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Abstract

Theory: Communication skills are an essential component of undergraduate medical curricula across countries. Presently, young doctors still recognize gaps in their training in this field. Despite the existence of a number of consensus statements and models concerning communication skills teaching within medical education, current training programs are widely heterogeneous and there is scarce common outcome-based research.

Hypothesis: We hypothesize that undergraduate communication skills training programs, relying either on practice-oriented or theory-based teaching strategies and within a different time range, may influence young doctors’ preparedness for practice regarding communication skills. The present study intends to inform educational background and to support further development of communication skills curricula.

Methods: Two hundred sixty-six medical interns answered an original questionnaire specifically designed to explore how well they feel their undergraduate training had prepared them in key aspects of medical communication. Items comprised the competencies set out in published literature and the preliminary version of the questionnaire was scrutinized by undergraduate and postgraduate medical educators, as well as experts on communication in healthcare. An Exploratory Factorial Analysis was performed and the instrument’s psychometric properties were tested. Medical schools’ curricula were reviewed, allowing characterization of curricular content, timing and number of curricular units covering communication skills teaching. In order to explore potential associations between academic curricula and medical interns’ preparedness for practice, hypothesis testing with Mann-Whitney U tests for independent samples was performed.

Results: Core communication and interviewing skill were highly rated. Perceived preparedness was lower in aspects concerning dealing with emotion, breaking bad news, and communicating with speech impaired patients. Interns who were offered a longitudinal integration of
communication skills throughout the curriculum reported significantly higher levels of preparedness. Simulation with standardized patients and real patient interviewing with feedback on communication skills were the most valued strategies to positively influence preparedness. We found no evidence for the advantage of role play, didactic videos and patient-simulation with mannequins.

**Conclusion:** The results of this study support the expansion of an educational model based on integrated communication skills training throughout undergraduate medical curriculum. Programs standing on a strong experimental component, particularly combining patient-simulation strategies with continuous supervision and learner centered feedback, significantly influenced preparedness. Efforts should be made to adopt such strategies in a customized and interactive format, tailored to medical students’ different learning needs.
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Introduction

Teaching communication skills (CS) has been consistently recognized as an essential component of undergraduate medical education across countries. In Portugal more attention has been paid to this core clinical skill in recent years, which has been gradually included in undergraduate medical curricula in various forms and content. Presently, young doctors still recognize gaps in their training in this field. Although a number of consensus statements and models to teaching CS within medical education already exist in international literature, current CS training programs are widely heterogeneous, and there is scarce common outcome-based research.

Currently, there are eight medical schools in Portugal: seven schools offer a six-year curriculum and one school has a four-year curriculum designed for graduate-entry students. After graduation, doctors complete the first year of post-medical school training known as the intern year, before they enter residency. Presently, the curricula of all Portuguese medical schools integrate CS teaching.

This study explores the views of Portuguese interns regarding how prepared they feel by their CS programs during undergraduate medical education. Preparedness for practice is operationalized as participants’ reported sense of readiness and capability regarding CS performance. The meaning of ‘preparedness’ is left to the respondents’ interpretation. Though this may be challenging epistemologically, this operationalization warrants comparison with previous studies. Moreover, if interns feel ‘prepared for practice’, we may assume that intended educational outcomes have been achieved.

Our aim is to provide an overview on the current academic curricula, including CS teaching methods and training strategies, and exploring potential associations with young doctors’ preparedness. In order to explore perceived preparedness, an original scale was
developed and its psychometric properties were tested. This study was intended to identify existing gaps and to support further development of CS curricula.

**Methods**

*Participants and procedure*

Study sample comprised 266 interns in their first year of post-medical school training (representing 13.2% of all cohort). Interns from seven Portuguese medical schools who had graduated in 2019 were invited to participate. A link to an online questionnaire was shared in social networks’ groups of interns and was sent by e-mail to local representatives in all Portuguese public hospitals. The questionnaire was available online for three months. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary and no effort was made to carry out purposive sampling.

Interns who graduated in a foreign medical school, those who changed medical schools during their undergraduate training and interns who had a prior degree were excluded (therefore excluding from the analysis the graduate-entry medical school). Incomplete answers to the questionnaire were also excluded from the analysis.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the ethics committee of Coimbra Hospital and University Centre prior to participant recruitment.

*Questionnaire design*

A questionnaire was specifically designed to explore how well interns felt their undergraduate training had prepared them in key aspects of medical communication. Scale layout and questions’ format were drawn from valid and reliable questionnaires assessing preparedness for practice 10, 11. Items comprised the competencies set out in previous work in the published literature 3, 6, 12-16, including studies carried out in Portuguese medical schools 7, 17. The
questionnaire asked interns to express their agreement with the statement “After my undergraduate medical education, I feel prepared to…” for 34 items, divided into three domains: (i) core communication skills, (ii) breaking bad news and (iii) motivational interviewing. Participants answered using a six-point Likert scale ranging from “totally disagree” to “totally agree”. Demographic data including age, gender and medical school were collected. Further questions allowed participants to detail individual CS training opportunities and extracurricular activities. The questionnaire also comprised the Portuguese version of the “Self-confidence in Using Psychosocial Skills Questionnaire” with the purpose of testing for concurrent validity.

Qualitative analysis of items and instructions included content and facial validity. Thus, the questionnaire was scrutinized by undergraduate and postgraduate medical educators, as well as experts on communication in healthcare, including representatives from the Portuguese Society of Clinical Communication in Healthcare (SP3CS). A preliminary version was sent by e-mail, along with the name and contact information of the researchers, and a description of the objectives of the study. Each expert was asked to point out: (i) which items should be excluded, (ii) which items should be included that are not already addressed, and (iii) up to three essential items and three less essential. Afterwards, a pilot study was performed with 10 interns from different medical schools before disclosure. A similar process was carried out, asking respondents (i) whether the instructions were clear, (ii) if the items were comprehensible in light of their medical training, and (iii) if time spent answering was adequate.

Data collection and analysis

Medical schools’ curricula were reviewed, allowing characterization of curricular content, timing and number of curricular units covering CS teaching.
An Exploratory Factorial Analysis (EFA) with varimax rotation was performed with the 34 items of the original questionnaire. The number of components used to create dimensions was determined by examination of the eigenvalues above 1, the Cattell scree plot and the Parallel Analysis. Total and dimensional structures were tested for internal consistency using Cronbach’s alpha reliability analysis. Concurrent validity was tested using total scores of the Portuguese version of the “Self-confidence in Using Psychosocial Skills Questionnaire” as a criterion. Non-parametric analysis was used as the data were not normally distributed. Hypothesis testing was performed using Mann-Whitney U tests for independent samples. Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM Statistics SPSS 26.

Results

Sample

A total of 266 interns answered the questionnaire but only 162 completed all items. According to previously established eligibility criteria, 131 answers were eligible for analysis. Demographic data can be found in Table 1.

Questionnaire

Content validity was warranted by deriving the questions from established theoretical models and by cross-reference with similar work in the published literature, including previous studies in Portugal. Content analysis by a panel of experts ensured face validity since it was consensual that the questions were suitable for assessing interns’ perception of preparedness regarding CS. After this qualitative analysis, one item was added and five items were excluded as they were repeatedly identified as less essential by the panel. Piloting respondents agreed the instructions were clear, the questions were comprehensible and time
spent answering the questionnaire was adequate, thus not resulting in further changes in the questionnaire.

EFA was performed for the purposes of data reduction to create subscales for subsequent analysis. The Kaiser-Meyer-Oklin (KMO) value was 0.91, exceeding the recommended value of 0.6 and Barlett’s Test of Sphericity reached statistical significance (p<0.001), supporting the factorability of the correlation matrix. EFA revealed the presence of four components with eigenvalues exceeding 1. To help establishing the correct number of factors to extract from the factorial analysis the Cattell’s scree plot and a Parallel analysis were used. This resulted in the extraction of three factors, which explained 63.97% of the total variance. Factor structures were studied by principal components method with varimax rotation. Criterion consisted of retaining items that showed strong factor loadings (>0.60) and eliminating items that loaded >0.30 with all factors. An exception was granted to one item which was not excluded due to its specific content and expected relevance for our country’s (and, presumably, for other countries’) medical education background (Table 3). The three factor structure matched the three domains proposed by the authors based on items content. The factors were named Core communication skills (F1) with 10 items, Breaking bad news (F2) with 7 items and Motivational interviewing (F3) with 5 items. Cronbach’s alpha reliability analysis was preformed and all factors showed “very good” internal consistency with alphas ranging from 0.89 to 0.94. All the three factors significantly (p<0.01) and highly correlated with the instrument’s total score, with Spearman’s coefficients ranging from 0.82 to 0.91. Correlations between factors were moderate to high, ranging from 0.63 to 0.72 (p<0.05). According to Loewenthals’ criteria, the questionnaire and the three subscales all showed good concurrent validity, with high, positive and significant correlation coefficients with the Portuguese version of the “Self-confidence in Using Psychosocial Skills Questionnaire” 18.
Communication skills curricula

Almost all medical schools provided a single curricular unit dedicated to CS teaching in the first three years of undergraduate training. Only one medical school offered a longitudinal integration of CS, with continued training throughout all the six years of the curriculum. Programs’ content mainly focused on empathy, patient-centered care and basic interviewing skills. Almost 90% of participants were given practice-oriented training opportunities. Role play, watching and discussing didactic videos featuring CS and simulation with standardized patients were the most frequently reported training strategies. While supervised interviews with real patients was a common practice, most participants did not receive feedback on the quality of their CS (Table 2). About a third of the participants (33.4%) engaged on extracurricular activities concerning CS, mainly thematic workshops and seminars, among which breaking bad news was the most frequent topic, representing 37.5% of all extracurricular activities. Other topics included sign language, nonverbal communication, dealing with aggressive patients and dealing with patients with chronic pain.

Perceived preparedness

Table 4 presents the mean score of every item included in the final version of the questionnaire showing, in a scale of 1 to 6, preparedness rates as reported by participants. Most basic skills, as well as motivational interviewing skills were highly rated. Seven items scored below 4.0, including Identifying and responding to the patient’s emotions from the Core communication skills subscale, and five different items from the Breaking bad news subscale, mainly related to addressing the patient’s emotions and delivering the bad news (about a diagnosis, prognosis and death). The item Communicating with speech impaired patients was very low rated compared to all other items.
Overall, interns felt well-prepared on *Core communication skills* and least well-prepared for *Breaking bad news*. There were no significant differences between male and female participants and Pearson’s correlation coefficient analysis did not show an association between preparedness and participants’ age. However, subscale results varied significantly according to different teaching methods and training opportunities (Table 5). Interns who were offered a longitudinal integration of CS throughout undergraduate curriculum were more likely to feel prepared on *Core communication skills* and *Breaking bad news* than all the other colleagues. Similarly, practice-oriented training opportunities, particularly the use of standardized patients and real patient interviewing with feedback on CS, had a positive and significant effect on participants’ perceived preparedness on all three subscales, but differences were mostly felt in the *Core communication skills* and *Breaking bad news* dimensions. Simulation with standardized patients, accessible in two medical schools across country, was the only training strategy that positively influenced preparedness on all three subscales. Watching and discussing didactic videos, role playing and real patient interviewing without feedback on CS had no significant effect on subscale results. Furthermore, there were no differences between the group that engaged in CS-oriented extracurricular activities and the one that did not. However, participants who attended extracurricular activities specifically involving breaking bad news reported significantly higher levels of preparedness in the homonymous subscale.

**Discussion**

This study intended to explore potential associations between academic curricula and medical interns’ preparedness for practice. Overall, interns felt well-prepared by their undergraduate training in CS, with no differences between men and women. The topics they were more likely to feel prepared relate to core communication and interviewing skills. Conversely, perceived preparedness was low in items concerning dealing with emotion, breaking bad news, and most
of all communicating with speech impaired patients. We believe this is a reflection of curricular content and the different emphasis that has been placed on these topics. The fact that interns who attended extracurricular activities involving breaking bad news reported significantly higher levels of preparedness adds on to this. Accordingly, previous studies established that junior doctors were well prepared for basic skills, but highlighted the need to develop more challenging CS \(^{21, 26}\) and, in a national study with medical residents, 91% of participants required complementary training on delivering bad news \(^2\). On the other hand, motivational interviewing was a consistently high rated domain and perceived preparedness was barely influenced by different teaching methods or training strategies, as opposed to the other subscales. Considering that curriculum coverage for this topic is very limited in most medical schools, it is possible that interns are overestimating their skills, and their real knowledge about motivational interviewing and its complexity is more limited than they perceive.

Our results suggest the existence of frailties in the curricular development of CS for the different medical schools. There were differences in the reported levels of preparedness between interns who were offered a longitudinal integration of CS throughout the curriculum and all the others. In general, where those differences occur, interns from the first felt better prepared. Longitudinal undergraduate medical training also included early contact with patients, and it was the only program to include CS assessment through Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) \(^{17, 27}\). This data is in keeping with findings in the literature, as longitudinal integration of CS showed to be effective in improving confidence \(^{28, 29}\), performance and skill retention \(^{30}\). In line with previous findings, the lack of curriculum integration contributed to lower reported levels of preparedness \(^{31, 32}\).

Most interns were given practice-oriented training opportunities, which may have contributed to the overall high reported levels of preparedness, since CS programs with a substantial practical component have been associated with increased effectiveness \(^{33}\)
perceived preparedness. Simulation with standardized patients and real patient interviewing with feedback on CS were the most valuable strategies to positively influence preparedness. Previous work has shown that programs combining patient-simulation strategies and feedback are more effective than those using purely didactic strategies. Likewise, interacting with real patients has proved to reinforce students’ ability to communicate and to understand patient’s illness. Nevertheless, encouraging students’ active participation is as essential as subsequent quality constructive feedback, which should emphasize the discussion of specific features of communication rather than clinical content or pathology. We found no evidence for the advantage of role play, didactic videos and patient-simulation with mannequins.

This study supports the need to review timing, content and methods of CS teaching in medical schools. Our results indicate that communication curricula should ideally be structured longitudinally, founded on a collaborative and integrative program across the undergraduate curriculum, allowing CS development along with new and advanced clinical skills. There remains a need to improve preparedness amongst interns in topics such as communication with speech impaired patients, dealing with negative emotion and breaking bad news. Careful consideration should be given to ensure that these topics are adequately covered in undergraduate medical education. Training strategies should stand on a strong experimental and practical component with continuous supervision and learner centered feedback. Efforts should be made to adopt such strategies in a customized and interactive format, tailored to medical students’ different learning needs.

There are certain limitations in the study design which need to be addressed. The study is based on self-report measures rather than an objective assessment of interns’ performance. Although we may assume that higher reported preparedness is associated with educational outcomes’ achievement, the level of Miller’s pyramid this conforms with is undetermined. To further expand the findings, it would be interesting to know if reported preparedness
reflected interns’ actual behavior in clinical practice. Moreover, previous research with medical graduates evidenced high incidence of social desirability bias \(^{40}\), which may lead to an overestimation of perceived preparedness in CS. Also, interns’ attitudes towards teaching and learning CS, a factor known for influencing skill retention \(^{31}\), was not accounted for. Despite the limited number of participants, the study’s sample gathers interns from all Portuguese medical schools. Also, the proportion of male and female participants resembles the actual proportion of both genders in undergraduate medical training in Portugal. Therefore, it is expected that the sample is fairly representative of the total cohort. A considerable strength of the study was the validation of an original questionnaire. The preliminary psychometric analysis presented promising results, showing high reliability and very good construct and concurrent validity. In the future, it is our intention to perform a confirmatory factorial analysis with further item selection and improvement. It is our hope that this instrument will be useful in monitoring the quality of communication skills teaching in medical education.

Future research should further explore how different training strategies and teaching methods in CS programs can best match medical students’ learning needs. Our results highlight the need to integrate CS teaching in undergraduate medical education, therefore paving the way for improvement and humanization in healthcare.

**Conclusion**

Given the current variability in CS undergraduate curricula, it is important to ascertain an educational framework to foster the development of effective CS. The results of this study support the expansion of an educational model based on integrated CS training throughout undergraduate medical curriculum. In order to enhance CS learning, adequate teaching methodologies should be foreseen and hands-on experience with continuous supervision and feedback to learners should be favored. Our study provides a framework for institutions that
aim to implement or to further develop CS curricula. It is our hope that the Portuguese experience will influence educational research in the future.
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Tables

Table 1. Participants’ demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender (%)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical school (%)</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>NMS</th>
<th>UP</th>
<th>IBSAS</th>
<th>UBI</th>
<th>UMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UC: University of Coimbra; UL: University of Lisbon; NMS: NOVA Medical School; UP: University of Porto; IBSAS: Institute of Biomedical Sciences Abel Salazar; UBI: University of Beira Interior; UM: University of Minho.

Table 2. Communication skills training opportunites as reported by participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training strategy</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Role playing</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching didactic videos</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized patients</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-simulation with mannequins</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real patient interviewing without feedback on CS</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real patient interviewing with feedback on CS</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CS: communication skills.
Table 3. Questionnaire’s factorial structure (varimax rotation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Using appropriate nonverbal behaviour</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Exploring the patient’s psychological and social problems</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Closing the session</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Empathize</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Exploring the patient’s perspective</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Initiating the session</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Active listening without judgment</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Gathering information and negotiate priorities</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Identifying and responding to the patient’s emotions</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Addressing issues related to the patient’s sexuality</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Communicating with the patient’s family or caregivers</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Characterizing symptoms effectively</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Communicating with speech impaired patients</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Communicating with a sick child or adolescent</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Achieving shared understanding of patient’s clinical details</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Sharing information about an unpleasant diagnosis</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Sharing information about an unfavorable prognosis</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Uncovering what the patient already knows and what he wants to know</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Setting up and initiate the interview</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Communicating the death of a loved one</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Addressing the patient’s emotions with empathic responses</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Setting out a medical plan of action</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Achieving shared decision making</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Excluded ††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Rolling with resistance without judgement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Avoiding an argument the may amplify resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Support self-efficacy, valuing previous efforts to change behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Accepting patient’s perspective and empathize</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Developing discrepancies between the current behavior and the patient’s priorities</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Identifying the stage of change the patient is in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Motivating the patient to change behaviour (eg.: stop smoking)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excluded †</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Only items showing loadings >0.60 are shown. † factor loading <0.60; § included due to its specific content; ‡ loads >0.30 with all factors.
Table 4. Mean (standard deviation) scores of preparedness reported by participants (1= totally disagree; 6= totally agree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mean (STD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core communication skills</td>
<td>Empathize</td>
<td>4.95 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiating the session</td>
<td>4.95 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active listening without judgment</td>
<td>4.83 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closing the session</td>
<td>4.42 (1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Using appropriate nonverbal behaviour</td>
<td>4.36 (0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploring the patient’s perspective</td>
<td>4.32 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploring the patient’s psychological and social problems</td>
<td>4.15 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gathering information and negotiate priorities</td>
<td>4.02 (0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identifying and responding to the patient’s emotions</td>
<td>3.89 (1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communicating with speech impaired patients</td>
<td>2.22 (1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total subscale</td>
<td>4.21 (0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking bad news</td>
<td>Uncovering what the patient already knows and what he wants to know</td>
<td>4.13 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Setting up and initiate the interview</td>
<td>4.03 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Setting out a medical plan of action</td>
<td>3.94 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adressing the patient’s emotions with empathic responses</td>
<td>3.76 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharing information about an unpleasant diagnosis</td>
<td>3.72 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharing information about an unfavorable prognosis</td>
<td>3.61 (1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communicating the death of a loved one</td>
<td>3.00 (1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total subscale</td>
<td>3.74 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivational Interviewing</td>
<td>Accepting patient’s perspective and empathize</td>
<td>4.33 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support self-efficacy, valuing previous efforts to change behavior</td>
<td>4.22 (0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoiding an argument the may amplify resistance</td>
<td>4.16 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identifying the stage of change the patient is in</td>
<td>4.13 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rolling with resistance without judgement</td>
<td>4.03 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total subscale</td>
<td>4.17 (0.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Subscale rating differences between groups according to gender, teaching method, training strategies (only statistically significant differences are presented) and extracurricular activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>F1 (p value)</th>
<th>F2 (p value)</th>
<th>F3 (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal integration of CS</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No practical training opportunities</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized patients</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real patient interviewing with feedback on CS</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracurricular activities</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracurricular activities – BBN</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; CS: communication skills; BBN: breaking bad news.
Anexos

Normas da revista *Teaching and Learning in Medicine* .......................................................... 22

Questionário online .............................................................................................................. 30

Parecer da Comissão de Ética .............................................................................................. 35

Participações no âmbito deste trabalho ................................................................................. 36

II Congresso Nacional de Comunicação Clínica em Cuidados de Saúde ......................... 36

International Conference on Communication in Healthcare, 2019 ................................. 37

International Conference on Communication in Healthcare, 2020 ................................. 38

*Curriculum vitae* .................................................................................................................. 39
Teaching and Learning in Medicine

Instructions for authors

Contents

- About the Journal
- Open Access
- Peer Review and Ethics
- Preparing Your Paper
  - Style Guidelines
  - Formatting and Templates
  - References
  - Editing Services
  - Checklist
- Using Third-Party Material
- Submitting Your Paper
- Data Sharing Policy
- Publication Charges
- Copyright Options
- Complying with Funding Agencies
- My Authored Works
- Reprints

About the Journal

*Teaching and Learning in Medicine* is an international, peer-reviewed journal publishing high-quality, original research. Please see the journal's [Aims & Scope](#) for information about its focus and peer-review policy.

Please note that this journal only publishes manuscripts in English.

*Teaching and Learning in Medicine* accepts the following types of article:

- Groundwork
- Validation
- Investigations
- Educational Case Reports
- Observations

TLM’s final acceptance rate is approximately 9%. Manuscripts are first reviewed by the editors to determine prioritization for peer review. Approximately 30% of new submissions are sent out to review. To increase the likelihood that a manuscript will be prioritized for review, authors must compose manuscripts using the content and formatting guidelines provided in these instructions. Manuscripts not submitted in conformance with these guidelines will be returned without review.

Open Access

You have the option to publish open access in this journal via our Open Select publishing program. Publishing open access means that your article will be free to access online immediately on publication,
increasing the visibility, readership and impact of your research. Articles published Open Select with Taylor & Francis typically receive 32% more citations* and over 6 times as many downloads** compared to those that are not published Open Select.

Your research funder or your institution may require you to publish your article open access. Visit our Author Services website to find out more about open access policies and how you can comply with these.

You will be asked to pay an article publishing charge (APC) to make your article open access and this cost can often be covered by your institution or funder. Use our APC finder to view the APC for this journal.

Please visit our Author Services website or contact openaccess@tandf.co.uk if you would like more information about our Open Select Program.

*Citations received up to Jan 31st 2020 for articles published in 2015-2019 in journals listed in Web of Science®.

Peer Review and Ethics

Taylor & Francis is committed to peer-review integrity and upholding the highest standards of review. Once your paper has been assessed for suitability by the editor, it will then be double blind peer reviewed by independent, anonymous expert referees. Find out more about what to expect during peer review and read our guidance on publishing ethics.

Preparing Your Paper

Groundwork

- Should be written with the following elements in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list)
- Should contain a structured abstract of 500 words. Abstract should be free of references or abbreviations, using the following format presented below. The body of the manuscript need not conform to the structure of the abstract.
  - Phenomenon (Briefly state the phenomenon that was studied in depth)
  - Approach (Briefly describe the investigatory approach)
  - Findings (Briefly state the findings of the investigation)
  - Insights (Briefly present the new insights about the phenomenon gained by the present investigation, which improve theoretical understanding)
- Should contain between 3 and 5 keywords. Read making your article more discoverable, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.
- Medical education scholars are increasingly recognizing the centrality of context to all aspects of teaching and learning. Groundwork articles examine in-depth a particular phenomenon so as to advance description and understanding of practice systems and their evolution. These articles may feature ethnographic studies, inter-disciplinary literature reviews, work analyses, and incident investigations, among other approaches.
Validation

- Should be written with the following elements in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list)

- Should contain a structured abstract of 500 words. Abstract should be free of references or abbreviations, using the following format presented below. The body of the manuscript need not conform to the structure of the abstract.
  - Construct (Briefly state the construct assessed by the instrument(s) being validated)
  - Background (Briefly summarize previous attempts to assess the construct of interest and the new validity evidence needed)
  - Approach (Briefly present the validation approach employed in the present study)
  - Findings (Briefly state the findings of the investigation)
  - Conclusions (Briefly describe the new validity evidence provided by the present investigation)

- Should contain between 3 and 5 keywords. Read making your article more discoverable, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.

- Valid assessment of the constructs employed in educational research is critical to ensuring a sound evidence base for theory development and practical decision making. Validation articles present an argument for how and when an assessment’s scores accurately and adequately represents the construct of interest (see Cook, Brydges, Ginsburg, & Hatala, 2015 – “Practical guide to Kane’s framework”) or explore how contextual factors influence the meaning of assessment data. These articles may feature psychometric analyses, latent variable modeling, and multi-trait-multi-method studies as well as emerging approaches to validation and experimental or quasi-experimental studies. Importantly, Validation articles should seek a wide range of validity evidence, beyond reliability and internal structure.

Investigations

- Should be written with the following elements in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list)

- Should contain a structured abstract of 500 words. Abstract should be free of references or abbreviations, using the following format presented below. The body of the manuscript need not conform to the structure of the abstract.
  - Theory (Briefly summarize the theory tested in the present investigation – NOTE: a theory is a conceptual description or explanation of a phenomenon, and not a practical problem or gap, see Educational Case Reports)
  - Hypotheses (Briefly state the hypotheses that extend from the theory being tested)
  - Method (Briefly present the method used to test the hypotheses)
  - Results (Briefly state the findings of the investigation)
  - Conclusions (Briefly describe the new theoretical understanding enabled by the present investigation)

- Should contain between 3 and 5 keywords. Read making your article more discoverable, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.

- Studies that formally test theoretical explanations of learning provide an important foundation for the design of evidence-based curriculum and instruction. Investigations articles extend learning theory by revealing causal relationships and specifying how/when they hold. These articles may feature laboratory experiments, quasi-experiments, covariance modeling, and meta-analysis, among other approaches. Note that Investigations articles are not evaluations of curriculum innovations. Rather, they test the validity of theoretical propositions (hypotheses) by carefully designed study.
Educational Case Reports

- Should be written with the following elements in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list)
- Should contain a structured abstract of 500 words. Abstract should be free of references or abbreviations, using the following format presented below. The body of the manuscript need not conform to the structure of the abstract.
  o Problem (Briefly state the practical learning or performance gap addressed by the intervention and how the present intervention addresses this gap in a novel way)
  o Intervention (Briefly describe the intervention, specifying why it addresses the practical problem and improves upon previous approaches)
  o Context (Briefly summarize the context in which the intervention was implemented)
  o Impact (Briefly describe what happened to BOTH educational process AND outcomes when the intervention was implemented)
  o Lessons Learned (Briefly summarize the lessons learned that other educators can use when attempting to address a similar practical problem – note this is not a summary of impact, but a reflection on what was learned about implementing the intervention)
- Should contain between 3 and 5 keywords. Read making your article more discoverable, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.

Observations

- Should be written with the following elements in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list)
- Should contain a structured abstract of 500 words. Abstract should be free of references or abbreviations, using the following format presented below. The body of the manuscript need not conform to the structure of the abstract.
  o Issue (Briefly state the unaddressed issue to be discussed in the article)
  o Evidence (Briefly summarize the evidence that the issue exists, is important, and has not yet been adequately addressed)
  o Implications (Briefly present the implications of a novel analysis this evidence for further investigation or intervention)
- Should contain between 3 and 5 keywords. Read making your article more discoverable, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.
- Medical education is a broad-ranging field that benefits from the diverse perspectives brought by people drawn to teaching and learning for different reasons and from different backgrounds. Observations articles raise awareness of an issue not yet adequately addressed in medical education and identify a specific need for further investigation or intervention. These issues are national or international in scope, and argumentation is supported by multiple sources of evidence, such as a literature review and multi-institutional or national-level surveys.
Observations articles are conceptual explorations that should serve as an evidence-based proposal for future research or practice.

Word Limits

Abstracts are limited to 500 words. There is no word limit for submitted manuscripts. There is no limit on the number of tables and figures.

Style Guidelines

Please refer to these quick style guidelines when preparing your paper, rather than any published articles or a sample copy.

Please use American spelling style consistently throughout your manuscript.

Please use double quotation marks, except where “a quotation is ‘within’ a quotation”. Please note that long quotations should be indented without quotation marks.

The reporting of results from all statistical testing must conform to American Psychological Association (APA) formatting requirements. Asterisks should be used within the text for footnotes.

Formatting and Templates

Papers may be submitted in Word format. Figures should be saved separately from the text. To assist you in preparing your paper, we provide formatting template(s).

Word templates are available for this journal. Please save the template to your hard drive, ready for use.

If you are not able to use the template via the links (or if you have any other template queries) please contact us here.

All parts of the manuscript should be typewritten, double-spaced, with margins of at least one inch on all sides. All paragraphs should be indented. All pages should be numbered consecutively throughout the manuscript. The manuscript should be written in clear English. TLM readers benefit greatly from the insights gained by scholars worldwide, but language barriers can make readability difficult and prevent prioritization for review. Native English speaker review and critique of manuscripts written by non-native English speakers is strongly encouraged. Editorial services at Taylor and Francis also may be used to aid with English-language presentation (please see below). TLM employs a double-blind review process in that authors and reviewers will not know each other’s names. Please provide both a full and blinded version of your manuscript along with the rest of your submission. To blind your manuscript, please remove/redact author names, institutions, and addresses, institution names where research was conducted, and names in acknowledgements. If a statement regarding previous presentations is included, please remove conference name, location, and date.

References

Please use this reference guide when preparing your paper. – AMA 11th

Taylor & Francis Editing Services

To help you improve your manuscript and prepare it for submission, Taylor & Francis provides a range of editing services. Choose from options such as English Language Editing, which will ensure that your
article is free of spelling and grammar errors, Translation, and Artwork Preparation. For more information, including pricing, visit this website.

Checklist: What to Include

1. **Author details.** All authors of a manuscript should include their full name and affiliation on the cover page of the manuscript. Where available, please also include ORCiDs and social media handles (Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn). One author will need to be identified as the corresponding author, with their email address normally displayed in the article PDF (depending on the journal) and the online article. Authors’ affiliations are the affiliations where the research was conducted. If any of the named co-authors moves affiliation during the peer-review process, the new affiliation can be given as a footnote. Please note that no changes to affiliation can be made after your paper is accepted. Read more on authorship.

2. You can opt to include a **video abstract** with your article. Find out how these can help your work reach a wider audience, and what to think about when filming.

3. **Funding details.** Please supply all details required by your funding and grant-awarding bodies as follows:
   - For single agency grants:
     This work was supported by the [Funding Agency] under Grant [number xxxx].
   - For multiple agency grants:
     This work was supported by the [Funding Agency #1] under Grant [number xxxx]; [Funding Agency #2] under Grant [number xxxx]; and [Funding Agency #3] under Grant [number xxxx].

4. **Disclosure statement.** This is to acknowledge any financial interest or benefit that has arisen from the direct applications of your research. Further guidance on what is a conflict of interest and how to disclose it.

5. **Data availability statement.** If there is a data set associated with the paper, please provide information about where the data supporting the results or analyses presented in the paper can be found. Where applicable, this should include the hyperlink, DOI or other persistent identifier associated with the data set(s). Templates are also available to support authors.

6. **Data deposition.** If you choose to share or make the data underlying the study open, please deposit your data in a recognized data repository prior to or at the time of submission. You will be asked to provide the DOI, pre-reserved DOI, or other persistent identifier for the data set.

7. **Supplemental online material.** Supplemental material can be a video, dataset, fileset, sound file or anything which supports (and is pertinent to) your paper. We publish supplemental material online via Figshare. Find out more about supplemental material and how to submit it with your article.

8. **Figures.** Figures should be high quality (1200 dpi for line art, 600 dpi for grayscale and 300 dpi for color, at the correct size). Figures should be supplied in one of our preferred file formats: EPS, PDF, PS, JPEG, TIFF, or Microsoft Word (DOC or DOCX) files are acceptable for figures that have been drawn in Word. For information relating to other file types, please consult our Submission of electronic artwork document.

9. **Tables.** Tables should present new information rather than duplicating what is in the text. Readers should be able to interpret the table without reference to the text. Please supply editable files.

10. **Equations.** If you are submitting your manuscript as a Word document, please ensure that equations are editable. More information about mathematical symbols and equations.

11. **Units.** Please use SI units (non-italicized).

**Using Third-Party Material in your Paper**

You must obtain the necessary permission to reuse third-party material in your article. The use of short extracts of text and some other types of material is usually permitted, on a limited basis, for the purposes of criticism and review without securing formal permission. If you wish to include any material in your
paper for which you do not hold copyright, and which is not covered by this informal agreement, you will need to obtain written permission from the copyright owner prior to submission. More information on requesting permission to reproduce work(s) under copyright.

Submitting Your Paper

This journal uses ScholarOne Manuscripts to manage the peer-review process. If you haven't submitted a paper to this journal before, you will need to create an account in ScholarOne. Please read the guidelines above and then submit your paper in the relevant Author Center, where you will find user guides and a helpdesk.

Please note that Teaching and Learning in Medicine uses CrossRef™ to screen papers for unoriginal material. By submitting your paper to Teaching and Learning in Medicine you are agreeing to originality checks during the peer-review and production processes.

On acceptance, we recommend that you keep a copy of your Accepted Manuscript. Find out more about sharing your work.

Data Sharing Policy

This journal applies the Taylor & Francis Basic Data Sharing Policy. Authors are encouraged to share or make open the data supporting the results or analyses presented in their paper where this does not violate the protection of human subjects or other valid privacy or security concerns.

Authors are encouraged to deposit the dataset(s) in a recognized data repository that can mint a persistent digital identifier, preferably a digital object identifier (DOI) and recognizes a long-term preservation plan. If you are uncertain about where to deposit your data, please see this information regarding repositories.

Authors are further encouraged to cite any data sets referenced in the article and provide a Data Availability Statement.

At the point of submission, you will be asked if there is a data set associated with the paper. If you reply yes, you will be asked to provide the DOI, pre-registered DOI, hyperlink, or other persistent identifier associated with the data set(s). If you have selected to provide a pre-registered DOI, please be prepared to share the reviewer URL associated with your data deposit, upon request by reviewers.

Where one or multiple data sets are associated with a manuscript, these are not formally peer reviewed as a part of the journal submission process. It is the author’s responsibility to ensure the soundness of data. Any errors in the data rest solely with the producers of the data set(s).

Publication Charges

There are no submission fees, publication fees or page charges for this journal.

Color figures will be reproduced in color in your online article free of charge. If it is necessary for the figures to be reproduced in color in the print version, a charge will apply.

Charges for color figures in print are $400 per figure (£300; $500 Australian Dollars; €350). For more than 4 color figures, figures 5 and above will be charged at $75 per figure (£50; $100 Australian Dollars; €65). Depending on your location, these charges may be subject to local taxes.
Copyright Options

Copyright allows you to protect your original material, and stop others from using your work without your permission. Taylor & Francis offers a number of different license and reuse options, including Creative Commons licenses when publishing open access. Read more on publishing agreements.

Complying with Funding Agencies

We will deposit all National Institutes of Health or Wellcome Trust-funded papers into PubMedCentral on behalf of authors, meeting the requirements of their respective open access policies. If this applies to you, please tell our production team when you receive your article proofs, so we can do this for you. Check funders’ open access policy mandates here. Find out more about sharing your work.

My Authored Works

On publication, you will be able to view, download and check your article’s metrics (downloads, citations and Altmetric data) via My Authored Works on Taylor & Francis Online. This is where you can access every article you have published with us, as well as your free eprints link, so you can quickly and easily share your work with friends and colleagues.

We are committed to promoting and increasing the visibility of your article. Here are some tips and ideas on how you can work with us to promote your research.

Article Reprints

You will be sent a link to order article reprints via your account in our production system. For enquiries about reprints, please contact Taylor & Francis at reprints@taylorandfrancis.com. You can also order print copies of the journal issue in which your article appears.

Queries

Should you have any queries, please visit our Author Services website or contact us here.
Questionário online

Percepção de preparação em Comunicação Clínica

O presente questionário integra um projeto de investigação que tem por objetivo compreender de que forma os jovens médicos sentem que o seu curso de medicina os preparou para aplicar, na prática, as competências de comunicação clínica.

Destina-se a Médicos Internos de Formação Geral que tenham completado o seu curso de medicina numa Universidade portuguesa. As questões colocadas ao longo do questionário encontram-se enquadradas na principal literatura científica sobre o tema.

O seu preenchimento completo demora cerca de 12 minutos. As respostas são anónimas e os investigadores garantem a total confidencialidade dos participantes.

Pela equipa de Investigação,

Diana Moura

Consentimento Informado

Título do projeto: “Preparação para a prática clínica: percepção de competências de comunicação clínica em Internos de Formação Geral”

Se aceitar participar neste estudo, ser-lhe-á solicitado que complete dois questionários de autoresposta. O primeiro questionário pretende explorar a sua percepção de preparação para aplicar técnicas de comunicação clínica nos primeiros anos de prática médica. O segundo questionário trata-se de uma escala para aferir a empatia. Estima-se que o tempo de preenchimento total seja de aproximadamente 12 minutos.

A sua participação é voluntária e pode retirar o seu consentimento em qualquer altura, bastando informar o investigador assim que decidir retirar o mesmo.

As suas respostas mantêm-se confidenciais, de acordo com os regulamentos e leis aplicáveis. Os resultados serão tratados coletivamente e usados exclusivamente para fins de investigação.

Este estudo é da iniciativa do investigador e, por isso, se solicita a sua participação sem uma compensação financeira para a sua execução. Não haverá qualquer custo para o participante pela sua participação neste estudo.

Se tiver perguntas relativas aos seus direitos como participante deste estudo, deve contactar: dilanamoura@gmail.com

● Declaro que aceito participar neste estudo

  ○ Aceito
1. Dados iniciais

*Sexo
- Feminino
- Masculino
- Outro

*Idade

*Forma de ingresso no Curso de Medicina
- Concurso nacional de acesso (contingente geral)
- Concurso especial para titulares com grau de Licenciado
- Regimes especiais

*Faculdade onde estudou Medicina:

Por favor, selecione...

*O curso de Medicina da sua faculdade inclui Unidades Curriculares (UC) dedicadas à Comunicação Clínica?
- Sim, uma UC dedicava-se ao ensino de competências de comunicação
- Sim, mais do que uma UC se dedicava ao ensino de competências de comunicação
- Não, nenhum

*Na(s) Unidade(s) Curricular(es) dedicadas à Comunicação Clínica, teve oportunidade de:
- Selecione todas as opções que se apliquem

- Ver vídeos exemplificativos das técnicas de comunicação
- Prática simulada com manequins ou equivalente
- Praticar role play (simulação de entrevista clínica entre alunos)
- Realizar entrevista clínica com atores/doentes simulados
- Realizar entrevista clínica com doentes reais, com feedback sobre o desempenho na área da comunicação
- Realizar entrevista clínica com doentes reais, sem feedback sobre o desempenho na área da comunicação
- Nenhum dos anteriores
2. Percepção de preparação para a prática clínica

Expreme o seu grau de concordância com as seguintes afirmações:

Após terminar o curso de medicina senti-me preparado para...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Discordo totalmente</th>
<th>Discordo bastante</th>
<th>Discordo</th>
<th>Concorde</th>
<th>Concorde bastante</th>
<th>Concorde totalmente</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escutar e mostrar atenção, sem fazer julgados de valor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstram empatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretar e adequar a linguagem não-verbal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obter a perspetiva do doente em relação à sua doença</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identificar e responder a emoções do doente durante a entrevista clínica (p.ex: doente que chora, doente que demonstra raiva ou medo)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receber o doente e iniciar a entrevista clínica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listar todas as preocupações e negociar prioridades, de acordo com o tempo disponível</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caracterizar os sintomas de forma eficaz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identificar problemas de natureza psicológica e social</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partilhar informação com o doente acerca do seu diagnóstico/contexto clínico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envolver o doente no plano terapêutico, com base num modelo de decisão partilhada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encerrar a entrevista clínica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abordar temas relacionados com a sexualidade do doente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comunicar com uma criança ou adolescente doente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comunicar com doentes com necessidades especiais (p.ex.: surdos, mudos, língua estrangeira...)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comunicar com a família/cuidador de um doente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Comunicar más noticias

*Exprima o seu grau de concordância com as seguintes afirmações:

No que respeita às técnicas específicas de comunicação de más noticias, sinto-me preparado para...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Discordo totalmente</th>
<th>Discordo bastante</th>
<th>Discordo</th>
<th>Concorde</th>
<th>Concorde bastante</th>
<th>Concorde totalmente</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparar o contexto e iniciar o diálogo</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descobrir o que o doente já sabe e o que deseja saber</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partilhar informação acerca de um diagnóstico difícil (p.e. cancro, dor crônica...)</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partilhar informação acerca de um prognóstico desfavorável</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder adequadamente às emoções expressas</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estabelecer um plano de seguimento</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comunicar a morte de um doente à família</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Entrevista Motivacional e mudança comportamental

*Exprima o seu grau de concordância com as seguintes afirmações:

No que respeita à Entrevista Motivacional, sinto-me preparado para...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Discordo totalmente</th>
<th>Discordo bastante</th>
<th>Discordo</th>
<th>Concorde</th>
<th>Concorde bastante</th>
<th>Concorde totalmente</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivar o doente e promover um processo de mudança de um determinado comportamento (p.e. deixar de fumar, aderir ao tratamento, praticar exercício físico...)</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identificar o estadio de mudança em que se encontra o doente</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aceitar a postura do doente, procurando compreendê-lo sem fazer juízos de valor</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublinhar a discrepância entre o comportamento do doente e as suas prioridades, valores e objetivos</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recuar quando o doente exprime resistência à mudança, reconhecendo que mudar é difícil</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evitar a discussão argumentativa amplificadora de resistência</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promover a autoeficácia, valorizando tentativas prévias para mudar o comportamento</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Escala de avaliação de autoconfiança quanto às competências de comunicação clínica

*Qual o seu grau de confiança em:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 - Nada confiante</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7 - Totalmente confiante</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evitar interromper o doente?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evitar que o doente sinta que está a ser apressado?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conseguir passar da “agenda” do doente para a sua própria “agenda” no momento certo?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conseguir manter o curso da entrevista?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornecer uma estrutura adequada à consulta?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identificar a comunicação não-verbal do doente?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construir uma boa relação clínica com o doente?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidar assertivamente com emoções?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identificar sentimentos não expressos pelo doente? (ex: “Parece ter sido uma coisa triste para o Sr.”)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconhecer os seus próprios sentimentos em relação ao doente (Negativos ou positivos)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivar um doente para alterar estilos de vida?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dar más noticias de uma forma adequada?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conseguir comunicar com um doente impossibilitado de falar?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidar com um doente ansioso ou depressivo?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estabelecer uma relação adequada com a família?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estabelecer uma boa relação com crianças e/ou adolescentes?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Em estabelecer uma boa relação com idosos?</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Comunicação clínica nas escolas médicas portuguesas – avaliação da eficácia de dois programas

Diana Moura¹,², Nuno Madeira², Margarida Figueiredo-Braga³,⁴
¹Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto
²Instituto de Psicologia Médica, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Coimbra
³Departamento de Neurociências Clínicas e Saúde Mental, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto
⁴I3S - Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Universidade do Porto

Introdução: O ensino de competências de comunicação clínica é considerado um componente essencial da educação médica pré-graduada em diversos países. Nos últimos anos, em Portugal, tem sido atribuída uma importância crescente a este tema, que gradualmente tem integrado o programa curricular de várias escolas médicas. Diversas metodologias de ensino têm sido colocadas em prática, avaliadas pela análise do desempenho dos estudantes, através de métodos de avaliação que englobam a dimensão comportamental da interação estudante-doente, a partir dos conhecimentos teóricos.

Objetivo: O projeto pretende avaliar os conhecimentos e a capacidade de aplicação prática das estratégias aprendidas, em estudantes submetidos ao ensino de competências de comunicação clínica em duas escolas médicas portuguesas. Como objetivo secundário, os autores pretendem validar a ferramenta de avaliação “Objective Structured Video Exam – OSVE” (Humphris & Kaney, 2000) para aplicação numa população de estudantes de medicina no ensino pré-graduado.

Métodos: Os estudantes serão submetidos a uma avaliação estandardizada, consistindo numa versão adaptada do OSVE, que requer a visualização de uma entrevista clínica simulada pré-gravada em vídeo e posterior identificação de estratégias de comunicação utilizadas, discussão do seu impacto na interação observada e sugestão de alternativas.

Resultados/Hipótese: Programas curriculares com diferentes métodos de ensino e aplicados em diferentes fases do ensino médico pré-graduado podem influenciar o conhecimento e desempenho dos estudantes nos vários domínios da comunicação clínica. Os resultados deste projeto poderão facilitar o desenho de unidades curriculares de comunicação clínica capazes de influenciar a capacidade de comunicação dos futuros médicos e adequadas ao contexto das diferentes escolas médicas portuguesas.
One size does not fit all: teaching and assessment of clinical communication skills in Portuguese medical schools

Diana Moura¹,², Nuno Madeira², Margarida Figueiredo-Braga³,⁴

¹Faculty of Medicine, Porto University, Portugal
²Institute of Psychological Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Coimbra University, Portugal
³Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine, Porto University, Portugal
⁴I3S - Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, University of Porto, Portugal

Introduction – Institutional Context: The teaching of clinical communication skills (CCS) has been consistently recognized as an essential component of undergraduate medical education in many countries. CCS were once considered a minor subject in Portuguese medical training, but in recent years more attention has been drawn to this core clinical skill, which has been gradually included in undergraduate curricula. Different medical faculties are currently applying different teaching and evaluation methods for CCS learning with scarce common outcome-based research.

Introduction – Communication Skills Assessment Methods: Several methods have been used in CCS acquisition assessment and there is little agreement regarding ideal evaluation tools. Skills that require performance are difficult to objectively assess and current methods are designed to conform to the desired level of Miller’s pyramid. These methods aim to evaluate cognitive and behavioral dimensions of student-patient interaction, using performance evaluation as well as theoretical knowledge testing.

Objectives: The aim of our project is to evaluate medical student’s knowledge and understanding of CCS in two different Portuguese faculties applying two CCS teaching programs. We also aim to validate the Objective Structured Video Exam - OSVE (Humphris and Kaney, 2000) tool for the purpose of assessing undergraduate medical students learning CCS.

Methods (Participants, Instruments, Data collection): In this study, undergraduate medical students from two distinct faculties will be submitted to a standardized assessment of CCS. Eligible students include those who have just finished the CCS course, as well as students in their last year of undergraduate medical education.

An adapted version of the Objective Structured Video Exam - OSVE (Humphris and Kaney, 2000) will be used: after watching a video of a simulated doctor-patient interaction, students will be systematically required to recognize and list the communication strategies, discuss their impact on the observed interaction and they will be asked to suggest alternative skills that could be used in the scenario.

Hypothesis: We hypothesize that different CCS courses, with different teaching processes and within a different time range, may influence medical student’s understanding and performance of CCS, as well as the persistence of learned abilities. Our results will permit to design CCS courses eligible for use in different medical schools.
Teaching and learning communication skills in Portuguese medical schools: a critical overview

Diana Moura¹,², Manuel João Costa³, Margarida Figueiredo-Braga⁴,⁵

¹ Faculty of Medicine, Porto University, Portugal
² Institute of Psychological Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Coimbra University, Portugal
³ Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS) School of Medicine, University of Minho, Portugal
⁴ Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine, Porto University, Portugal
⁵ I3S - Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, University of Porto, Portugal

Background: The teaching of communication skills (CS) has been consistently recognized as an essential component of undergraduate medical education. In Portugal, this core clinical skill has been gradually included in undergraduate curricula during the last decades. Our aim is to provide an overview on the methods of teaching CS to Portuguese medical students, identifying the current academic curricula and teaching methods in order to inform new and better programs.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, first year residents from all Portuguese medical schools answered a survey on CS teaching strategies and curricular contents during their undergraduate training. The results are followed by a critical review of relevant studies on CS teaching methods.

Findings: Our study discusses the goals or content of the curricula, time spent on communication skills training and methods used, appraised by first year medical residents. Programs’ content mostly focused on empathy, interviewing skills and patient-centered care. The time spent in CS teaching and training was seldom reported. Only two faculties offered an integrated approach for CS learning. Most programs relied on lectures and group work, role-play with peers or standardized patients, objective assessment and feedback were less frequent. Although interviewing real patients was a common practice, most participants did not receive feedback on the quality of their CS.

Discussion: Currently, medical schools apply different teaching methods and there is scarce common outcome-based research. Studies show that oral presentations are unlikely to change behavior. Group work has been shown to enhance retention of knowledge and skills, however there was no clear evidence that this strategy is effective for CS. Best practices recommend to practice communication in constructive and supportive environments. Portuguese training programs should further emphasize active, practice-oriented strategies, combined with feedback. Our results will assist more consistent CS programs, eligible across all the medical schools in the country.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inglês</th>
<th>Compreensão oral</th>
<th>Leitura</th>
<th>Produção oral</th>
<th>Interação oral</th>
<th>Escriver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>