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Abstract: When asked to design the rehabilitation and expansion of a traditional rural construction of the north of Portugal, we began by analysing the word preserve, and the dictionary proposes: protection and maintenance. Paradoxically, when referred to the past, these synonyms may indicate opposite concepts: do we speak of protecting the past or maintaining the past? Reflecting on the rehabilitation, we established a synthesis between conserving – the traditional construction, scale and characteristics of the plot – and rehabilitating – providing all the modern and technical facilities required and introducing new materials in dialogue with the traditional stone walls such as concrete – to achieve an intervention respectful of the history, that fits the nature of the non-urban site, promoting and enhancing the authenticity of the region.
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Figure 1. Model and sketch drawing of the proposal. Author drawing. 2013. ©Correia/ Ragazzi Arquitectos archive.
To rehabilitate, how can one intervene and still preserve architecture

A property of very interesting characteristics and cultivated land with vineyards and a pine forest, consisting of terraces in granite masonry walls and a house, characteristic of the Minho region, in the north of Portugal. The clients intended to preserve the property, to conduct a rehabilitation process with the intent of creating a tourist accommodation including a cellar and wine tasting area, being them winegrowers.

Analyzing the legislation, it was possible to increase the intervention by 300m², however, we considered it would be a distortion of the landscape characteristics having opted for a partially buried intervention - where the wine tasting area is located, overlooking the vineyard and the river - and the introduction of a second volume, away from the pre-existent, of a similar scale and establishing the same relationship with the stone walls (fig.1).

Our intention with said approach was to be respectfull towards the existent, both landscape features and scale, as to “talk about tradition is to conduct a synthesis and a creation, of regression and modernity, of pre-existences and heritage that let us know where we come from and to where one foreases going.”

As with many other heritage constructions and vacant farms, not classified as heritage however integral parts of local heritage and history, the construction target of this intervention was in a very advanced state of deterioration, also needed to be adapted to current demands and to its programmatic change.

Endowed with a new purpose - tourist accommodation combined with wine tasting – the character of the building and the architecture are renewed without eliminating its initial compositional elements, safeguarding the important particularities in a historical sense, of identification in time and in a socio-cultural context.

The intervention also ensured the maintenance of all the trees and, above all, the vineyards, as they play a special role at the farm, both at landscape level and as a productive agricultural activity. Said production is highly qualified and controlled by the Institute of Vine and Wine. It also ensured the maintenance of the local and traditional scale, characteristics and size of the existing house, equally traditional, in order for the intervention to fit the nature of the non-urban site, promoting and enhancing the authenticity of the region (fig. 2).
The intervention

From our point of view, it was crucial to start with the improvement of the connection from the entrance to the property and access to the vineyard farm made at a more favourable level, before the public road became too steep, with the vineyard next to it and the set that frames and enhances the terraces. We also aimed at preserving and restoring all its original, local and traditional features.

Between both volumes, the rehabilitated and the new one, there is a green leisure area that corresponds to the green roof of the reception, wine cellar and wine tasting area. These spaces are volumetrically undetectable, announced only by the large opening in the stone wall, which allows the view over the vineyard and the existing water line (fig. 3).

The new volume repeats the existent, respecting scale and the traditional implantation (between two terraces), however refusing the idea of the stilistic mimicry, an idea “believed to continue tradition, without understanding the dangerous of said profoundly superficial and formalistic attitude.”

Hence, the rehabilitated volume remains with its granit walls and roof tiles, the new volume is encased with a contemporary materiality that enriches this dialogue with a prefabricated concrete clading. The interior finishes of both houses, in contrat with the harshness
of the exterior, are in wood (fig. 4). We understand that to "conceive is not to invent (...) the work of architecture - of art, in general - acquires historical meaning by the way of responding to the system or to the aesthetic systems characteristic of the time in which it appears."3

The architectonic intervention was rigorous, and the operation is clear: from the main access to the farm, through a public path, one accesses a courtyard for parking and entry into the extended and buried body, where, if the visitor intends to stay, will be directed to one of both houses via different internal paths (fig. 5).

The new volume has direct access at the reception level, entering one finds a living room, dining room and kitchen in open space. Over the dining area, a double height space ensures openness and brightness as well as a connection to the balcony of the first floor. At the first floor one finds a second access to this house, it corresponds to the green rooftop of the reception and wine tasting room volume, connecting, outside, both houses. On the upper floor, two suites allow for different views of the property (fig. 6).

The rehabilitated volume maintains its scale and characteristics, however, we looked for a more fluid and spacious space solution at the lower level, the social area of the house. Upstairs, also two
suites. This dwelling is also accessible via the buried reception floor by stairs.

The pre-existing house is made of stone masonry as originally, while the second house is lined with prefabricated concrete profiles existent in the farm and used commonly on the vineyards, with a contemporary interpretation of a traditional material (fig. 7). And at the same time meeting the client’s expectations of assuming a great contemporary materiality, by the use of concrete.

Conclusion

We believe that the option for an intervention that sought to rehabilitate the original features, which updated the construction according to contemporary requirements without, however, distorting its character, turning it into a tourist venture that maintains the relationship with the traditional scale, is a correct approach. The introduction of exposed concrete elements on the buried volume, emphasizes the sense of excavation while the prefabricated pieces, often used on the rest of the property to support the vineyard, have not only brought new utility to a current local system, treated with a contemporary and new language, as it gave coherence to the intervention that intended at using local labour and materials, without resorting to the artifice of the copy of the traditional but reinterpretating its values at modern light (fig. 8).

It is the strong texture that the concrete coating work endows in the new volume that resembles the strong texture of the traditional stone walls. “And although, seen for afar they seem slightly different, it is exactly said difference that hides their true sense of time.” And
so, stone, concrete and nature coexist in what today seems to be an intervention that has always been present, that is integrative and not intrusive, in an area that seeks to be renewed and revived (fig. 9).
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Figure 7. Sketch and detail drawing of the prefabricated concrete profiles. Author drawing. 2013. ©Correia/Ragazzi Arquitectos archive.
Notes

1 Juan Domingo Santos, La tradición innovada: escritos sobre regresión y modernidad, (Barcelona: Fundación Caja de Arquitectos, 2013), 59.
3 Hélio Piñón, El Proyecto como (re)construcción (Barcelona: Edicions UPC, 2005), 26.
4 Alvaro Siza, Imaginar a Evidência (Lisboa: Edições 70, 2012), 133.

Bibliographic references

- Santos, Juan Domingo. La tradición innovada: escritos sobre regresión y modernidad. Barcelona: Fundación Caja de Arquitectos, 2013.