Objective: to assess compassion fatigue levels among nurses and its variation according socio-demographic and professional characteristics. Method: quantitative, descriptive and cross-sectional study, with 87 nurses from an emergency and urgent care unit for adults from a university hospital. A socio-demographic and professional questionnaire, along with the Professional Quality of Life Scale 5 were used. Data analysis was performed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Results: compassion satisfaction presents the highest means, followed by burnout and secondary traumatic stress. Among the participants, 51% presented a high level of compassion satisfaction, 54% a high level of burnout, and 59% a high level of secondary traumatic stress. Older participants presented higher score of compassion satisfaction, and younger nurses, women, nurses having less job experience and nurses without leisure activities showed higher means of secondary traumatic stress. Conclusion: we found compassion fatigue, expressed in the large percentage of nurses with high levels of burnout and secondary traumatic stress. Fatigue is related to individual factors such as age, gender, job experience and leisure activities. Doing research and understanding this phenomenon allow the development of health promotion strategies at work.
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Introduction

Health, safety, and well-being of health professionals are the focus of worldwide attention, due to emotional demands of their task and the importance they have on the productivity, competitiveness and sustainability of organizations(1-3).

Compassion fatigue, which is considered one of the greatest threats to the mental health of health professionals(4-7), is defined as “the natural, consequent behaviors and emotions resulting from knowing about a traumatizing event experienced by a significant other – the stress resulting from helping or wanting to help a traumatized or suffering person”(8). Lately, the Professional Quality of Life model describes compassion fatigue as the combination of high burnout, secondary traumatic stress and low compassion satisfaction(9).

Different factors contribute to compassion fatigue, with emphasis on personality, education, job experience, personal quality of life and, at the organizational level, the specificity of the tasks and the changes of the health system(10). Due to the considerable demand and frequent contact with traumatic situations, nursing work in emergency and urgent care makes nurses susceptible to feel the pain of their patients, increasing compassion fatigue(11-13).

The expressions of compassion fatigue are varied and have not always been valorized. They develop over time and compromise not only the physical, psychological, cognitive and spiritual health of professionals, but also their personal, social and professional life, with a negative impact on their well-being and quality of life, as well as on the health institutions and on the quality of care provided(4-6,14-16). Considering that nurses have emotionally demanding tasks and work under stressful conditions(17-20), this study aimed to assess compassion fatigue levels among nurses and its variation according socio-demographic and professional characteristics.

Method

This is a quantitative, descriptive and cross-sectional study conducted from May to July 2017, with Portuguese nurses from an emergency and urgent care unit from a university hospital in the city of Porto, Portugal. The inclusion criterion was being a nurse with job experience more than 6 months. A convenience sample from a population of 93 nurses was selected, participating 87 nurses, which represents a 94% adhesion rate.

The data was collected through a self-reporting instrument containing socio-demographic questions (gender, age, marital status, children, academic qualification and leisure activities), job questions (years of job experience, work contract, working schedule, presence of dependents, monthly income, and a question asking if they considered their work stressful), and the Professional Quality of Life Scale (ProQOLS), translated and adapted for the Portuguese population(9-21). This instrument evaluates compassion fatigue and consists of 30 items organized on 3 subscales, each one composed of 10 items that evaluate three distinct phenomena: compassion satisfaction, burnout and secondary traumatic stress. Each item has a statement where the participant assigns a score on a Likert scale that ranges from 1 (Never) to 5 (Very often). Compassion fatigue results from high burnout and high secondary traumatic stress. This scale was chosen because it is currently one of the most used to evaluate compassion fatigue, being interesting for researchers since it includes the positive component – compassion satisfaction – and not only the negative components(9).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee for Health, by the Board of Directors of the university hospital and by the authors of the Portuguese version of the Professional Quality of Life Scale - ProQOLS. The study is part of the project “INT-SO – From work contexts to occupational health of nursing professionals, a comparative study between Portugal, Brazil and Spain”, of the NursID: Innovation & Development in Nursing: Center for Health Technology and Services Research (CINTESIS).

After an informal contact with the chief nurse of the emergency and urgent care unit where the research was conducted, the application of the instruments was scheduled. One of the researchers established direct contact with the potential participants and presented the study information document, the informed consent term and the instrument of data collection. The nurses who agreed to participate in the study returned the instruments to the same researcher in a closed envelope, in order to guarantee their anonymity.

The data were analyzed through descriptive and inferential statistics in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS version 24. The analysis was performed using absolute and relative frequencies, measures of central tendency such as mean, median, maximum, minimum and Standard Deviation, the Pearson’s correlation coefficient, the parametric Student’s t-test for independent samples, and the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. Statistical analysis set the significance level at p <0.05 (95% confidence level). Reliability of the subscales was evaluated using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient and normality was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

To calculate the cut-off points of the Professional Quality of Life Scale - ProQOLS, the guidelines of the
Regarding the socio-demographic and job characteristics, it was verified that among the 51% with a high level of compassion satisfaction, the majority are women (53%), aged 36 or over (59%), without a partner (56%), graduated (50%), with job experience less than 11 years (53%), working less than 9 years (54%) in the current unit, and considering their work as stressful (55%). Among the nurses, 54% presented high burnout. Of these, most are women (54%), under the age of 35 (61%), without a partner (58%), with a graduate/Masters/Doctorate degree, with 12 years or more of job experience (55%), working in the current unit of 10 years or more (64%) and most considering their work as stressful (55%).

Among the 59% with a high level of secondary traumatic stress, the majority are women (67%), under the age of 35 (74%), without a partner (64%), graduated (61%), with less than 11 years of job experience (68%), working in the current unit less than 9 years (69%) and most considering work as stressful (60%).

The comparative analysis according to socio-demographic and job characteristics revealed statistically significant differences related with age,
gender, job experience and leisure activities (Table 3).
Regarding the age, nurses aged 36 years or older presented higher means of compassion satisfaction and lower burnout. Younger nurses, women, and with 11 years or less of job experience showed higher score of secondary traumatic stress. The nurses who did not engage in leisure activities presented higher score of burnout and secondary traumatic stress.

Table 3 – Comparative analysis of the ProQOL5* according nurses’ age, gender and leisure activities, Porto, Portugal, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ProQOL5*</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>m (SD)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compass satisfaction</td>
<td>≤ 35 years</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46.7 (10.7)</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 36 years</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52.5 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>Leisure Activity -Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48.3 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leisure Activity -No</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>52.9 (10.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 35 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53.1 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 36 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47.5 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46.2 (10.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 11 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52.6 (10.6)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 12 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47.9 (9.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure Activity -Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48.3 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure Activity -No</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>52.9 (10.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ProQOL5 = Professional Quality of Life Scale; †value obtained through the Student’s t-test

No differences were found according marital status, children, academic qualification, job experience in the current unit, presence of dependents, family income and perceiving work as stressful.

Discussion

The mean score found through the raw scores of the subscales compassion satisfaction, burnout, and secondary traumatic stress are similar to those of other investigations[6,22]. The same was found for the score of compassion satisfaction, burnout, and secondary traumatic stress regarding cut-off points[6,9,21]. In general, the results of this study demonstrate, as did the aforementioned studies, the predisposition of nurses to develop high levels of compassion fatigue. Several studies have shown the emotional costs of caring for people in distress, emphasizing the association between compassion fatigue and job stress, especially when stress is chronic and becomes burnout[18,24-26], as well as when situations are emotionally draining and lead to primary post-traumatic stress[16,14-15,20,27]. In fact, the possibility of nurses being affected by their experiences, associated with the altruistic character and the empathic concern that characterizes the professional relationship established with the patients, represent risk factors for the development of compassion fatigue and, consequently, are a threat to the mental health and well-being of these professionals[6-7].

Regarding the variation of compassion fatigue according to socio-demographic and job characteristics, these data corroborate studies suggesting that women present higher score of secondary traumatic stress than men. This might be related to the empathic ability of women to connect with their patients and feel their fears and traumas[20]. However, the same does not occur with age, that in other studies did not reveal a significant difference[6,21-22], whereas in this study nurses aged 36 years and older presented higher score of compassion satisfaction, but lower score of secondary traumatic stress. Moreover, younger professionals presented lower score of compassion satisfaction and higher score of secondary traumatic stress, results similar to those of other researches[28]. Maybe this is due to a strong ability to adapt to situations, as well as the healthy worker effect, that is, nurses who are effectively suffering from psychological distress do not volunteer to participate in studies or may have abandoned their profession.

It was also verified that older nurses, especially women, present higher levels of compassion satisfaction, which corroborates the results of other studies[22] and suggests that women have a higher prevalence of compassion satisfaction and greater ability to take care of those who suffer. Nurses with job experience less than 11 years presented higher score of secondary traumatic stress, which is probably because they are less experienced and identify themselves with patients more easily. This leads to the belief that compassion fatigue decreases with years of job experience[6,29], and that it may be related to an adaptive ability that is not yet so noticeable in less experienced nurses. Nurses who do not have leisure activities are more exposed to burnout and secondary traumatic stress, confirming the idea that professionals who do not invest in their personal quality of life are at greater risk to develop compassion fatigue[16], because they focus their whole life on work, and when it does not meet expectations they are more vulnerable to burnout and psychological distress.

Despite of the limitations of the cross-sectional design and the convenience sample, which do not allow extrapolation of results to other contexts, this research can contribute to the study of compassion fatigue as
a phenomenon that has been increasingly considered as a threat to the nurses’ mental health\(^6\)–\(^7\). Thus, it is possible to alert nurses and hospital managers about the importance of monitoring the mental health of health professionals, and try to ensure that their emotional and psychological state is not much affected by the care they provide to patients, so that they can continue to provide an optimal level of care.

**Conclusion**

The study revealed the presence of medium and high levels of compassion satisfaction, burnout and secondary traumatic stress in the sample studied. In addition, the results show that compassion fatigue is related to personal factors such as age, gender, professional experience and leisure activities.

We believe that the research and knowledge of this phenomenon supports the development of health promotion strategies in the workplace, searching for a better quality of professional life and provision of quality care. Recently, different authors have emphasized the negative consequences of caring for others without taking care of oneself, pointing out the need to better articulate worker and its task in the promotion of their occupational health, as it has been advocated in nursing work. Moreover, prevention strategies for job stress among nurses can be focus on: education/training about stress and fatigue symptoms, regular monitoring (e.g. through brief questionnaires under the responsibility of occupational health services), peer-to-peer discussions and peer support (e.g. discussions of real cases with sharing of experiences, taking special care to avoid moments of personal vulnerability that may lead to professional accusations). These can be important contributions to prevent burnout and compassion fatigue, increasing professional satisfaction with patients’ care provided.

**References**

17. Guirardello EB. Impacto do ambiente de cuidados críticos no burnout , percepção da qualidade do cuidado
Corresponding author:
Elisabete Maria das Neves Borges
E-mail: elisabete@esenf.pt


Received: Jan 15th 2019
Accepted: Apr 10th 2019

Corresponding author:
Elisabete Maria das Neves Borges
E-mail: elisabete@esenf.pt

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6478-1008