It was shown recently that many genes are differentially expressed in the liver of males and females, thus strengthening the concept of liver gender dimorphism. This dimorphism exists in many pathological scenarios, from regeneration to fibrosis, which has led to the development of gender hepatology. Nevertheless, it is still unknown if gender dimorphism occurs in the structure of the normal liver. In recent years, it has been shown that, compared with male, the female rat liver bears less fibrotic tissue, more Kupffer cells (per volume unit) and has higher hepatocellularity, including binucleated hepatocytes (per volume unit). Our hypothesis is that the human liver also hides a gender dimorphic pattern. Baseline differences in fibrotic tissue would contribute to explain severe liver fibrosis in men. As to the disparity of Kupffer cells, this would clarify the stronger response to post-surgery infections in women, and it could be equated when appraising the higher susceptibility to alcohol. Regarding differences in hepatocytes, they not only justify existing differences in some liver parameters (e.g., transaminases and bilirubin), but they could also account for the higher regenerative potential of the female liver. The structural dimorphism in the human liver would sustain the concept of gender hepatology and, eventually, should be considered in the context of liver transplantation.

**Summary.** It was shown recently that many genes are differentially expressed in the liver of males and females, thus strengthening the concept of liver gender dimorphism. This dimorphism exists in many pathological scenarios, from regeneration to fibrosis, which has led to the development of gender hepatology. Nevertheless, it is still unknown if gender dimorphism occurs in the structure of the normal liver. In recent years, it has been shown that, compared with male, the female rat liver bears less fibrotic tissue, more Kupffer cells (per volume unit) and has higher hepatocellularity, including binucleated hepatocytes (per volume unit). Our hypothesis is that the human liver also hides a gender dimorphic pattern. Baseline differences in fibrotic tissue would contribute to explain severe liver fibrosis in men. As to the disparity of Kupffer cells, this would clarify the stronger response to post-surgery infections in women, and it could be equated when appraising the higher susceptibility to alcohol. Regarding differences in hepatocytes, they not only justify existing differences in some liver parameters (e.g., transaminases and bilirubin), but they could also account for the higher regenerative potential of the female liver. The structural dimorphism in the human liver would sustain the concept of gender hepatology and, eventually, should be considered in the context of liver transplantation.
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**Introduction**

Recently, micro-array analysis revealed that more than half of genes were differentially expressed in male and female mice and rats (Yang et al., 2006; Kwekel et al., 2010) and in humans more than 1,200 genes have a gender biased expression (Zhang et al., 2011). This biological inequality is related with the so-called gender dimorphism, in which females have been favoured with increased resistance to premature ageing, nutrient deprivation, vascular and heart diseases, brain disorders, as well as hepatic neoplasms (Li et al., 2012). In the last decades, it has become increasingly evident that the liver is responsive to steroid sex hormones (both estrogens and androgens) which can modulate many functional features (e.g., Porter et al., 1987; Yasuda et al., 1999; Sereemaspun et al., 2005, Schleicher et al., 2015). Apart from differences in cytochrome P450, diverse contents of glucose-6-phosphatase and of glutamine synthetase have been reported (Teutsch, 1984; Sirma et al., 1996), and it has been shown that estrogens influence the levels of steroid-binding globulin and other circulating substances, like angiotensinogen, ceruloplasmin and transport proteins (Francavilla et al., 1986).

Studying liver gender dimorphism exceeds mere academic interest. In a clinical scenario, most surgeons feel better when performing a major intervention in a female liver than in a male one (Yokoyama et al., 2007). Moreover, under various types of stress, like ischemia...
and reperfusion injury, the organ presents a gender dimorphic pattern of response (Yokoyama et al., 2005). The mechanism underlying this is still debated: differences in the levels of circulating steroid sex hormones (estrogens and androgens), and of their receptors in the liver have been postulated to account for gender dimorphism (Sereemaspun et al., 2005; Yokoyama et al., 2005, 2007), but the different pattern of growth hormone secretion (Udy et al., 1997) and the more efficient generation of 3, 3', 5'-triido-L-thyronine in the liver of female rats may also play a role (Da Costa et al., 2001). It is noteworthy that the most updated techniques have been applied for studying gender dimorphism (Li et al., 2012). International research groups devoted to this subject have been recently created (e.g., gender hepatology working group coordinated by E. Villa at University of Modena) and the subject is now starting to be reviewed (Guy and Peters, 2013; Durazzo et al., 2014).

By using stereological methods, hitherto unknown quantitative differences in the rat liver have been highlighted recently (Fig. 1) (Marcos, 2013). In this study, male and female rat liver has been evaluated throughout ageing (2, 6, 12 and 18 months, n=5 per group) and an emphasis has been given to a triumvirate involved in fibrosis and regenerative responses: hepatocytes (HEP), hepatic stellate cells (HSC) and Kupffer cells (KC). With the help of stereological tools (to estimate the total and relative number, as well as cell volume) it was concluded that, apart from differences in body and liver weight (Fig. 1, Table 1), males and females differed in collagen content (greater in males) and in HEP and KC (Marcos, 2013; Marcos and Correia-Gomes, 2015). Regarding the former, differences existed in numerical density, mean cell volume and percentage of binuclear HEP (BnHEP) - i.e., females had higher hepatocellularity, with smaller HEP, having more BnHEP. Similarly, females had higher numerical density of KC (Table 1) (Marcos and Correia-Gomes, 2014). Our hypothesis is that such gender dimorphism is also valid for the human liver.

It has been suggested that gender dimorphism is more pronounced in rodents (especially in rats, to a lesser extent in mice) than in humans (Mugford and Kedderis, 1998) and, obviously, rats cannot be viewed as “little humans” (and the high variability among humans may well be greater than gender differences). Nevertheless, dimorphic patterns of rats have been fairly mirrored in humans. For instance, differences in xenobiotic metabolism (Waxman and Holloway, 2009), liver fibrosis (Yasuda et al., 1999) or even in a basic parameter like liver weight have been described. Regarding the latter, Choukèr et al. (2004) evaluated 728 autopsies of men and women, aged 16 to 70 years, and concluded that the male liver is, on average, 16% heavier. This figure has been substantiated by recent studies using magnetic resonance imaging (Bian et al., 2015). Likewise, in rats (Wistar strain) of age-related groups, males have a 49% heavier liver, on average (Marcos, 2013).
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Differences in fibrous tissue

Except for autoimmune diseases, hepatic fibrosis is largely male dominant (Shimizu et al., 2007). Epidemiological studies have highlighted male gender as an independent predictor of fibrosis progression towards cirrhosis in hepatitis B and C-virus, as well as non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (Di Martino et al., 2004; Zarski et al., 2006; Villa et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). In the rat, the same trend appears: throughout the years, different experimental studies have confirmed a stronger fibrosis in males, either using toxic compounds, like CCl4 (Xu et al., 2002) or by inducing fibrosis by immune mediated mechanisms (Shimizu et al., 1999).

Nevertheless, gender differences in the healthy liver are much more obscure, in rats as in humans. Even if the collagen content of the liver is much lower than in any other organ, significant gender differences in rats have been found: 2.5 versus 1.9% in males and females, respectively (Marcos and Correia-Gomes, 2015). Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that such differences may also apply for humans, since studies using transient elastography (Fibroscan) in healthy patients have shown significant differences, pointing to greater extracellular matrix content in the male liver (Corpechot et al., 2006; Roulout et al., 2008; Colombo et al., 2011).

In this vein, it may be argued that before the onset of fibrosis (NASH or HCV related), men would already have more fibrous tissue and an increased risk of severe liver fibrosis (Marcos and Correia-Gomes, 2015).

Table 1. Structural parameters influenced by gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (unit)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight (g)</td>
<td>15.15±1.62</td>
<td>10.14±1.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_v)(collagen, liver) (%)</td>
<td>2.53±0.82</td>
<td>1.89±0.38</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_v)(KC, liver) (x10^3 KC per mm^3)</td>
<td>23.10±3.25</td>
<td>27.69±4.73</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_v)(HEP, liver) (x10^3 HEP per mm^3)</td>
<td>173.59±23.27</td>
<td>204.79±37.71</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrHEP (% to HEP)</td>
<td>24.10±4.29</td>
<td>32.95±5.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnHEP volume (μm^3)</td>
<td>5206±599</td>
<td>4710±515</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrHEP volume (μm^3)</td>
<td>7865±1507</td>
<td>6861±189</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation. (*) P-values result from Student’s T test, except for \(V_v\)(collagen, liver) in which Mann-Whitney was used. NS: non-significant; KC: Kupffer cells; HEP: Hepatocytes; MnHEP: Mononuclear hepatocytes; BrHEP: Binuclear hepatocytes.

Differences in Kupffer cells

The liver harbors the largest population of mononuclear phagocytes in the body, accounting for 80% to 90% of the resident macrophages. These cells remove particulate and soluble material from portal blood, being fundamental in acute liver injuries and immunological responses. By producing several cytokines, KC establish a cross-talk with HEP and HSC in hepatic regeneration and fibrogenesis (Tacke and Zimmermann, 2014).

It has long been known that proliferation of KC, as well as peaks of their phagocytic activity, are correlated with raised estrogen levels in the estrous cycle of rodents (Nicol and Veron-Roberts, 1965; Vickers and Lucier, 1996); for instance, ethinylestradiol (a major component of several combined oral contraceptive pills) induces a fivefold increase in KC proliferation in vitro (Vickers and Lucier, 1996). According to data of rats, estrogens also influence the normal liver, since the numerical density and number per gram of KC differ across genders, especially among younger animals (Marcos and Correia-Gomes, 2014). Despite being relatively unstudied in the liver, such dimorphism has been reported for other macrophages. Scotland et al. (2011) showed that female Wistar rats (as well as C57BL6 mice) have 50% more macrophages in their pleural and peritoneal cavities. Moreover, these authors demonstrated that female peritoneal macrophages have more toll-like receptors, being more efficient in phagocytosis (these cells were associated with an increased population of resident T lymphocytes that prevented the excessive production of macrophage derived cytokines) (Scotland et al., 2011).

The functional consequences of such dimorphism in the liver could be immense. It could help explain the findings of trauma-haemorrhage models and the protection in female rats (Choudry et al., 2005), and it could be another piece in the puzzle to account for the lower severity and incidence of sepsis and post-surgery infections in women described by some authors (Schröder et al., 1998; Offner et al., 1999; Cohen et al., 2013). Besides numerical differences, hormones are also relevant: estrogens, for instance, exert anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative actions, by inhibiting the production of pro-inflammatory tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα), interleukin-1β and -6 (Huang et al., 2008). Accordingly, the menopause is associated with spontaneous increases in the above mentioned cytokines in women (Pfeilschifter et al., 2002). Another functional consequence of KC dimorphism resides in alcohol susceptibility, which is greater in females (rats as well as humans) (Colantoni et al., 2000; Eagon, 2010). Using an enteric feeding model, it was shown that young female rats had an increased pathology score, more marked infiltration by neutrophils and higher endotoxin levels, which ultimately was responsible for a stronger...
activation of KC, when compared with males (Thurman, 1998; Colantoni et al., 2000). Moreover, female KC had an increased production of TNFα and reactive oxygen species (Colantoni et al., 2000; Eagon, 2010). A classical study has already demonstrated a significantly strong correlation between endotoxin sensitivity and the number of KC in various species, including rats (McCuskey et al., 1984). Several factors have been proposed for explaining the gender dimorphic response to alcohol, namely, hormonal dysfunction, mitochondrial injury and oxidative stress, altered enzyme activities (e.g. of CYP2E1) and differences in gut permeability (Eagon, 2010). From our point of view, quantitative differences in KC may also have a part in this complex and intriguing equation.

**Differences in hepatocytes**

To put it simply, we may say that for a plethora of harmful events that load the liver throughout life, the organ has only a handful of responses: 1) extracellular matrix deposition and fibrosis; 2) degeneration and intracellular accumulation; 3) necrosis and apoptosis; 4) inflammation; 5) regeneration. The liver is singular in this regard, as it is the only organ which, after being reduced to a third, is capable of an organized tissue growth to regain its original weight, with a fairly high precision (less than 10% variation) (Kam et al., 1987). After partial hepatectomy, quiescent HEP start to replicate, therefore restoring the functional liver tissue. A contribution for this is achieved by BnHEP, acting as an important cell reservoir that rapidly generates mononuclear HEP by amitotic cytokinesis (Gandillet et al., 2003).

Recently, it was shown that female rats have higher hepatocellularity with a larger proportion of BnHEP (Fig. 1) (Marcos, 2013). We hypothesize that the same occurs in women, resulting in a higher regenerative potential. It is known that endogenous estrogens increase after partial hepatectomy, eliciting a response by HEP (more than in KC): a rapid translocation of the estrogen receptor from the cytoplasm to the nuclei occurs and DNA synthesis is increased (Fisher et al., 1984; Vickers and Lucier, 1996). Experimental studies in rats have shown a higher regeneration in females (Tsukamoto and Kojo, 1990; Biondo-Simões et al., 2009; Kitagawa et al., 2009) and the scarce clinical data in humans points in the same direction (Imamura et al., 1999). After a hepatectomy of 50%, Shan et al. (2005) observed a significantly increased regeneration in women compared with men. In another study, the increase in the non-embolized liver lobe after portal vein embolization was 3.8% higher in women compared with men (Yokoyama et al., 2008). Notably, a short-term adjuvant therapy of estrogen has already been proposed for promoting liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy, in patients with poor liver function (Chiu et al., 2002).

Apart from differences in regeneration, the higher hepatocellularity of female rats corroborates the larger functional reserve for this gender. In fact, it is nowadays recommended to use different normal reference levels for aminotransferase activity in men and women (Ruhl and Everhart, 2002). Moreover, the remnant liver volume needed to avoid hepatic dysfunction and complications after right hepatectomy (in living donation) differs between genders (Facchito et al., 2013). Differences in hepatocellularity could help explain the disparity in hepatic transport of organic anions such as sulfobromophthalein, indocyanine green - these are transported to a greater extent in female liver, in rats as well as in humans (Torres, 1996; Morris et al., 2003). Variable clearance of sulfobromophthalein exists with intact animals and perfused livers, but ceases when plasma membrane vesicles are considered, which points to differences in membrane transport rates (Torres, 1996; Morris et al., 2003). Apart from greater membrane fluidity of female hepatocytes, the higher hepatocellularity in this gender may also justify such differences. Likewise, male rats and men have higher serum bilirubin levels (Muraca et al., 1983; Zucker et al., 2004). This has been postulated to be due to hormonal influences because orchietomized rats have levels similar to females, and in humans the gender disparity in bilirubin only occurs after the age of 10 years (Zucker et al., 2004).

Yet, the higher hepatocellularity in female rats (and hypothetically in women) raises concerns over the effects of ovariectomy (or menopause) in liver structure. This is still controversial in rats: studies comparing the numerical density of HEP in ovariectomized rats reached opposite conclusions (Trujillo et al., 2001; Dursun et al., 2010; Oral et al., 2012). In the line of the stereological data (Marcos, 2013), we would suggest a reduction of hepatocellularity post-ovariectomy (and post-menopause), with consequential remodelling, which would justify an increase in hepatic transaminases post-ovariectomy seen in rats (Oral et al., 2012). In women, such an increase is extremely hard to notice, because it is difficult to separate the influences of aging and menopause in the liver.

There are other “menopause-like” scenarios of major clinical relevance in the liver, like the gender-mismatch liver transplantation (i.e., when a woman’s liver is transplanted to a man). Twenty years ago, Marino et al. (1995) highlighted a poorer prognosis of this transplant, either comparing it with male to female transplant or with gender-matched liver transplantations. The reasons behind gender-mismatch have been extensively debated (Burra et al., 2013). Some ascribed it to confounding factors (Rustgi et al., 2002), whilst others suggested that it could be due to poorer quality of female organs (female donors tended to be older, shorter and died more frequently of stroke) (Lai et al., 2012). However, the most recent studies, with a large series of cadaveric donors (Croome et al., 2014), as well as studies with living donor organs (in which the argument of poorer quality of organs does not stand) stressed the importance of gender-mismatch (Yoshizumi et al., 2012).
influence of estrogens was further stressed by the fact that gender mismatch does not occur before the onset of puberty (Pillay et al., 1990). The reasons behind the gender mismatch are still unknown. Experimental studies have shown that female livers develop more lactic acidosis during warm ischemia, compared with males (Wittnich et al., 2004) and this was estrogen dependent. The insight that the female liver has higher hepatocellularity would also justify the reported increased lactate production (Wittnich et al., 2004).

**Consequences of our hypothesis**

Even if it is often assumed that no organ differences exist between male and female liver, recent research (including ours) suggests otherwise. We hypothesize that the structural gender differences observed in rat (Fig. 1, Table1) may also take place in humans.

It may be said that the roots of our hypothesis date back to the Greeks and to the Promethean myth. In this fascinating allegory, an eagle daily devoured the liver of Prometheus, which regenerated overnight. More than eighty years ago the first report on experimental induction of liver regeneration confirmed the forecasts of ancient mythographers (Higgins and Anderson, 1931). Our hypothesis globally suggests that an alternative version of the Promethean myth, namely, a female Prometheus would be more accurate. Before the continuous insult by the eagle, a female Prometheus’ liver would have less collagen (and lower amounts of fibrotic tissue would be deposited during the chronic injury). With an increased number of HEP (with more diploid particles) her liver would regenerate faster and finally, with more KC it would respond better to the recurring infection due to the eagle’s beak.

Returning to our times, the consequences of the gender dimorphism in liver structure and cell composition encompass liver fibrosis, alcoholic injury and post-hepatectomy regeneration, thus sustaining the concept of gender specific hepatology. Another consequence of our hypothesis resides in liver transplantation, since structural dimorphism may help explain gender-mismatch liver transplantation. It may be hypothesized that when deprived with of estrogenic milieu (inherent to transplantation in a male recipient), the highly hepatocellular female liver may start remodeling and the HEP apoptosis may trigger an increased production of pro-inflammatory interleukins (by the more numerous KC population). Eventually, this may sentence the female organ to a poorer outcome in the male recipient.

In conclusion, liver gender dimorphism extends from genes and enzymatic activities up to the morphological level, at least in the rat. The functional significance of differences in HEP, KC and in collagen disclosed herein are still poorly understood. However, these differences should be considered in the complex puzzle of gender dimorphism. In order to see the whole picture of gender hepatology, it would be relevant to discover whether the gender dimorphic pattern of rat liver also takes place in the human organ.
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