XW 396/XW 397)
Two component clear epoxy adhesive system

Key properties
- Low viscosity, water white adhesive
- Especially suitable for glass and ceramic bonding
- Suitable for clear castings and laminates
- Refractive index similar to that of glass

Description
Araldite XW396 / XW397 is a two component, room temperature curing, low viscosity adhesive specifically designed for glass bonding. It is also suitable for bonding a wide variety of metals, ceramics, rubbers, rigid plastics and most other materials in common use.

Product data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020 A</th>
<th>2020 B</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colour (visual)</td>
<td>Water white</td>
<td>Water white</td>
<td>Water white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>ca 1.12</td>
<td>ca 0.95</td>
<td>ca 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (mPas)</td>
<td>ca 150</td>
<td>ca 150</td>
<td>ca 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot life (100 gm at 25°C)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40 - 50 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelf life (2 - 40°C)</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash point (°C)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>&gt;120</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing

Pretreatment
The strength and durability of a bonded joint are dependant on proper treatment of the surfaces to be bonded. At the very least, joint surfaces should be cleaned with a good degreasing agent such as acetone, iso-propanol (for plastics) or other proprietary degreasing agents in order to remove all traces of oil, grease and dirt.
Low-grade alcohol, gasoline (petrol) or paint thinners should never be used. The strongest and most durable joints are obtained by either mechanically abrading or chemically etching (“pickling”) the degreased surfaces. Abrading should be followed by a second degreasing treatment.

Mix ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix ratio</th>
<th>Parts by weight</th>
<th>Parts by volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020 A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resin and hardener should be blended until they form a homogeneous mix.

Application of adhesive
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The resin/hardener mix may be applied manually or robotically to the pretreated and dry joint surfaces. Huntsman’s technical support group can assist the user in the selection of an suitable application method as well as suggest a variety of reputable companies that manufacture and service adhesive dispensing equipment.

A layer of adhesive 0.05 to 0.10 mm thick will normally impart the greatest lap shear strength to the joint. Huntsman stresses that proper adhesive joint design is also critical for a durable bond. The joint components should be assembled and secured in a fixed position as soon as the adhesive has been applied.

For more detailed explanations regarding surface preparation and pretreatment, adhesive joint design, and the dual syringe dispensing system, visit www.araldite2000plus.com.

Equipment maintenance

All tools should be cleaned with hot water and soap before adhesives residues have had time to cure. The removal of cured residues is a difficult and time-consuming operation.

If solvents such as acetone are used for cleaning, operatives should take the appropriate precautions and, in addition, avoid skin and eye contact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Times to minimum shear strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure time to reach LSS &gt; 1N/mm² (hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure time to reach LSS &gt; 10N/mm² (minutes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSS = Lap shear strength.

Typical cured properties

Unless otherwise stated, the figures given below were all determined by testing standard specimens made by lap-jointing 114 x 25 x 1.6 mm strips of aluminium alloy. The joint area was 12.5 x 25 mm in each case.

The figures were determined with typical production batches using standard testing methods. They are provided solely as technical information and do not constitute a product specification.

Average lap shear strengths of typical metal-to-metal joints (ISO 4587)

Cured for 16 hours at 40°C and tested at 23°C

Pretreatment - Sand blasting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lap shear strength (N/mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium L165</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel 37 / 11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel V4A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanized steel</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: compression shear strength
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Average lap shear strengths of typical plastic-to-plastic joints (ISO 4587)
Cured for 16 hours at 40°C and tested at 23°C
Pretreatment - Lightly abrade and iso-propanol degrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>N/mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamide(nylon6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lap shear strength versus temperature (ISO 4587) (typical average values)
Cure: (a) = 7 days/23°C; (b) = 24 hours/23°C + 30 minutes/80°C

Lap shear strength versus tropical weathering
(40/92, DIN 50015; typical average values)
Cure: 16 hours/40°C; Test: at 23°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>N/mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As made value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 30 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 60 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 90 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graphs and tables showing lap shear strengths under different conditions.
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Lap shear strength versus heat ageing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure: 16 hours/40°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-made value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 days/ 70°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 days/ 70°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 days/ 70°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/mm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lap shear strength versus immersion in various media (typical average values)

Unless otherwise stated, L.S.S. was determined after immersion for 90 days at 23°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure: 16 hour/40°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-made value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline (petrol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricating oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 23°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 90°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/mm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>30 days</th>
<th>60 days</th>
<th>90 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degraded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roller peel test (ISO 4578)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cured 16 hours/40°C</td>
<td>0.21 N/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass transition temperature (°C)</td>
<td>approx. 39.5°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal cycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 cycles of 6 hour duration from -30°C to 70°C</td>
<td>4.5 N/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Properties (ISO 178)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure 16 hours/ 40°C tested at 23°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength</td>
<td>74.9 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Modulus</td>
<td>2467.9 MPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Storage

Araldite 2020/A and Araldite 2020/B may be stored for up to 3 years at room temperature provided the components are stored in sealed containers. The expiry date is indicated on the label.

### Handling precautions

**Caution**

Our products are generally quite harmless to handle provided that certain precautions normally taken when handling chemicals are observed. The uncured materials must not, for instance, be allowed to come into contact with foodstuffs or food utensils, and measures should be taken to prevent the uncured materials from coming in contact with the skin, since people with particularly sensitive skin may be affected. The wearing of impervious rubber or plastic gloves will normally be necessary; likewise the use of eye protection. The skin should be thoroughly cleansed at the end of each working period by washing with soap and warm water. The use of solvents is to be avoided.

Disposable paper - not cloth towels - should be used to dry the skin. Adequate ventilation of the working area is recommended. These precautions are described in greater detail in the Material Safety Data sheets for the individual products and should be referred to for fuller information.

---

Huntsman Advanced Materials

All recommendations for the use of our products, whether given by us in writing, verbally, or to be implied from the results of tests carried out by us, are based on the current state of our knowledge. Notwithstanding any such recommendations the Buyer shall remain responsible for satisfying himself that the products as supplied by us are suitable for his intended process or purpose. Since we cannot control the application, use or processing of the products, we cannot accept responsibility therefor. The Buyer shall ensure that the intended use of the products will not infringe any third party's intellectual property rights. We warrant that our products are free from defects in accordance with and subject to our general conditions of supply.

Huntsman Advanced Materials (Switzerland) GmbH

Klybeckstrasse 200

4057 Basel

Switzerland

Tel: +41 (0)61 966 33 33

Fax: +41 (0)61 966 35 19

www.huntsman.com/advanced_materials

---

Huntsman Advanced Materials warrants only that its products meet the specifications agreed with the buyer. Typical properties, where stated, are to be considered as representative of current production and should not be treated as specifications.

The manufacture of materials is the subject of granted patents and patent applications; freedom to operate patented processes is not implied by this publication.

While all the information and recommendations in this publication are, to the best of our knowledge, information and belief, accurate at the date of publication, NOTHING HEREIN IS TO BE CONSTRUED AS A WARRANT, EXPRESS OR OTHERWISE.

IN ALL CASES, IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER TO DETERMINE THE APPLICABILITY OF SUCH INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE SUITABILITY OF ANY PRODUCT FOR ITS OWN PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

The behaviour of the products referred to in this publication in manufacturing processes and their suitability in any green end-use environment are dependent upon various conditions such as chemical compatibility, temperature, and other variables, which are not known to Huntsman Advanced Materials. It is the responsibility of the user to evaluate the manufacturing circumstances and the final product under actual end-use requirements and to adequately advise and warn purchasers and users thereof.

Products may be toxic and require special precautions in handling. The user should obtain Safety Data Sheets from Huntsman Advanced Materials containing detailed information on toxicity, together with proper shipping, handling and storage procedures, and should comply with all applicable safety and environmental standards.

Hazards, toxicity and behaviour of the products may differ when used with other materials and are dependent on manufacturing circumstances or other processes. Such hazards, toxicity and behaviour should be determined by the user and made known to handlers, processors and end users.

Except where explicitly agreed otherwise, the sale of products referred to in this publication is subject to the general terms and conditions of sale of Huntsman Advanced Materials LLC or its affiliated companies including without limitation, Huntsman Advanced Materials (Europe) BVBA, Huntsman Advanced Materials Americas Inc., and Huntsman Advanced Materials (Hong Kong) Ltd.

Huntsman Advanced Materials is an international business unit of Huntsman Corporation. Huntsman Advanced Materials trades through Huntsman affiliated companies in different countries including but not limited to Huntsman Advanced Materials LLC in the USA and Huntsman Advanced Materials (Europe) BVBA in Europe.

[Araldite® 2020] is a registered trademark of Huntsman Corporation or an affiliate thereof. All rights reserved.

---
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Appendix B2: Epoxy Resin XN1244 from Nagase Chemtex®
Used in cast specimens.

One-component Epoxy Adhesive

EPOXY RESIN XN1244

= Description =
- Curable at 85°C.
- Good adhesion to metals, ceramics and plastics.
- Good high temperature and thermal adhesive strength.
- Long shelf life.

The information given in this publication is based on the present state of our knowledge but any conclusion and recommendations are made without liability on our part. Buyers and users should make their own assessment of our products under their own conditions and for their own requirements.
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---

**Properties before Curing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Black Paste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>95,000 mPa·s at 25 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash point</td>
<td>&gt; 200°C (Cleveland open cup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gellation time</td>
<td>40 sec. at 150 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Cure condition**

- 30 min. at 120°C

---

**Cured Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lap shear strength</th>
<th>24 N/mm² at 25°C</th>
<th>Mild steel(t=1.6mm), overlap:10mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-Peel strength</td>
<td>49 N/25mm</td>
<td>Mild steel(t=0.4mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_g</td>
<td>144°C</td>
<td>Cure : 60min at 120°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Lap shear strength after aging at elevated temperature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure : 30min/120°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 days/180°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 days/150°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix B3: Araldite® 2011 from Huntsman®

Advanced Materials

Araldite® 2011-A/B

MULTI-PURPOSE EPOXY ADHESIVE

DESCRIPTION: Araldite® 2011-A/B epoxy adhesive is a multi-purpose, viscous material that is suitable for bonding a variety of materials, including metal, ceramic, and wood. The electrically insulating adhesive is easy to apply either manually by spatula and stiff brush or mechanically with meter/mix and coating equipment. Araldite® 2011-A/B epoxy adhesive cures at temperatures from 68°F (20°C) to 356°F (180°C) with no release of volatile constituents. It qualifies to ABR 2-1079 and DAN 1284-01.

APPLICATIONS:
- Metal
- Ceramics
- Wood
- Vulcanized Rubber
- Foams
- Plastics

ADVANTAGES:
- Long open time
- High shear and peel strength
- Easy to apply
- Good resistance to static and dynamic loads
- Electrically insulating

TYPICAL PROPERTIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Resin</th>
<th>Hardener</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color/appearance</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Creamy, viscous/liquid</td>
<td>Amber Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>ASTM D-792</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (cP) @ 77°F (25°C)</td>
<td>ASTM D-2393</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TYPICAL MIXED PROPERTIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Test Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Ratio (by weight)</td>
<td>ASTM D-2471</td>
<td>100R/80H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Ratio (by volume)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100R/100H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot Life, hours @ 77°F (25°C)</td>
<td>ASTM D-2393</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4 fl. oz. mass)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed viscosity (cP) @ 77°F (25°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Tested @ 77°F (25°C)
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- **Araldite® 2011-A/B**

### RECOMMENDED CURE SCHEDULES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Handling Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Cure Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68°F (20°C)</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
<td>15 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77°F (25°C)</td>
<td>7 hours</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104°F (40°C)</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158°F (70°C)</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>50 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212°F (100°C)</td>
<td>6 minutes</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302°F (150°C)</td>
<td>4 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TYPICAL CURED PROPERTIES:

**Application of Adhesive**

The resin/hardener mix is applied with a spatula to the pretreated and dry joint surfaces.

A layer of adhesive 0.002 to 0.004-inches (0.05 to 0.10-mm) thick will normally impart the greatest lap shear strength to a joint.

The joint components should be assembled and clamped as soon as the adhesive has been applied. Even contact throughout suffices to ensure proper cure.

**Standard Test Specimens**

Unless otherwise stated, the figures given below were all determined by testing standard specimens made up by lap-jointing 4-inch x 1-inch x 0.06-inch (10-cm x 2.5-cm x 1.5-mm) strips of aluminum. The joint area was 0.5 x 1 inch (12.5 mm x 2.5 cm) in each case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Test Values (*2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lap Shear Strength, psi (MPa)</td>
<td>ASTM D-1002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of cure time and temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Test Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68°F (20°C)</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>710 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 hours</td>
<td>1990 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72 hours</td>
<td>2280 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158°F (70°C)</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>3130 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>3410 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>3200 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212°F (100°C)</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>3700 (25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>3960 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>4120 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302°F (150°C)</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>4270 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>4410 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>4410 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lap Shear Strength, psi (MPa)</td>
<td>ASTM D-1002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of Test Temperature**

(Load applied 10 minutes after specimens reach test temperature.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure Cycle</th>
<th>Test Temp.</th>
<th>Test Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 days @ 77ºF (25ºC)</td>
<td>-76ºF (-60ºC)</td>
<td>2840 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-4ºF (-20ºC)</td>
<td>2840 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68ºF (20ºC)</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104ºF (40ºC)</td>
<td>1420 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140ºF (60ºC)</td>
<td>570 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min @ 212ºF (100ºC)</td>
<td>-76ºF (-60ºC)</td>
<td>3560 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-46ºF (-20ºC)</td>
<td>3410 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68ºF (20ºC)</td>
<td>3980 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104ºF (40ºC)</td>
<td>1990 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140ºF (60ºC)</td>
<td>1000 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^Tested @ 77ºF (25ºC)

**Effect of Immersion**

(Cure cycle 16 hours @ 104ºF (40ºC). Immersion for 90 days in media listed.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Test Values^(\text{I})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard - As prepared</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone (30 days)</td>
<td>570 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene</td>
<td>430 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>2410 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate (30 days)</td>
<td>570 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid 10%</td>
<td>Degraded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Degraded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricating Oil - HD30</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>Degraded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>Degraded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water @ 68ºF (20ºC)</td>
<td>1420 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water @ 194ºF (90ºC)</td>
<td>430 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of Tropical Exposure**

(104ºF (40ºC)/92% R.H.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure Cycle</th>
<th>Exposure Time</th>
<th>Test Values^(\text{II})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 hrs @ 104ºF (40ºC)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>1710 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>1560 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>570 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min @ 212ºF (100ºC)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>3980 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>1710 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>1560 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>1280 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^\(\text{I}\)Tested @ 77ºF (25ºC)
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Lap Shear Strength, psi (MPa)
Effect of Heat Aging
(Cured 16 hours @ 104°F (40°C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aging Temperature</th>
<th>Exposure Time</th>
<th>Test Values(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68°F (20°C)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>2280 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>1710 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1990 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>1990 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140°F (60°C)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>2560 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2420 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176°F (80°C)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1280 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>710 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>710 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>430 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>280 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248°F (120°C)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2280 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>2280 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>2130 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property
Lap Shear Strength (psi)
Tested on Metal Substrates
(Cured 20 min @ 212°F (100°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Substrate Thickness (in./mm)</th>
<th>Test Values(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Steel</td>
<td>0.039/1.0</td>
<td>3840 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>0.039/1.0</td>
<td>3270 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanized Steel</td>
<td>0.06/1.5</td>
<td>1990 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.06/1.5</td>
<td>3270 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass</td>
<td>0.06/1.5</td>
<td>2990 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Tested @ 77°F (25°C)
(2) Surface degreased only, not roughened.

Property
Fatigue Strength (psi)
Tested using a load frequency of 90 Hz and a 1 inch (25 mm) joint overlap
(Cured 20 min @ 212°F (100°C)
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### Table: Fatigue Limit Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Static Shear Strength</th>
<th>Cycles to Failure[^1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$10^3$-$10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>$10^4$-$10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$10^5$-$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$10^5$-$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$10^5$-$10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$10^7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Test Values[^1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Tensile Strength (psi)</td>
<td>ASTM D-638</td>
<td>4800 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation (%)</td>
<td>ASTM D-638</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg per DMA, °F (°C)</td>
<td>ASTM D-4065</td>
<td>146 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Shore D)</td>
<td>ASTM D-2240</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (in/in/°C)</td>
<td>ASTM E-831</td>
<td>8.5 x $10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller Peel Test, pli (N/mm)</td>
<td>ISO 4578</td>
<td>28 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^1]: Tested @ 77°F (25°C)

### Storage/Epoxy resins and hardeners should be stored in a dry place in their original, sealed containers at temperatures from 60-100°F (16-38°C). Material temperatures should be above 65°F (18°C) when mixing. After use, tightly reseal containers.

Under these conditions, epoxy resins and hardeners will remain useable for 12 months from date of shipping from Huntsman.

### Caution:

Huntsman Advanced Materials Americas Inc. maintains up-to-date Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) on all of its products. These sheets contain pertinent information that you may need to protect your employees and customers against any known health or safety hazards associated with our products. Users should review the latest MSDS to determine possible health hazards and appropriate precautions to implement prior to using this material. Copies of the latest MSDS may be requested by calling our customer service group at 800-367-8793 or emailing your request to adhesives_group@huntsman.com.

### First Aid!

**Eyes and skin:** Flush eyes with water for 15 minutes. Contact a physician if irritation persists. Wash skin thoroughly with soap and water. Remove and wash contaminated clothing before reuse.

**Inhalation:** Remove subject to fresh air.

**Swallowing:** Dilute by giving water to drink and contact a physician promptly. Never give anything to drink to an unconscious person.

### Keep Out of Reach of Children

FOR PROFESSIONAL AND INDUSTRIAL USE ONLY
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IMPORTANT LEGAL NOTICE

Sales of the product described herein ("Product") are subject to the general terms and conditions of sale of either Huntsman Advanced Materials LLC, or its appropriate affiliate including without limitation Huntsman Advanced Materials (Europe) BVBA, Huntsman Advanced Materials Americas Inc., or Huntsman Advanced Materials (Hong Kong) Ltd. ("Huntsman"). The following supersedes Buyer’s documents.

Huntsman warrants that at the time and place of delivery all Products sold to Buyer shall conform to the specifications provided to Buyer by Huntsman.

While the information and recommendations included in this publication are, to the best of Huntsman’s knowledge, accurate as of the date of publication, NOTHING CONTAINED HEREIN (EXCEPT AS SET FORTH ABOVE REGARDING CONFORMANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS PROVIDED TO BUYER BY HUNTSMAN) IS TO BE CONSTRUED AS A REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NONINFRINGEMENT OF ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, OR WARRANTIES AS TO QUALITY OR CORRESPONDENCE WITH PRIOR DESCRIPTION OR SAMPLE, AND THE BUYER ASSUMES ALL RISK AND LIABILITY WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM THE USE OF SUCH PRODUCT, WHETHER USED SINGLY OR IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER SUBSTANCES.

No statements or recommendations made herein are to be construed as a representation about the suitability of any Product for the particular application of Buyer or user or as an inducement to infringe any patent or other intellectual property right. Buyer is responsible to determine the applicability of such information and recommendations and the suitability of any Product for its own particular purpose, and to ensure that its intended use of the Product does not infringe any intellectual property rights.

The Product may be or become hazardous. The Buyer should obtain Material Safety Data Sheets and Technical Data Sheets from Huntsman containing detailed information on Product hazards and toxicity, together with proper shipping, handling and storage procedures for the Product, and should comply with all applicable governmental laws, regulations and standards relating to the handling, use, storage, distribution and disposal of, and exposure to the Product. Buyer shall also take all steps necessary to adequately inform, warn and familiarize its employees, agents, direct and indirect customers and contractors who may handle or be exposed to the Product of all hazards pertaining to and proper procedures for safe handling, use, storage, transportation and disposal of and exposure to the Product, and the containers or equipment in which the Product may be handled, shipped or stored.

Araldite is a registered trademark of Huntsman LLC or an affiliate thereof in one or more countries, but not all countries.

© 2006 Huntsman Advanced Materials Americas Inc.
Appendix B4: Araldite® AV 138M with Hardener HV 998 from Huntsman®

Structural Adhesives

Araldite® AV 138M with Hardener HV 998

Two component epoxy adhesive

Key properties
- Low out gassing / volatile loss
- Excellent chemical resistance
- Temperature resistant to 120°C
- Cures at temperatures down to 5°C
- Thixotropic, gap filling paste

Description
Araldite AV 138M with Hardener HV 998 is a two component, room temperature curing paste adhesive of high strength. When fully cured the adhesive will have excellent performance at elevated temperatures and has high chemical resistance. It is suitable for bonding a wide variety of metals, ceramics, glass, rubbers, rigid plastics and other materials, and is widely used in many industrial applications where resistance to aggressive or warm environments are required. The low out gassing makes this product suitable for specialist electronic telecommunication and aerospace applications.

Typical product data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>AV 138M</th>
<th>HV 998</th>
<th>Mixed adhesive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colour (visual)</td>
<td>beige</td>
<td>grey</td>
<td>grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>ca. 1.7</td>
<td>ca. 1.7</td>
<td>ca. 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (Pas)</td>
<td>thixotropic</td>
<td>thixotropic</td>
<td>thixotropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot Life (100 gm at 25°C)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35 mins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing

Pre-treatment
The strength and durability of a bonded joint are dependant on proper treatment of the surfaces to be bonded. At the very least, joint surfaces should be cleaned with a good degreasing agent such as acetone or other proprietary degreasing agents in order to remove all traces of oil, grease and dirt. Low grade alcohol, gasoline (petrol) or paint thinners should never be used.

The strongest and most durable joints are obtained by either mechanically abrading or chemically etching ('pickling') the degreased surfaces. Abrading should be followed by a second degreasing treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix ratio</th>
<th>Parts by weight</th>
<th>Parts by volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Araldite AV138M</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardener HV 998</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resin and hardener should be blended until they form a homogeneous mix.

Application of adhesive
The resin/hardener mix is applied with a spatula, to the pretreated and dry joint surfaces.

A layer of adhesive 0.05 to 0.10 mm thick will normally impart the greatest lap shear strength to the joint.

The joint components should be assembled and clamped as soon as the adhesive has been applied. An even contact pressure throughout the joint area will ensure optimum cure.
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Mechanical processing
Specialist firms have developed metering, mixing and spreading equipment that enables the bulk processing of adhesive. We will be pleased to advise customers on the choice of equipment for their particular needs.

Equipment maintenance
All tools should be cleaned with hot water and soap before adhesives residues have had time to cure. The removal of cured residues is a difficult and time-consuming operation.
If solvents such as acetone are used for cleaning, operatives should take the appropriate precautions and, in addition, avoid skin and eye contact.

Curing times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cure time hours</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure time minutes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSS at 23°C N/mm²</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSS = Lap shear strength.

Typical cured properties
Unless otherwise stated, the figures given below were all determined by testing standard specimens made by lap-jointing 170 x 25 x 1.5 mm strips of aluminium alloy. The joint area was 12.5 x 25 mm in each case.
The figures were determined with typical production batches using standard testing methods. They are provided solely as technical information and do not constitute a product specification.

Average lap shear strengths of typical metal-to-metal joints (ISO 4587)
Cure: 16 hours at 40°C and tested at 23°C
Pretreatment - Sand blasting

Shear modulus (DIN 53445)
Cure: 16 hours at 40°C
25°C - 3GPa
50°C - 2GPa
75°C - 0.4GPa
100°C - 0.1GPa
125°C - 3MPa
150°C - 2MPa
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Mechanical processing

Specialist firms have developed metering, mixing and spreading equipment that enables the bulk processing of adhesives. We will be pleased to advise customers on the choice of equipment for their particular needs.

Equipment maintenance

All tools should be cleaned with hot water and soap before adhesives residues have had time to cure. The removal of cured residues is a difficult and time-consuming operation. If solvents such as acetone are used for cleaning, operators should take the appropriate precautions and, in addition, avoid skin and eye contact.

Curing times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Cure time hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSS = Lap shear strength.

Typical cured properties

Unless otherwise stated, the figures given below were all determined by testing standard specimens made by lap-jointing 170 x 25 x 1.5 mm strips of aluminium alloy. The joint area was 12.5 x 25 mm in each case. The figures were determined with typical production batches using standard testing methods. They are provided solely as technical information and do not constitute a product specification.

Average lap shear strengths of typical metal-to-metal joints (ISO 4587)

Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C and tested at 23 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Shear modulus GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand blasting</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel 37/11</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel V4A</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanised steel</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shear modulus (DIN 53445)

Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Shear modulus GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roller peel test (ISO 4578)

Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C

Shore hardness

|                  | D84-86 |

Tensile strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C</th>
<th>Tensile modulus GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elongation at break

|                  | 1.2%                |

Electrolytic corrosion (DIN 53489) - Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C

Tested 4 days in 40°C/92% RH as specified in DIN 50015 Rated AN1

Coefficient of linear thermal expansion (VSM 77110)

Tested over range 18-93 °C. Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C

\[6 \times 10^{-5} \text{K}^{-1}\]

Volume resistivity (VSDE 0303) at 22°C

|                  | 1.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ohm cm at } 50 \text{ Hz} |

Electric strength (VSM 7710) at 22°C

|                  | 45.8 kV (instantaneous value) |

Fatigue test on simple lap joints (DIN 532852)

Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C. Test frequency 90-130Hz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25% of static failing load</th>
<th>10^7 cycles to failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% of static failing load</td>
<td>10^6 cycles to failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lap shear strength versus immersion in various media (typical average values)

Unless otherwise stated, L.S.S. was determined after immersion for 90 days at 23 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>L.S.S. N/mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-made value</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline (petrol)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, 10%</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricating oil</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 23°C</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 90°C</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lap shear strength versus temperature (ISO 4587) (typical average values)

Cure: 16 hours at 40 °C

![Graph showing lap shear strength versus temperature](image)
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Lap shear strength versus heat ageing

Cure: 16 hours at 40°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>N/mm² 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As made value</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years / 40°C</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years / 80°C</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 days / 150°C</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lap shear strength versus tropical weathering

(ISO 9283, DIN 50015; typical average values)
Test at 23°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>N/mm² 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As made value</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 30 days</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 60 days</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 90 days</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Storage

Araldite AV 138M and hardener HV 998 may be stored for up to 6 years and 3 years respectively at room temperature provided that the components are stored in sealed containers. The expiry date is indicated on the label.

Handling precautions

Caution

Our products are generally quite harmless to handle provided that certain precautions normally taken when handling chemicals are observed. The uncured materials must not, for instance, be allowed to come into contact with foodstuffs or food utensils, and measures should be taken to prevent the uncured materials from coming in contact with the skin, since people with particularly sensitive skin may be affected. The wearing of impervious rubber or plastic gloves will normally be necessary; likewise the use of eye protection. The skin should be thoroughly cleansed at the end of each working period by washing with soap and warm water. The use of solvents is to be avoided. Disposable paper - not cloth towels - should be used to dry the skin. Adequate ventilation of the working area is recommended. These precautions are described in greater detail in the Material Safety Data sheets for the individual products and should be referred to for fuller information.