

MESTRADO INTEGRADO EM MEDICINA

Bipolar disorder and pulmonary embolism: A Portuguese population-based observational retrospective study (2008–2015)

Inês Maria Souto Silva

M

2025



FACULDADE DE MEDICINA

Trabalho organizado de acordo com as normas
da revista Journal of Affective Disorders



Eu, Inês Maria Souto Silva, abaixo assinado, nº mecanográfico 201905190, estudante do 6º ano do Ciclo de Estudos Integrado em Medicina, na Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, declaro ter actuado com absoluta integridade na elaboração do meu trabalho de Dissertação ou Monografia.

Neste sentido, confirmo que **NÃO** incorri em plágio (acto pelo qual um indivíduo, mesmo por omissão, assume a autoria de um determinado trabalho intelectual, ou partes dele). Mais declaro que todas as frases que retirei de trabalhos anteriores pertencentes a outros autores, foram referenciadas, ou redigidas com novas palavras, tendo colocado, neste caso, a citação da fonte bibliográfica.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 06/03/2025

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Inês Silva

NOME

Inês Maria Souto Silva

NÚMERO DE ESTUDANTE

201905190

E-MAIL

inesmariasilva01@gmail.com

DESIGNAÇÃO DA ÁREA DO PROJECTO

Neurociências clínicas e Saúde Mental

TÍTULO DISSERTAÇÃO/MONOGRAFIA (riscar o que não interessa)

Bipolar disorder and pulmonary embolism: A Portuguese population-based observational retrospective study (2008–2015)

ORIENTADOR

Dr. Manuel António Gonçalves de Pinho

COORIENTADOR (se aplicável)

Dr^a. Inês Conde Pacheco Barros Albuquerque

ASSINALE APENAS UMA DAS OPÇÕES:

É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO INTEGRAL DESTA TRABALHO APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO PARCIAL DESTA TRABALHO (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input type="checkbox"/>
DE ACORDO COM A LEGISLAÇÃO EM VIGOR, (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) NÃO É PERMITIDA A REPRODUÇÃO DE QUALQUER PARTE DESTA TRABALHO.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 06/03/2025

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Inês Silva

Eu, Inês Maria Souto Silva, abaixo assinado, nº mecanográfico 201905190, estudante do 6º ano do Ciclo de Estudos Integrado em Medicina, na Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, declaro que:

- Não procedi à utilização de ferramentas de *chatbox* generativo baseadas em *large language models* para nenhuma das tarefas no contexto do meu trabalho de Dissertação ou Monografia
- Procedi à utilização de ferramentas de *chatbox* generativo baseadas em *large language models* no contexto do meu trabalho de Dissertação ou Monografia, encontrando-se todas as interações (*prompts* e respostas) transcritas em anexo bem como a indicação das aplicações utilizadas.

Neste sentido, confirmo que a eventual utilização de ferramentas de *chatbox* generativo baseadas em *large language models* no contexto do meu trabalho de Dissertação ou Monografia foi exclusivamente descrita na sequência de *prompts* e respostas transcritos em anexo e nas aplicações indicadas.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 06/03/2025

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Inês Silva

Bipolar disorder and pulmonary embolism: A Portuguese population-based observational retrospective study (2008–2015)

Inês Souto Silva^a; Alberto Freitas^b ; Inês Albuquerque^{c,d}; Manuel Gonçalves-Pinho^{e,f}

^aFaculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; ^bRISE-Health, MEDCIDS, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; ^cDepartment of Internal Medicine, Unidade Local de Saúde São João; ^dDepartment of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Portugal; ^eRISE-Health, Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; ^fDepartment of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Unidade Local de Saúde Tâmega e Sousa, Penafiel, Portugal

*Corresponding Author: Manuel Gonçalves-Pinho manuelgpinho@med.up.pt
Rua Dr. Plácido da Costa, 4200 - 450 Porto, Portugal; Tel: +351 225 513 622

Orcid:

Inês Souto Silva: 0009-0009-6126-5022

Alberto Freitas: 0000-0003-2113-9653

Inês Albuquerque: 0000-0001-9401-526X

Manuel Gonçalves-Pinho: 0000-0001-6098-429X

Abstract

Bipolar Disorder (BD) is a chronic mood disorder associated with significant morbidity and a higher mortality rate compared to the general population. Previous studies have shown an association between BD and an increased risk of pulmonary embolism (PE).

The aim of this study is to study the correlation between BD and PE in Portuguese patients, and relate it to sociodemographic data, comorbidities and inpatient treatment.

We performed an observational retrospective study using a national hospitalization database that gathers all hospitalizations in Portuguese hospitals from 2008-2015.

Episodes of BD, PE, and other data were selected using the International Classification of Diseases version 9, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).

All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE were selected using the codes 415.1x. BD was identified based on the codes 296.xx (excluding 296.2x; 296.3x and 296.9x).

A total of 22140 hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE were analyzed and among these hospitalizations, 92 had a secondary diagnosis of BD (0.42%).

Both groups of patients who experienced a PE event, regardless of the diagnosis of BD, showed a higher prevalence of female patients. The median age at admission for the BD group was significantly lower when compared to the control group, and BD patients had a significantly higher percentage of obesity and tobacco use, which are both known risk factors for PE.

This is the first study aimed at correlating BD and PE in Portuguese patients, as there are no similar studies currently available in scientific literature.

Keywords: bipolar disorder, pulmonary embolism, administrative data, inpatient, hospitalization, big data

I. Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a severe and recurrent chronic mood disorder associated with great morbidity. It affects more than 1% of the world's population [1] and, in Portugal, a prevalence of 1.1% for bipolar disorder has been reported in an epidemiological study [2]. BD patients are recurrently hospitalized during their lives and this disorder is responsible for an important number of hospitalizations in the mental health system in Portugal [3]. These patients have a 2 to 3 times higher mortality rate than the general population and have an estimated 15-year decrease in life-expectancy [3-5].

The clinical manifestations of bipolar disorder are diverse and can range from hypomania or mild depression to severe forms of mania or depression [6]. Manic states of BP are the main cause of hospitalization when compared to depressive episodes [3, 7].

Several studies that associate BD with a near double increase in the risk of cardiovascular mortality when compared to general population estimates, which may be explained by the significant burden of cardiovascular risk factors found in this population [8]. There is also an association between BD and an increased risk of thromboembolism and pulmonary embolism (PE), as shown in previous studies [9-14]. For instance, a Danish study conducted in 2005 showed that patients with BD have a significantly increased occurrence of PE (increased incidence rate ratio of 1.61) compared to a non-psychiatric age- and sex-matched group [9].

Besides the higher risk of cardiovascular mortality and PE in BD patients, these patients also have higher morbidity from obesity and type 2 diabetes when compared to the general population, as a study conducted by Morriss, R. and Mohammed, FA. has shown [11]. This additional risk may be explained by reduced exercise and inactivity, poor diet, comorbidity with substance misuse and poor quality medical care common in these patients [11]. The existing literature even suggests that patients with BD receive fewer or delayed medical interventions, when admitted with severe somatic diseases, compared to those not diagnosed with BD [15]. The association between BD and thromboembolism can be explained by various factors, particularly the adverse effects of the medications used to treat BD [10, 16-22].

Antipsychotic agents (AP) users have a significantly increased risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) and PE [16-22]. This increased risk of VTE and PE was observed in taking haloperidol, risperidone, olanzapine and prochlorperazine [21]. Zonberg and Jick demonstrated that low-potency antipsychotics drugs like chlorpromazine and thioridazine were more strongly associated with VTE than high-potency antipsychotic drugs such as haloperidol [22].

AP agents have immobilization [23], lethargy and apathy as frequent side-effects. This immobilization causes venous stasis in the lower extremities, which might cause VTE in the lower extremities and in the pelvis.

Patients prescribed lithium also have a higher risk of venous thromboembolism, as shown by Ponzer, K., et al. [10].

Patients hospitalized with an acute psychiatric illness are also more prone to acute and chronic stress, which increases catecholamine levels and precipitates a state of hypercoagulability [9, 24].

Another explanation for the higher risk of PE in BD patients is that many psychiatric patients have comorbid substance use disorders, and the use of intravenously administered drugs is associated with a higher risk of deep vein thrombosis (DVT)

[9, 25, 26]. These patients can also develop infectious endocarditis, which can result in embolism in the pulmonary arteries [27]. Although many studies [9-14] show an association between BD and PE, there are no studies on a link between these conditions in Portuguese patients. Thus, the primary aim of this study is to describe a perspective of BD and PE in a nationwide Portuguese hospitalization database.

2. Methods

Study Design and Setting

We conducted a retrospective observational study using a national hospitalization database that gathers clinical and administrative data from all hospitalizations of patients ≥ 18 years old occurring in Portuguese mainland public hospitals, with discharges from 2008 to 2015. This anonymized database was provided by ACSS – *Administração Central do Sistema de Saúde I.P.* Data analysis, reporting, and manuscript formatting follow the Reporting of Studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-Collected Data (RECORD) statement recommendations [28].

Study Population

In this database, episodes of BD, PE and other diagnoses of interest, comorbidities, and procedures were selected based on International Classification of Diseases version 9, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).

All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE registered from 2008 to 2015 were selected using the codes 415.1x. BD was considered a secondary diagnosis and patients with the diagnosis of BD were selected using the codes 296.xx (excluding 296.2x; 296.3x and 296.9x).

Thus, subsequently, two groups of patients were created: one group corresponded to hospitalized patients diagnosed with PE and who do not have a diagnosis of BD, and the other group corresponded to hospitalized patients who have both these diagnoses.

Variables

Other clinical variables were analysed in both groups – namely, the diagnosis of obesity (278.0), type 2 diabetes (250.x), hypertension (401.x-405.x), hyperlipidaemia (272.0-272.4), tobacco use (305.1, V15.82) and alcohol abuse (305.0).

The type of treatment prescribed to patients with PE was also considered. We analysed the infusion of a thrombolytic agent (99.10), the use of a vena cava filter (38.7), pulmonary embolectomy (38.05) and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (39.65).

Age, sex, primary and secondary diagnoses, length of stay (LoS) and in-hospital mortality were also extracted from each single hospitalization episode with the primary diagnosis of PE.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistical analyses, independent sample t-tests and Chi-squared tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.29 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

A p-value of <0.05 was used to determine the statistical significance of the test results.

3. Results

A total of 22140 hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE were retrieved from a national hospitalization database, with discharges between 2008 and 2015. Among these hospitalizations, 92 had a secondary diagnosis of BD (0.42%).

Sociodemographic variables

Regarding sociodemographic characteristics, Table 1 shows that both groups have a higher proportion of female patients. The group with a secondary diagnosis of BD has a higher percentage of female patients (64.1%) compared to those without BD (61.0%). However, this difference is not statistically significant ($p = 0.543$).

The mean age at admission was approximately 61 years (SD of 13 years) for the BD group and 70 years (SD of 16 years) for the non-BD group. These results are statistically different ($p < 0.01$); hence BD patients tend to experience a PE event at an earlier age.

The median length of stay was 9 days with interquartile ranges (P25-P75) of 7-16 days in the BD group and 10 days with interquartile ranges of 6-15 days in the non-BD group ($p = 0.810$).

In-hospital mortality was higher in the non-BD group (13.1%) vs. the BD patients (8.7%) ($p = 0.213$).

Comorbidity variables

Regarding comorbidity variables (Table 2), the group with comorbid BD has a higher percentage of obesity (28.3%) and tobacco use (21.7%), when compared to those without a BD diagnosis (12.8% and 9.0%, respectively), ($p < 0.001$). The group with BD also has a higher percentage of alcohol abuse (1.1%), in contrast to those without a secondary diagnosis of BD (0.4%), ($p=0.333$).

Type 2 diabetes (18.0%), hyperlipidemia (20.9%) and hypertension (48.8%) were more common in PE hospitalizations without the secondary diagnosis of BD. Those diagnosed with BD had a percentage of 14.1%, 14.1% and 41.3%, and a p of 0.334, 0.110 and 0.150, respectively.

Treatment variables

Regarding treatment variables (Table 3), none of the results showed a statistically significant difference.

Thrombolytic infusion was more common in BD patients (7.6%) compared to those without this diagnosis (5.1%), ($p=0.241$).

There were no cases of patients with BD and PE treated with a vena cava filter, pulmonary embolectomy or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Furthermore, very few patients without a BD diagnosis underwent these treatment modalities.

4. Discussion

This study uses a national hospitalization database that gathers clinical and administrative data from all hospitalizations in Portuguese mainland public hospitals from 2008 to 2015. To our knowledge, it is the first study to analyse BD and PE in a nationwide Portuguese hospitalization database, and one of the few international studies investigating this association.

The register of a secondary diagnosis of BD in patients hospitalized with PE during the study period was 0.42%. The relatively low prevalence of BD may partially

explain this low prevalence. As previously mentioned, BD has an estimated prevalence of 1.1% in the Portuguese population [2]. A study conducted in Portugal reported an estimated incidence rate of PE of 46/100.000 inhabitants/year in 2018 [29]. Thus, similarly to BD, PE is an event with a relatively low incidence. Furthermore, both groups of patients with a PE event, regardless of the diagnosis of BD, showed a higher prevalence of female patients. This trend is consistent with previous studies on BD, which indicate that this condition is more prevalent in females [3]. Although this study demonstrates a higher prevalence of PE in female patients, the overall age-adjusted incidence of PE is similar between sexes [30]. The median age at admission for the BD group was significantly lower when compared to the non-BD group. This finding may suggest an association between BD and PE, as supported by previous studies [9]. The earlier occurrence of PE in patients with BD could indicate that BD contributes to a more premature development of PE in these individuals. This association can be further explained by the significantly higher register of obesity and tobacco use in BD patients, as presented in our findings. Both obesity and tobacco use are well-established risk factors for PE [31, 32]. The higher prevalence of obesity and tobacco use in the BD group, relative to the non-BD group, aligns with prior research showing that these comorbidities are more common among BD patients compared to the general population [33].

BD patients might have higher rates of obesity than the general population due to medication side effects, as certain antipsychotics and mood stabilizers used to treat this condition are associated with weight gain, and also due to excessive carbohydrate consumption, low rate and intensity of exercise, reduced fat oxidation, substance misuse, and maladaptive efforts at self-modulation of mood by over-eating [34]. Obesity contributes to an increased risk of venous thromboembolism and PE [31, 32] through several mechanisms. Excess body weight can lead to decreased mobility and venous stasis, promoting clot formation [35]. Obesity is also associated with a chronic inflammatory state, which increases activated protein C resistance and contributes to a hypercoagulable state [36].

Heffner, Jaimee L., et al. [37] demonstrated that individuals with BD are two to three times more likely to start smoking and may face greater challenges in initiating or maintaining smoking abstinence compared to those without psychiatric disorders. Although smoking cessation is achievable for this population, factors such as chronic mood dysregulation, a high prevalence of substance use, severe nicotine dependence, and limited social support can make the process more difficult [37]. Tobacco use further increases the risk of PE by raising plasma fibrinogen levels, a critical factor in thrombogenesis [38], and by enhancing coagulation through nicotine-induced upregulation of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, a key regulator of fibrinolysis [38]. Regarding the other comorbidities analyzed in this study, no significant differences were observed in the diagnoses of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, or alcohol abuse between the BD and non-BD groups. As a result, no conclusions can be drawn about the association of these comorbidities with the occurrence of PE in BD patients. Although a study conducted by Morriss, R. and Mohammed, FA. [11] showed a higher morbidity from obesity and type 2 diabetes in BD patients when compared to the general population, this study shows no statistical difference in the register of type 2 diabetes between the BD and non-BD group.

Another known risk factor for PE is immobilization [33], which was not analyzed in this study.

Patients without BD exhibited a higher in-hospital mortality rate. Although these results were not statistically significant, they could be attributed to the significantly higher age at admission of these patients. Patients without BD are significantly older compared to those with a secondary diagnosis of BD, which may account for the observed difference in in-hospital mortality.

5. Study Limitations

The presented article is a retrospective study. Thus, the main limitation of this study is the use of secondary data, as the information in the administrative database was not collected with the intention of this investigation.

As mentioned in the introduction of this study, the use of antipsychotic drugs is associated with an increased risk of PE [16-22]. However, in the database used for this study, we could not access information regarding the medication prescribed to patients hospitalized with PE. Therefore, this study has the limitation of not analyzing this highly relevant risk factor due to lack of access, considering that BD patients are frequently treated with antipsychotic drugs.

According to the ESC guidelines [39], only a small subset of patients require reperfusion therapy (thrombolysis/thrombectomy/catheter directed therapy): it is the standard of care for high risk patients and might be used as rescue therapy for intermediate-high risk patients who worsen on anticoagulation. Intermediate low and low risk patients are treated with anticoagulation [39].

As previously mentioned, we do not have access to patient medication data in the database used, which means we cannot determine the number of patients treated with anticoagulation, either in the group of patients with BD or in the group without this secondary diagnosis. This represents another limitation of this study, as it prevents a comprehensive analysis of the treatment approaches used for these patients.

In this study, we were only able to gather 92 hospitalized patients with both a diagnosis of PE and BD. As mentioned, BD and PE events are rare. However, the sample size is small, and the ability to obtain reproducible and significant results is also limited.

Administrative databases offer valuable and broadly applicable information suitable for descriptive studies such as this one. However, it is essential to consider that the accuracy of all recorded variables in these databases relies on the clinician's diagnostic precision and proper documentation. In Portugal, diagnostic and procedure coding is exclusively conducted by trained medical doctors, which enhances the quality of our data.

This study is restricted to hospitalizations in mainland public Portuguese hospitals and does not include information from private-sector hospitals, outpatient clinics, and primary care encounters. Consequently, this study may not reflect the reality of all Portuguese patients diagnosed with PE and BD.

6. Conclusion

This is the first study aimed at correlating BD and PE in Portuguese patients, as no similar studies are currently available in the international scientific literature.

This phenomenon must be studied in BD patients to promote prophylactic and preventive measures for PE in these individuals. Additionally, this study could alert healthcare professionals treating BD patients to the risk of PE, leading to an earlier diagnosis and treatment. Although it could not be studied in this sample, it is of great importance to further clarify if and how PE risk can be increased by BD medication, as it would have broad treatment implications, namely either switching BD medication or the need to prolong anticoagulation if the first option is not feasible.

We found that hospitalized patients with both PE and BD are predominantly female and younger than the general population diagnosed with PE. When compared to patients hospitalized for PE without a secondary diagnosis of BD, the BD group shows a significantly higher prevalence of obesity and tobacco use, both factors associated with an increased risk of PE. Therefore, we can infer that the Portuguese patients with BD in this study are diagnosed with PE earlier than the general population with this condition and have a higher prevalence of some risk factors for this event. This suggests a possible correlation between BD and an increased risk of PE.

Further research is needed, particularly with access to a larger study sample, on the association between BD and PE in Portuguese patients. This would help confirm this correlation and relate it to other sociodemographic factors, comorbidities, and treatment approaches for these patients.

Author Contributions: author Inês Souto Silva participated in all phases of the study. Authors Inês Souto Silva and Alberto Freitas undertook the statistical analysis. Author Manuel Gonçalves-Pinho participated in the study design and the review and editing of the whole article. Author Inês Albuquerque participated in the clinical analysis of the paper. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding: this study did not receive any funding.

Ethics Approval: The ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto approved this project on October 31, 2024. The *Administração Central do Sistema de Saúde I.P. (ACSS)* provided an anonymized administrative database regarding mainland Portuguese hospitalizations to CINTESIS/FMUP and approved its use for research purposes. The database is anonymous. Informed consent is not required, as we used an administrative database created for hospital billing purposes, without access to patient identification.

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge ACSS - Administração Central do Sistema de Saúde I.P. for providing the administrative database used in this research.

References

1. Grande, I., et al., *Bipolar disorder*. The Lancet, 2016. **387**(10027): p. 1561-1572.
2. Almeida, J., et al., *Estudo epidemiológico nacional de saúde mental: 1º relatório*. Lisboa: Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2013.
3. Gonçalves-Pinho, M., et al., *Bipolar disorder related hospitalizations—a descriptive nationwide study using a big data approach*. Psychiatric Quarterly, 2022. **93**(1): p. 325-333.
4. Harrison, P.J., J.R. Geddes, and E.M. Tunbridge, *The emerging neurobiology of bipolar disorder*. Trends in neurosciences, 2018. **41**(1): p. 18-30.
5. Weber, N.S., et al., *Psychiatric and general medical conditions comorbid with bipolar disorder in the National Hospital Discharge Survey*. Psychiatric Services, 2011. **62**(10): p. 1152-1158.
6. Müller-Oerlinghausen, B., A. Berghöfer, and M. Bauer, *Bipolar disorder*. The Lancet, 2002. **359**(9302): p. 241-247.
7. Vieta, E., et al., *Clinical management and burden of bipolar disorder: results from a multinational longitudinal study (WAVE-bd)*. International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, 2013. **16**(8): p. 1719-1732.
8. Weiner, M., L. Warren, and J.G. Fiedorowicz, *Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in bipolar disorder*. Annals of clinical psychiatry: official journal of the American Academy of Clinical Psychiatrists, 2011. **23**(1): p. 40.
9. Strudsholm, U., et al., *Increased risk for pulmonary embolism in patients with bipolar disorder*. Bipolar Disorders, 2005. **7**(1): p. 77-81.
10. Ponzer, K., et al., *Lithium and risk of cardiovascular disease, dementia and venous thromboembolism*. Bipolar Disorders, 2023.
11. Morriss, R. and F.A. Mohammed, *Metabolism, lifestyle and bipolar affective disorder*. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 2005. **19**(6_suppl): p. 94-101.

12. Lin, C.-E., et al., *Increased risk for venous thromboembolism among patients with concurrent depressive, bipolar, and schizophrenic disorders*. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 2019. **61**: p. 34-40.
13. Van Neste, E.G., W. Verbruggen, and M. Leysen, *Deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in psychiatric settings*. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 2009. **23**(1): p. 19-30.
14. Takahashi, K., et al., *Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders in Patients Hospitalized for Pulmonary Embolism and Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Japanese Nationwide Database Study*. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 2021. **83**(1): p. 37940.
15. Nielsen, R.E., et al., *Why are somatic diseases in bipolar disorder insufficiently treated?* *International journal of bipolar disorders*, 2019. **7**(1): p. 1-7.
16. del Conde, I. and S.Z. Goldhaber, *Pulmonary embolism associated with olanzapine*. *Thrombosis and haemostasis*, 2006. **96**(11): p. 690-691.
17. Toringhibel, M., et al., *Acute massive pulmonary embolism associated with olanzapine therapy and no significant personal history in a young male—case report and literature review*. *Pneumologia (Bucharest, Romania)*, 2011. **60**(2): p. 82-84.
18. Barnhorst, A. and G.L. Xiong, *Pulmonary embolism in a psychiatric patient*. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 2014. **171**(11): p. 1155-1157.
19. Yeh, J. and A. Lee, *Clozapine-induced pulmonary embolism: a case report and literature review*. *Hospital Pharmacy*, 2009. **44**(1): p. 36-40.
20. Lee, C., et al., *Pulmonary embolism as a cause of death in psychiatric inpatients: a case series*. *East Asian Archives of Psychiatry*, 2019. **29**(4): p. 136-137.
21. Liu, Y., et al., *Current antipsychotic agent use and risk of venous thromboembolism and pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies*. *Therapeutic advances in psychopharmacology*, 2021. **11**: p. 2045125320982720.
22. Zornberg, G.L. and H. Jick, *Antipsychotic drug use and risk of first-time idiopathic venous thromboembolism: a case-control study*. *The Lancet*, 2000. **356**(9237): p. 1219-1223.
23. Di Nisio, M., N. van Es, and H.R. Büller, *Deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism*. *The Lancet*, 2016. **388**(10063): p. 3060-3073.
24. King, S.A., et al., *Two pulmonary emboli in a psych pod*. *Journal of the American College of Emergency Physicians Open*, 2020. **1**(4): p. 416-418.
25. Jensenius, M., et al., *Deep venous thrombosis in intravenous drug addicts*. *Tidsskrift for den Norske Laegeforening: Tidsskrift for Praktisk Medicin, ny Raekke*, 1996. **116**(21): p. 2556-2558.
26. Kwiatkowska, W., et al., *Deep vein thrombosis of the lower limbs in intravenous drug users*. *Advances in Hygiene and Experimental Medicine*, 2015. **69**: p. 510-520.
27. Aslam, A.F., et al., *Staphylococcus aureus infective endocarditis and septic pulmonary embolism after septic abortion*. *International journal of cardiology*, 2005. **105**(2): p. 233-235.
28. Benchimol, E.I., et al., *The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) statement*. *PLoS medicine*, 2015. **12**(10): p. e1001885.
29. Calé, R., et al., *In-hospital mortality of high-risk pulmonary embolism: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Portugal from 2010 to 2018*. *Pulmonology*, 2024.
30. Jarman, A.F., et al., *Crucial considerations: Sex differences in the epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes of acute pulmonary embolism in non-pregnant adult patients*. *Journal of the American College of Emergency Physicians Open*, 2021. **2**(1): p. e12378.
31. Soo Hoo, G.W., *Overview and assessment of risk factors for pulmonary embolism*. *Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine*, 2013. **7**(2): p. 171-191.
32. Goldhaber, S.Z., et al., *A prospective study of risk factors for pulmonary embolism in women*. *Jama*, 1997. **277**(8): p. 642-645.
33. Nierenberg, A.A., et al., *Diagnosis and treatment of bipolar disorder: a review*. *JAMA*, 2023. **330**(14): p. 1370-1380.

34. Goldstein, B.I., et al., *The burden of obesity among adults with bipolar disorder in the United States*. *Bipolar disorders*, 2011. **13**(4): p. 387-395.
35. Eichinger, S., et al., *Overweight, obesity, and the risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism*. *Archives of internal medicine*, 2008. **168**(15): p. 1678-1683.
36. Bauer, P.R. *Obesity paradox in pulmonary embolism: myth or reality?* in *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2019. Elsevier.
37. Heffner, J.L., et al., *The co-occurrence of cigarette smoking and bipolar disorder: phenomenology and treatment considerations*. *Bipolar disorders*, 2011. **13**(5-6): p. 439-453.
38. Tapson, V.F., *The role of smoking in coagulation and thromboembolism in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. *Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society*, 2005. **2**(1): p. 71-77.
39. Konstantinides, S.V., et al., *2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS) The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)*. *European heart journal*, 2020. **41**(4): p. 543-603.

Variables		Total PE hospitalizations		P value
		With BD (n=92)	Without BD (n=22048)	
Sex [N(%)]	Male	33 (35.9%)	8591 (39.0%)	0.543 ^{A)}
	Female	59 (64.1%)	13457 (61.0%)	
Age at admission (mean ± SD)		61.3 ± 13.3	70 ± 16.4	<0.01 ^{*B)}
Length of Stay [median (P25-P75)]		9 (7-16)	10 (6-15)	0.870 ^{C)}
In-hospital mortality [N(%)]	Yes	8 (8.7%)	2885 (13.1%)	0.213 ^{A)}
	No	84 (91.3%)	19163 (86.9%)	

Table 1: Analysis of sociodemographic data in the study population

- A) Pearson Chi square test
- B) Independent sample T test
- C) Mann-Whitney U test

Variables		Total PE hospitalizations		P value
		With BD (n=92)	Without BD (n=22048)	
Obesity ^{a)} [N(%)]	Yes	26 (28.3%)	2829 (12.8%)	<0.001 ^{*I)}
	No	66 (71.7%)	19219 (87.2%)	
Type 2 Diabetes ^{d)} [N(%)]	Yes	13 (14.1%)	3971 (18.0%)	0.334 ^{II)}
	No	79 (85.9%)	18077 (82.0%)	
Hypertension ^{e)} [N(%)]	Yes	38 (41.3%)	10766 (48.8%)	0.150 ^{II)}
	No	54 (58.7%)	11282 (51.2%)	
Hyperlipidemia ^{f)} [N(%)]	Yes	13 (14.1%)	4610 (20.9%)	0.110 ^{II)}
	No	79 (85.9%)	17438 (79.1%)	
Tobacco use ^{g)} [N(%)]	Yes	20 (21.7%)	1981 (9.0%)	<0.001 ^{*I)}
	No	72 (78.3%)	20067 (91.0%)	
Alcohol abuse ^{h)} [N(%)]	Yes	1 (1.1%)	96 (0.4%)	0.333 ^{II)}
	No	91 (98.9%)	21952 (99.6%)	

Table 2: Analysis of comorbidities in the study population

- a) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 278.0
- b) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 250.x
- c) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 431, 432, 434.x and 435.x

- d) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 412 and 410.x
- e) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 401.x- 405.x
- f) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 272.0-272.4
- g) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 305.1, V15.82
- h) Coded as ICD-9-CM code 305.0

- I) Pearson Chi square test
- II) Fisher's exact test

Variables		Total PE hospitalizations		P value
		With BD (n=92)	Without BD (n=22048)	
Infusion of thrombolytic agent [N(%)] ¹	Yes	7 (7.6%)	1132 (5.1%)	0.241 ^{I)}
	No	85 (92.4%)	20916 (94.9%)	
Vena cava filter [N(%)] ²	Yes	0 (0.0%)	92 (0.4%)	I ^{II)}
	No	92 (100.0%)	21956 (99.6%)	
Pulmonary Embolectomy [N(%)] ³	Yes	0 (0.0%)	9 (0.0%)	I ^{II)}
	No	92 (100.0%)	22039 (0.0%)	
Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [N(%)] ⁴	Yes	0 (0.0%)	4 (0.0%)	I ^{II)}
	No	92 (100.0%)	22044 (100.0%)	

Table 3: Analysis of treatment options in the study population

- 1 Coded as ICD-9-CM code 99.10
- 2 Coded as ICD-9-CM code 38.7
- 3 Coded as ICD-9-CM code 38.05
- 4 Coded as ICD-9-CM code 39.65

- I) Pearson Chi square test
- II) Fisher's exact test

APPENDIX

Reporting guidelines RECORD checklist

The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
Title and abstract					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page 6 and 7 – “We performed an observational retrospective study”	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	Page 6 and 7 – “We performed an observational retrospective study”
Introduction					
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 8 “Although many studies show an association between BD and PE, there are no studies on a link between these conditions in Portuguese patients.”		Page 8 “Although many studies show an association between BD and PE, there are no studies on a link between these conditions in Portuguese patients.”
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 9 “The primary aim of this study is to describe a perspective of BD and PE in a nationwide Portuguese hospitalization database.”		Page 9 “The primary aim of this study is to describe a perspective of BD and PE in a nationwide Portuguese

					hospitalization database.”
Methods					
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 9 - “We conducted a retrospective observational study using a national hospitalization database that gathers clinical and administrative data from all hospitalizations of patients ≥18 years old occurring in Portuguese mainland public hospitals, with discharges from 2008 to 2015.”		Page 9 - “We conducted a retrospective observational study using a national hospitalization database that gathers clinical and administrative data from all hospitalizations of patients ≥18 years old occurring in Portuguese mainland public hospitals, with discharges from 2008 to 2015.”
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 9 - “[...] all hospitalizations of patients ≥18 years old occurring in Portuguese mainland public hospitals, with discharges from 2008 to 2015.”		Page 9 - “[...] all hospitalizations of patients ≥18 years old occurring in Portuguese mainland public hospitals, with discharges from 2008 to 2015.”

Participants	6	<p>(a) <i>Cohort study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</p> <p>(b) <i>Cohort study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>	<p>Page 9 - “All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE registered from 2008 to 2015 were selected using the codes 415.1x. BD was considered a secondary diagnosis and patients with the diagnosis of BD were selected using the codes 296.xx”</p>	<p>RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.</p>	<p>Page 9 - “All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE registered from 2008 to 2015 were selected using the codes 415.1x. BD was considered a secondary diagnosis and patients with the diagnosis of BD were selected using the codes 296.xx”</p>
Variables	7	<p>Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.</p>	<p>Page 9 – “Other clinical variables were analysed in both groups – namely, the diagnosis of obesity (278.0), type 2 diabetes (250.x), hypertension (401.x-405.x), hyperlipidaemia (272.0-272.4), tobacco use (305.1, V15.82) and alcohol abuse (305.0).”</p>	<p>RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.</p>	<p>Page 9 – “Other clinical variables were analysed in both groups – namely, the diagnosis of obesity (278.0), type 2 diabetes (250.x), hypertension (401.x-405.x), hyperlipidaemia (272.0-272.4), tobacco use (305.1, V15.82) and alcohol abuse (305.0).”</p>
Data source s/ measurement	8	<p>For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group</p>	<p>Page 16 – Table I “Analysis of sociodemographic data in the study population”</p>		<p>Page 16 – Table I “Analysis of sociodemographic data in the study population”</p>

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Not applicable		Not applicable
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Not applicable – a study size was not calculated		Not applicable – a study size was not calculated
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Pages 16 and 17 – Tables 1, 2 and 3		Pages 16 and 17 – Tables 1, 2 and 3
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Page 9 – “Descriptive statistical analyses, independent sample t-tests and Chi-squared tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.29 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).”		Page 9 – “Descriptive statistical analyses, independent sample t-tests and Chi-squared tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.29 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).”
Data access and cleaning methods		..	Page 9 “All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE registered from 2008 to 2015 were selected using the codes 415.Ix.”	RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.	Page 9 “All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE registered from 2008 to 2015 were selected using the codes 415.Ix.”

				RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study.	
Linkage		..	Not applicable – only one database was used	RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	Not applicable – only one database was used
Results					
Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Page 10 “A total of 22140 hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE were retrieved from a national hospitalization database, with discharges between 2008 and 2015. Among these hospitalizations, 92 had a secondary diagnosis of BD”	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study (i.e., study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.	Page 10 “A total of 22140 hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of PE were retrieved from a national hospitalization database, with discharges between 2008 and 2015. Among these hospitalizations, 92 had a secondary diagnosis of BD”
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time (e.g., average and total amount)	Page 16 – Table I “Analysis of sociodemographic data in the study population”		Page 16 – Table I “Analysis of sociodemographic data in the study population”
Outcome data	15	<i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> - Report numbers in each exposure	Page 16 and 17 – Tables 1, 2 and 3		Page 16 and 17 – Tables 1, 2 and 3

		category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Page 10 “The mean age at admission was approximately 61 years for the BD group and 70 years for the non-BD group.” “Regarding comorbidity variables, the group with comorbid BD has a higher percentage of obesity and tobacco use”		Page 10 “The mean age at admission was approximately 61 years for the BD group and 70 years for the non-BD group.” “Regarding comorbidity variables, the group with comorbid BD has a higher percentage of obesity and tobacco use”
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done— e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Not applicable – other analyses were not performed		Not applicable – other analyses were not performed
Discussion					
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 10 and 11 “The median age at admission for the BD group was significantly lower when compared to the non-BD group.”		Page 10 and 11 “The median age at admission for the BD group was significantly lower when compared to the non-BD group.”
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 12 “this study has the limitation of not analyzing this highly relevant risk factor due to lack of access, considering that BD patients are frequently treated with antipsychotic drugs.”	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	Page 12 “this study has the limitation of not analyzing this highly relevant risk factor due to lack of access, considering that BD patients are frequently treated with antipsychotic drugs.”

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	Page 10 and 11 “The earlier occurrence of PE in patients with BD could indicate that BD contributes to a more premature development of PE in these individuals. This association can be further explained by the significantly higher register of obesity and tobacco use in BD patients, as presented in our findings.”		Page 10 and 11 “The earlier occurrence of PE in patients with BD could indicate that BD contributes to a more premature development of PE in these individuals. This association can be further explained by the significantly higher register of obesity and tobacco use in BD patients, as presented in our findings.”
----------------	----	---	--	--	--

		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 13 “Further research is needed, particularly with access to a larger study sample, on the association between BD and PE in Portuguese patients.”		Page 13 “Further research is needed, particularly with access to a larger study sample, on the association between BD and PE in Portuguese patients.”

Other Information					
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 14 “this study did not receive any funding.”		Page 14 “this study did not receive any funding.”
Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code		..	Page 6 – contact corresponding author	RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Page 6 – contact corresponding author

*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution ([CC BY](#)) license.

Formatting rules for the chosen scientific journal – Journal of Affective Disorders

Article types

The Journal primarily publishes:

Full-Length Research Papers

(up to 5000 words, excluding references and up to 6 tables/figures)

Review Articles and Meta-analyses

(up to 8000 words, excluding references and up to 10 tables/figures)

Short Communications

(up to 2000 words, 20 references, 2 tables/figures)

Correspondence

(up to 1000 words, 10 references, 1 table/figure).

At the discretion of the accepting Editor-in-Chief, and/or based on reviewer feedback, authors may be allowed fewer or more than these guidelines.

Peer review

This journal follows a single anonymized review process. Your submission will initially be assessed by our editors to determine suitability for publication in this journal. If your submission is deemed suitable, it will typically be sent to a minimum of two reviewers for an independent expert assessment of the scientific quality. The decision as to whether your article is accepted or rejected will be taken by our editors.

Read more about [peer review](#).

Our editors are not involved in making decisions about papers which:

- they have written themselves.
- have been written by family members or colleagues.
- relate to products or services in which they have an interest.

Any such submissions will be subject to the journal's usual procedures and peer review will be handled independently of the editor involved and their research group. Read more about [editor duties](#).

Authors may submit a formal appeal request to the editorial decision, provided the it meets the requirements and follows the procedure outlined in [Elsevier's Appeal Policy](#). Only one appeal per submission will be considered and the appeal decision will be final.

Special issues and article collections

The peer review process for special issues and article collections follows the same process as outlined above for regular submissions, except, a guest editor will send

the submissions out to the reviewers and may recommend a decision to the journal editor. The journal editor oversees the peer review process of all special issues and article collections to ensure the high standards of publishing ethics and responsiveness are respected and is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles.

Open access

We refer you to our [open access information page](#) to learn about open access options for this journal.

Ethics and policies

Ethics in publishing

Authors must follow ethical guidelines stated in [Elsevier's Publishing Ethics Policy](#).

Submission declaration

When authors submit an article to an Elsevier journal it is implied that:

- the work described has not been published previously except in the form of a preprint, an abstract, a published lecture, academic thesis or registered report. See our policy on [multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#).
- the article is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.
- the article's publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out.
- if accepted, the article will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically, without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

To verify compliance with our journal publishing policies, we may check your manuscript with our screening tools.

Authorship

All authors should have made substantial contributions to all of the following:

1. The conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data.
2. Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.
3. Final approval of the version to be submitted.

Authors should appoint a corresponding author to communicate with the journal during the editorial process. All authors should agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work to ensure that the questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Changes to authorship

The editors of this journal generally will not consider changes to authorship once a manuscript has been submitted. It is important that authors carefully consider the authorship list and order of authors and provide a definitive author list at original submission.

The policy of this journal around authorship changes:

- All authors must be listed in the manuscript and their details entered into the submission system.
- Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should only be made prior to acceptance, and only if approved by the journal editor.
- Requests to change authorship should be made by the corresponding author, who must provide the reason for the request to the journal editor with written confirmation from all authors, including any authors being added or removed, that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement.
- All requests to change authorship must be submitted using [this form](#). Requests which do not comply with the instructions outlined in the form will not be considered.
- Only in exceptional circumstances will the journal editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors post acceptance.
- Publication of the manuscript may be paused while a change in authorship request is being considered.
- Any authorship change requests approved by the journal editor will result in a corrigendum if the manuscript has already been published.
- Any unauthorised authorship changes may result in the rejection of the article, or retraction, if the article has already been published.

Declaration of interests

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias their work. Examples of potential competing interests include:

- Employment
- Consultancies
- Stock ownership
- Honoraria
- Paid expert testimony

- Patent applications or registrations
- Grants or any other funding

The [Declaration of Interests tool](#) should always be completed.

Authors with no competing interests to declare should select the option, "I have nothing to declare".

The resulting Word document containing your declaration should be uploaded at the "attach/upload files" step in the submission process. It is important that the Word document is saved in the .doc/.docx file format. Author signatures are not required.

We advise you to read our [policy on conflict of interest statements, funding source declarations, author agreements/declarations and permission notes](#).

Funding sources

Authors must disclose any funding sources who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article. The role of sponsors, if any, should be declared in relation to the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report and decision to submit the article for publication. If funding sources had no such involvement this should be stated in your submission.

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants, scholarships and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing

Authors must declare the use of generative AI in scientific writing upon submission of the paper. The following guidance refers only to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process:

- Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies should only be used in the writing process to improve the readability and language of the manuscript.
- The technology must be applied with human oversight and control and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased.

Authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

- Authors must not list or cite AI and AI-assisted technologies as an author or co-author on the manuscript since authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans.

The use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in scientific writing must be declared by adding a statement at the end of the manuscript when the paper is first submitted. The statement will appear in the published work and should be placed in a new section before the references list. An example:

- Title of new section: Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process.
- Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the published article.

The declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools, such as tools used to check grammar, spelling and references. If you have nothing to disclose, you do not need to add a statement.

Please read Elsevier's author policy on the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies, which can be found in our [GenAI Policies for journals](#).

Please note: to protect authors' rights and the confidentiality of their research, this journal does not currently allow the use of generative AI or AI-assisted technologies such as ChatGPT or similar services by reviewers or editors in the peer review and manuscript evaluation process, as is stated in our [GenAI Policies for journals](#). We are actively evaluating compliant AI tools and may revise this policy in the future.

Preprints

Preprint sharing

Authors may share preprints in line with Elsevier's [article sharing policy](#). Sharing preprints, such as on a preprint server, will not count as prior publication.

We advise you to read our policy on [multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#).

Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Authors should ensure their work uses inclusive language throughout and contains nothing which might imply one individual is superior to another on the grounds of:

- age
- gender
- race

- ethnicity
- culture
- sexual orientation
- disability or health condition

We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors about personal attributes unless they are relevant and valid. Write for gender neutrality with the use of plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default. Wherever possible, avoid using "he, she," or "he/she."

No assumptions should be made about the beliefs of readers and writing should be free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions.

These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help you identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses

There is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender. We offer the following guidance:

- Sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) should be integrated into research design when research involves or pertains to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells. This should be done in accordance with any requirements set by funders or sponsors and best practices within a field.
- Sex and/or gender dimensions of the research should be addressed within the article or declared as a limitation to the generalizability of the research.
- Definitions of sex and/or gender applied should be explicitly stated to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of the research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer.

We advise you to read the [Sex and Gender Equity in Research \(SAGER\) guidelines](#) and the [SAGER checklist](#) (PDF) on the EASE website, which offer systematic approaches to the use of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation.

For further information we suggest reading the rationale behind and recommended [use of the SAGER guidelines](#).

Definitions of sex and/or gender

We ask authors to define how sex and gender have been used in their research and publication. Some guidance:

- Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features such as chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy. A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth") and is in

most cases based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. In reality, sex categorizations include people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD).

- Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society.

Jurisdictional claims

Elsevier respects the decisions taken by its authors as to how they choose to designate territories and identify their affiliations in their published content. Elsevier's policy is to take a neutral position with respect to territorial disputes or jurisdictional claims, including, but not limited to, maps and institutional affiliations. For journals that Elsevier publishes on behalf of a third party owner, the owner may set its own policy on these issues.

- Maps: Readers should be able to locate any study areas shown within maps using common mapping platforms. Maps should only show the area actually studied and authors should not include a location map which displays a larger area than the bounding box of the study area. Authors should add a note clearly stating that "*map lines delineate study areas and do not necessarily depict accepted national boundaries*". During the review process, Elsevier's editors may request authors to change maps if these guidelines are not followed.
- Institutional affiliations: Authors should use either the full, standard title of their institution or the standard abbreviation of the institutional name so that the institutional name can be independently verified for research integrity purposes.

Writing and formatting

File format

We ask you to provide editable source files for your entire submission (including figures, tables and text graphics). Some guidelines:

- Save files in an editable format, using the extension .doc/.docx for Word files and .tex for LaTeX files. A PDF is not an acceptable source file.
- Lay out text in a single-column format.
- Remove any strikethrough and underlined text from your manuscript, unless it has scientific significance related to your article.
- Use spell-check and grammar-check functions to avoid errors.

We advise you to read our [Step-by-step guide to publishing with Elsevier](#).

Title page

You are required to include the following details in the title page information:

- **Article title.** Article titles should be concise and informative. Please avoid abbreviations and formulae, where possible, unless they are established and widely understood, e.g., DNA).
- **Author names.** Provide the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author. The order of authors should match the order in the submission system. Carefully check that all names are accurately spelled. If needed, you can add your name between parentheses in your own script after the English transliteration.
- **Affiliations.** Add affiliation addresses, referring to where the work was carried out, below the author names. Indicate affiliations using a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the corresponding address. Ensure that you provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the email address of each author.
- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence for your article at all stages of the refereeing and publication process and also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about your results, data, methodology and materials. It is important that the email address and contact details of your corresponding author are kept up to date during the submission and publication process.
- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in your article was carried out, or the author was visiting during that time, a "present address" (or "permanent address") can be indicated by a footnote to the author's name. The address where the author carried out the work must be retained as their main affiliation address. Use superscript Arabic numerals for such footnotes.

Abstract

You are required to provide a concise and factual abstract which does not exceed 250 words. The abstract should briefly state the purpose of your research, principal results and major conclusions. Some guidelines:

- Abstracts must be able to stand alone as abstracts are often presented separately from the article.
- Avoid references. If any are essential to include, ensure that you cite the author(s) and year(s).

- Avoid non-standard or uncommon abbreviations. If any are essential to include, ensure they are defined within your abstract at first mention.

Keywords

You are required to provide 1 to 7 keywords for indexing purposes. Keywords should be written in English. Please try to avoid keywords consisting of multiple words (using "and" or "of").

We recommend that you only use abbreviations in keywords if they are firmly established in the field.

Highlights

You are required to provide article highlights at submission.

Highlights are a short collection of bullet points that should capture the novel results of your research as well as any new methods used during your study. Highlights will help increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. Some guidelines:

- Submit highlights as a separate editable file in the online submission system with the word "highlights" included in the file name.
- Highlights should consist of 3 to 5 bullet points, each a maximum of 85 characters, including spaces.

We encourage you to view example [article highlights](#) and read about the benefits of their inclusion.

Graphical abstract

You are encouraged to provide a graphical abstract at submission.

The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of your article in a concise, pictorial form which is designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. A graphical abstract will help draw more attention to your online article and support readers in digesting your research. Some guidelines:

- Submit your graphical abstract as a separate file in the online submission system.
- Ensure the image is a minimum of 531 x 1328 pixels (h x w) or proportionally more and is readable at a size of 5 x 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi.
- Our preferred file types for graphical abstracts are TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files.

We encourage you to view example [graphical abstracts](#) and read about the benefits of including them.

Math formulae

- Submit math equations as editable text, not as images.
- Present simple formulae in line with normal text, where possible.

- Use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms such as X/Y.
- Present variables in italics.
- Denote powers of e by exp.
- Display equations separately from your text, numbering them consecutively in the order they are referred to within your text.

Tables

Tables must be submitted as editable text, not as images. Some guidelines:

- Place tables next to the relevant text or on a separate page(s) at the end of your article.
- Cite all tables in the manuscript text.
- Number tables consecutively according to their appearance in the text.
- Please provide captions along with the tables.
- Place any table notes below the table body.
- Avoid vertical rules and shading within table cells.

We recommend that you use tables sparingly, ensuring that any data presented in tables is not duplicating results described elsewhere in the article.

Figures, images and artwork

Figures, images, artwork, diagrams and other graphical media must be supplied as separate files along with the manuscript. We recommend that you read our detailed [artwork and media instructions](#). Some excerpts:

When submitting artwork:

- Cite all images in the manuscript text.
- Number images according to the sequence they appear within your article.
- Submit each image as a separate file using a logical naming convention for your files (for example, Figure_1, Figure_2 etc).
- Please provide captions for all figures, images, and artwork.
- Text graphics may be embedded in the text at the appropriate position. If you are working with LaTeX, text graphics may also be embedded in the file.

Artwork formats

When your artwork is finalized, "save as" or convert your electronic artwork to the formats listed below taking into account the given resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations:

- Vector drawings: Save as EPS or PDF files embedding the font or saving the text as "graphics."
- Color or grayscale photographs (halftones): Save as TIFF, JPG or PNG files using a minimum of 300 dpi (for single column: min. 1063 pixels, full page width: 2244 pixels).
- Bitmapped line drawings: Save as TIFF, JPG or PNG files using a minimum of 1000 dpi (for single column: min. 3543 pixels, full page width: 7480 pixels).
- Combinations bitmapped line/halftones (color or grayscale): Save as TIFF, JPG or PNG files using a minimum of 500 dpi (for single column: min. 1772 pixels, full page width: 3740 pixels).

Please do not submit:

- files that are too low in resolution (for example, files optimized for screen use such as GIF, BMP, PICT or WPG files).
- disproportionally large images compared to font size, as text may become unreadable.

Figure captions

All images must have a caption. A caption should consist of a brief title (not displayed on the figure itself) and a description of the image. We advise you to keep the amount of text in any image to a minimum, though any symbols and abbreviations used should be explained.

Provide captions in a separate file.

Color artwork

If you submit usable color figures with your accepted article, we will ensure that they appear in color online.

Please ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision. Learn more about [color and web accessibility](#).

For articles appearing in print, you will be sent information on costs to reproduce color in the printed version, after your accepted article has been sent to production.

At this stage, please indicate if your preference is to have color only in the online version of your article or also in the printed version.

Generative AI and Figures, images and artwork

Please read our policy on the use of generative AI and AI-assisted tools in figures, images and artwork, which can be found in Elsevier's [GenAI Policies for Journals](#).

This policy states:

- We do not permit the use of Generative AI or AI-assisted tools to create or alter images in submitted manuscripts.
- The only exception is if the use of AI or AI-assisted tools is part of the research design or methods (for example, in the field of biomedical imaging).

If this is the case, such use must be described in a reproducible manner in the methods section, including the name of the model or tool, version and extension numbers, and manufacturer.

- The use of generative AI or AI-assisted tools in the production of artwork such as for graphical abstracts is not permitted. The use of generative AI in the production of cover art may in some cases be allowed, if the author obtains prior permission from the journal editor and publisher, can demonstrate that all necessary rights have been cleared for the use of the relevant material, and ensures that there is correct content attribution.

Supplementary material

We encourage the use of supplementary materials such as applications, images and sound clips to enhance research. Some guidelines:

- Supplementary material should be accurate and relevant to the research.
- Cite all supplementary files in the manuscript text.
- Submit supplementary materials at the same time as your article. Be aware that all supplementary materials provided will appear online in the exact same file type as received. These files will not be formatted or typeset by the production team.
- Include a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file describing its content.
- Provide updated files if at any stage of the publication process you wish to make changes to submitted supplementary materials.
- Do not make annotations or corrections to a previous version of a supplementary file.
- Switch off the option to track changes in Microsoft Office files. If tracked changes are left on, they will appear in your published version.

Video

This journal accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. We encourage you to include links to video or animation files within articles. Some guidelines:

- When including video or animation file links within your article, refer to the video or animation content by adding a note in your text where the file should be placed.
- Clearly label files ensuring the given file name is directly related to the file content.

- Provide files in one of our [recommended file formats](#). Files should be within our preferred maximum file size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total.
- Provide "stills" for each of your files. These will be used as standard icons to personalize the link to your video data. You can choose any frame from your video or animation or make a separate image.
- Provide text (for both the electronic and the print version) to be placed in the portions of your article that refer to the video content. This is essential text, as video and animation files cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal.

We publish all video and animation files supplied in the electronic version of your article.

For more detailed instructions, we recommend that you read our guidelines on [submitting video content to be included in the body of an article](#).

Research data

We are committed to supporting the storage of, access to and discovery of research data, and our [research data policy](#) sets out the principles guiding how we work with the research community to support a more efficient and transparent research process.

Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings, which may also include software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Please read our guidelines on [sharing research data](#) for more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials. For this journal, the following instructions from our [research data guidelines](#) apply.

Option B: Research data deposit, citation and linking

You are **encouraged** to:

- Deposit your research data in a relevant data repository.
- Cite and link to this dataset in your article.
- If this is not possible, make a statement explaining why research data cannot be shared.

Data statement

To foster transparency, you are encouraged to state the availability of any data at submission.

Ensuring data is available may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you can state the reason why (e.g., your research data includes sensitive or confidential information such as patient data) during the submission process. This statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect.

Read more about the importance and benefits of providing a [data statement](#).

Data linking

Linking to the data underlying your work increases your exposure and may lead to new collaborations. It also provides readers with a better understanding of the described research.

If your research data has been made available in a data repository there are a number of ways your article can be linked directly to the dataset:

- Provide a link to your dataset when prompted during the online submission process.
- For some data repositories, a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.
- You can also link relevant data or entities within the text of your article through the use of identifiers. Use the following format: Database: 12345 (e.g. TAIR: ATIG01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

Learn more about [linking research data and research articles in ScienceDirect](#).

Data in Brief and MethodsX: co-submission

You are encouraged to publish research **data**, **methods** or **protocols** related to your manuscript as a co-submission article in [Data in Brief](#) or [MethodsX](#). By publishing a co-submission, you further advance research reproducibility, interoperability, and open science. In case both your original research article and your co-submission article(s) get accepted for publication, they will be linked together on ScienceDirect. When submitting your original research article, please follow the **co-submission process** active for this journal:

- Describe the research data, methods or protocols in a separate paper to be considered for publication in [Data in Brief](#) or in [MethodsX](#).
- Adhere to one of the following submission templates:
 - [Data article template](#) (*Data in Brief*)
 - [Methods article template](#) (*MethodsX*)
 - [Protocol article template](#) (*MethodsX*)
- Online submission of your co-submission article:
 - When you upload the files for your original research article, in the 'Attach Files' step in the Editorial Manager submission process, please also upload the file(s) for your co-submission.
 - Please select '*Data in Brief*' or '*MethodsX*' from the 'Select Item Type' drop-down menu when you upload your co-submission file(s).
 - Submit your co-submission file(s) as a Word document.

Article structure

Article sections

Divide your manuscript into clearly defined sections covering all essential elements using headings.

Glossary

Please provide definitions of field-specific terms used in your article, in a separate list.

Footnotes

We advise you to use footnotes sparingly. If you include footnotes in your article, ensure that they are numbered consecutively.

You may use system features that automatically build footnotes into text.

Alternatively, you can indicate the position of footnotes within the text and present them in a separate section at the end of your article.

Acknowledgements

Include any individuals who provided you with help during your research, such as help with language, writing or proof reading, in the acknowledgements section.

Acknowledgements should be placed in a separate section which appears directly before the reference list. Do not include acknowledgements on your title page, as a footnote to your title, or anywhere else in your article other than in the separate acknowledgements section.

Author contributions: CRediT

Corresponding authors are required to acknowledge co-author contributions using [CRediT \(Contributor Roles Taxonomy\)](#) roles:

- Conceptualization
- Data curation
- Formal analysis
- Funding acquisition
- Investigation
- Methodology
- Project administration
- Resources
- Software
- Supervision
- Validation

- Visualization
- Writing – original draft
- Writing – review and editing

Not all CRediT roles will apply to every manuscript and some authors may contribute through multiple roles.

We advise you to read [more about CRediT and view an example of a CRediT author statement](#).

Funding sources

Authors must disclose any funding sources who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article. The role of sponsors, if any, should be declared in relation to the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report and decision to submit the article for publication. If funding sources had no such involvement this should be stated in your submission.

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants, scholarships and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Appendices

We ask you to use the following format for appendices:

- Identify individual appendices within your article using the format: A, B, etc.
- Give separate numbering to formulae and equations within appendices using formats such as Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc. and in subsequent appendices, Eq. (B.1), Eq. (B. 2) etc. In a similar way, give separate numbering to tables and figures using formats such as Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

References

References within text

Any references cited within your article should also be present in your reference list and vice versa. Some guidelines:

- References cited in your abstract must be given in full.

- We recommend that you do not include unpublished results and personal communications in your reference list, though you may mention them in the text of your article.
- Any unpublished results and personal communications included in your reference list must follow the standard reference style of the journal. In substitution of the publication date add "unpublished results" or "personal communication."
- References cited as "in press" imply that the item has been accepted for publication.

Linking to cited sources will increase the discoverability of your research.

Before submission, check that all data provided in your reference list are correct, including any references which have been copied. Providing correct reference data allows us to link to abstracting and indexing services such as Scopus, Crossref and PubMed. Any incorrect surnames, journal or book titles, publication years or pagination within your references may prevent link creation.

We encourage the use of Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) as reference links as they provide a permanent link to the electronic article referenced.

Reference style

All citations in the text should refer to:

- Single author: the author's name (without initials, unless there is ambiguity) and the year of publication.
- Two authors: both authors' names and the year of publication.
- Three or more authors: first author's name followed by 'et al.' and the year of publication.

Citations can be made directly (or parenthetically). Groups of references can be listed either first alphabetically, then chronologically, or vice versa. Examples: "as demonstrated (Allan, 2020a, 2020b; Allan and Jones, 2019)" or "as demonstrated (Jones, 2019; Allan, 2020). Kramer et al. (2023) have recently shown".

The list of references should be arranged alphabetically and then chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', 'c', etc., placed after the year of publication.

Abbreviate journal names according to the [List of Title Word Abbreviations](#) (LTWA).

Examples:

Reference to a journal publication:

Van der Geer, J., Handgraaf, T., Lupton, R.A., 2020. The art of writing a scientific article. *J. Sci. Commun.* 163, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sc.2020.00372>.

Reference to a journal publication with an article number:

Van der Geer, J., Handgraaf, T., Lupton, R.A., 2022. The art of writing a scientific article. *Heliyon.* 19, e00205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e00205>.

Reference to a book:

Strunk Jr., W., White, E.B., 2000. *The Elements of Style*, fourth ed. Longman, New York.

Reference to a chapter in a book:

Mettam, G.R., Adams, L.B., 2023. How to prepare an electronic version of your article, in: Jones, B.S., Smith, R.Z. (Eds.), *Introduction to the Electronic Age*. E-Publishing Inc., New York, pp. 281–304.

Reference to a website:

Cancer Research UK, 2023. Cancer statistics reports for the UK. <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/aboutcancer/statistics/cancerstatsreport/> (accessed 13 March 2023).

Reference to a dataset:

Oguro, M., Imahiro, S., Saito, S., Nakashizuka, T., 2015. Mortality data for Japanese oak wilt disease and surrounding forest compositions [dataset]. Mendeley Data, v1. <https://doi.org/10.17632/xwj98nb39r.1>.

Reference to software:

Coon, E., Berndt, M., Jan, A., Svyatsky, D., Atchley, A., Kikinzon, E., Harp, D., Manzini, G., Shelef, E., Lipnikov, K., Garimella, R., Xu, C., Moulton, D., Karra, S., Painter, S., Jafarov, E., & Molins, S., 2020. Advanced Terrestrial Simulator (ATS) v0.88 (Version 0.88) [software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3727209>.

Web references

When listing web references, as a minimum you should provide the full URL and the date when the reference was last accessed. Additional information (e.g. DOI, author names, dates or reference to a source publication) should also be provided, if known.

You can list web references separately under a new heading directly after your reference list or include them in your reference list.

Data references

We encourage you to cite underlying or relevant datasets within article text and to list data references in the reference list.

When citing data references, you should include:

- author name(s)
- dataset title
- data repository
- version (where available)
- year
- global persistent identifier

Add [dataset] immediately before your reference. This will help us to properly identify the dataset. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

Preprint references

We ask you to mark preprints clearly. You should include the word "preprint" or the name of the preprint server as part of your reference and provide the preprint DOI.

Where a preprint has subsequently become available as a peer-reviewed publication, use the formal publication as your reference.

If there are preprints that are central to your work or that cover crucial developments in the topic, but they are not yet formally published, you may reference the preprint.

Reference management software

Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in popular reference management software products. These include products that support [Citation Style Language \(CSL\)](#) such as [Mendeley Reference Manager](#).

If you use a citation plug-in from these products, select the relevant journal template and all your citations and bibliographies will automatically be formatted in the journal style. We advise you to [remove all field codes](#) before submitting your manuscript to any reference management software product.

If a template is not available for this journal, follow the format given in examples in the reference style section of this Guide for Authors.

Submitting your manuscript

Submission checklist

Before completing the submission of your manuscript, we advise you to read our submission checklist:

- One author has been designated as the corresponding author and their full contact details (email address, full postal address and phone numbers) have been provided.
- All files have been uploaded, including keywords, figure captions and tables (including a title, description and footnotes) included.
- Spelling and grammar checks have been carried out.
- All references in the article text are cited in the reference list and vice versa.
- Permission has been obtained for the use of any copyrighted material from other sources, including the Web.
- For gold open access articles, all authors understand that they are responsible for payment of the article publishing charge (APC) if the manuscript is accepted. Payment of the APC may be covered by the corresponding author's institution, or the research funder.

Suggest reviewers

To support the peer review process, we ask you to provide names and institutional email addresses of several potential reviewers for their manuscript. Some guidelines:

- Reviewers should not be colleagues or have co-authored or collaborated with you during the last three years.
- Do not suggest reviewers with whom you have competing interests.
- Suggest reviewers who are located in different countries or regions from yourself. This helps to provide a broad and balanced assessment of your work and to ensure scientific rigor.
- Consider diversity in your reviewer suggestions, such as gender, race and ethnicity and career stage.
- Do not suggest members of our Editorial Board.

The journal editors will take the final decision on whether to invite your suggested reviewers.

After receiving a final decision

Article Transfer Service

If your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative Elsevier journal, you may receive an email asking you to consider transferring your manuscript via the [Elsevier Article Transfer Service](#).

The recommendation could come from the journal editor, a dedicated [in-house scientific managing editor](#), a tool-assisted recommendation or a combination.

If you agree with the recommendation, your manuscript will be transferred and independently reviewed by the editors of the new journal. You will have the opportunity to make revisions, if necessary, before the submission is complete at the destination journal.

Publishing agreement

Authors will be asked to complete a publishing agreement after acceptance. The corresponding author will receive a link to the online agreement by email. We advise you to read [Elsevier's policies related to copyright](#) to learn more about our copyright policies and your, and your employer's/institution's, additional rights for subscription and gold open access articles.

License options

Authors will be offered [open access user license options](#) which will determine how you, and third parties, can reuse your gold open access article. We advise that you review these options and any funding body license requirements before selecting a license option.

Open access

We refer you to our [open access information page](#) to learn about open access options for this journal.

Permission for copyrighted works

If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included in your article, you must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) within your article using [Elsevier's permission request and license form](#) (Word).

Proof correction

To ensure a fast publication process we will ask you to provide proof corrections within two days.

Corresponding authors will be sent an email which includes a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to Word. You can edit text, comment on figures and tables and answer questions raised by our copy editor. Our web-based proofing service ensures a faster and less error-prone process.

You can choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version of your article, if preferred. We will provide you with proofing instructions and available alternative proofing methods in our email.

The purpose of the proof is to check the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of your article text, tables and figures. Significant changes to your article at the proofing stage will only be considered with approval of the journal editor.

Share Link

A customized [Share Link](#), providing 50 days free access to the final published version of your article on [ScienceDirect](#), will be sent by email to the corresponding author. The Share Link can be used to share your article on any communication channel, such as by email or on social media.

For an extra charge, you will be provided with the option to order paper offprints. A link to an offprint order form will be sent by email when your article is accepted for publication.

A Share Link will not be provided if your article is published gold open access. The final published version of your gold open access article will be openly available on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

Responsible sharing

We encourage you to share and promote your article to give additional visibility to your work, enabling your paper to contribute to scientific progress and foster the exchange of scientific developments within your field. Read more about how to [responsibly share and promote your article](#).

Resources for authors

Elsevier Researcher Academy

If you would like help to improve your submission or navigate the publication process, support is available via [Elsevier Researcher Academy](#).

Elsevier Researcher Academy offers free e-learning modules, webinars, downloadable guides and research writing and peer review process resources.

Language and editing services

We recommend that you write in American or British English but not a combination of both.

If you feel the English language in your manuscript requires editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English, you may wish to use the [English Language Editing service](#) provided by Elsevier's Author Services.

Comissão de Ética da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto
Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto

PARECER

259/CEFMUP/2023

Comissão de Ética da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto

Parecer/Rapport: 259/CEFMUP/2023

Título do projeto/ Project title: Perturbação Afetiva Bipolar e Tromboembolismo Pulmonar:
um Estudo Observacional Retrospetivo de base na população Portuguesa (2008-2015)

Investigador/ Researcher: Inês Silva

Parecer/ Rapport:

- Favorável/Accepted
 Rejeitado/Declined
 Outro

Relatora: Prof.^a Doutora Ivone Duarte

Deliberado em reunião plenária da Comissão de Ética de Porto, a 31 de outubro de 2024, por unanimidade dos membros presentes.

Solicita-se o favor de após a conclusão do projeto enviar o relatório final com as conclusões do estudo, nos termos do Art.3º n.º 3 alínea f) do Decreto-Lei n.º 80/2018 de 15 de outubro.

Porto, 31 de outubro de 2024



O Secretariado Executivo
Prof^a Doutora Francisca Rego



O Presidente da Comissão de Ética
Prof Doutor Rui Nunes

Alameda Professor Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319, Porto
Email: comissaoetica@med.up.pt
Telefone: +351 220426840

