Long-term exercise training as a modulator of mammary cancer vascularization
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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer remains a leading cause of death by cancer worldwide. It is commonly accepted that angiogenesis and the expression of angiogenic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) is associated with the increased risk of metastasis and poor patient outcome.

Objective: This work aimed to evaluate the effects of long-term exercise training on the growth and vascularization of mammary tumors in a rat model.

Materials and methods: Fifty female Sprague-Dawley rats were divided into four groups: two N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU)-exposed groups (exercised and sedentary) and two control groups (exercised and sedentary). MNU was administered once, intraperitoneally at 7 weeks-old. Animals were then exercised on a treadmill for 35 weeks. Mammary tumors were evaluated using thermography, ultrasonography [Power Doppler (PDI), B Flow and contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS)], and immunohistochemistry (VEGF-A).

Results: Both, MNU sedentary and exercised groups showed 100\% of tumor incidence, but exercised animals showed less tumors with an increased latency period. Exercise training also enhanced VEGF-A immunoexpression and vascularization (microvessel density, MVD) ($p < 0.05$), and reduced histological aggressiveness. Ultrasound and
thermal imaging analysis confirmed the enhanced vascularization of tumors on exercised animals.

Conclusion: Long-term exercise training increased VEGF-A expression, leading to enhanced tumor vascularization and reduced tumor burden, multiplicity and histological aggressiveness.

1. Introduction

Despite recent advances in diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, breast cancer remains one of the leading causes of death by cancer worldwide [1,2]. Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing vessels and vascular endothelial cells, is essential for tumor growth, by supplying nutrients and oxygen [3,4]. Angiogenesis is regulated by a balance between proangiogenic and antiangiogenic factors, produced by both tumor and host cells, namely endothelial cells, pericytes and leokocytes [5]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is the most potent and widely distributed angiogenic factor [6]. The VEGF family is composed of several members – VEGF-A, B, C, D and E – of which VEGF-A is the most potent [7]. VEGF-A stimulates endothelial cell proliferation and migration, prevents the regression of newly formed vessels and increases microvascular permeability [5;6]. VEGF-A expression has been associated with cancer progression, increased risk of metastasis and poor outcome of lung, esophagus, colorectal and breast cancer [8,9]. Tumor vascularization can be non-invasively assessed by imaging tools such as ultrasonography and thermography [10]. Ultrasonography is very useful in women with dense breasts and in the characterization of breast lesions identified in mammographic examination [11], being frequently used as an adjuvant tool for clinical breast examination. Thermography measures the infrared radiation emitted from the body, revealing superficial temperature patterns which are directly related to local vascularization, and may therefore be used to study physiological and pathological vascular changes [12–14]. This technique was first introduced for breast cancer screening in 1956 and later recognized by the Food and Drug Administration as a tool for breast cancer risk assessment [15].

It is largely accepted that exercise training exerts a beneficial effect in some lymphomas and in colon, lung, endometrial, prostate and breast cancer [16–23]. Systematic reviews have concluded that the practice of physical activity in cancer patients improves important clinical (quality of life and fatigue) and physiological outcomes (muscle strength) [24]. Furthermore, several investigators have studied the effects of exercise training on the biopathology of mammary tumors themselves. However, these studies have focused their attention on the effects of shorter exercise training protocols using xenograft models [25–27]. Despite their usefulness and widespread application, xenograft models show important limitations, related to the lack of a functional immune system and of the complex tumor cell population which, in spontaneous tumors, evolves through a lengthy multi-step process of carcinogenesis. In particular, xenograft models are considered too artificial for studying tumor angiogenesis, and more realistic models are being called for [28]. This work intends to address these concerns, by choosing a mammary cancer model induced in immune-competent rats by N- methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU). We hypothesized that exercise training can modulate the microenvironment of mammary tumors, and thus it was studied the effects of long-term exercise training on
tumor growth and vascularization, employing thermography, ultrasonography and immunohistochemical techniques.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Fifty female Sprague-Dawley rats, with 4–5 weeks of age were obtained from Harlan Interfauna Inc. (Barcelona, Spain). Animals were housed at the facilities of the University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro in filter-capped polycarbonate cages with corncob for bedding under controlled conditions of temperature (23±2 °C), humidity (50±10%), air system filtration (10–20 ventilations/hour) and on a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. Tap water and a basic standard laboratory diet (4RF211, Mucedola, Italy) were supplied ad libitum during the study. Cages were cleaned and water was changed once per week. All procedures were done in accordance with European and National Legislation (European Directive 2010/63/EU and National Decree-law 113/2013). The Portuguese Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation approved all the experiments and procedures carried out on the animals (Direcção-Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária, Approval no. 008961).

2.2. Animal experiments

After one week of quarantine, animals were allowed to acclimate to laboratory conditions for two weeks. Then, they were randomly divided into four experimental groups: MNU sedentary (n = 15), MNU exercised (n = 15), control sedentary (n = 10) and control exercised (n = 10). The development of mammary tumors was induced in animals from both MNU sedentary and MNU exercised groups by a single intraperitoneal administration of the carcinogen agent MNU (Isopac, lot 100M1436 V, Sigma Chemical Co., Madrid, Spain) at a dose of 50 mg/kg, at seven weeks of age. MNU was used within one hour after its preparation. Animals from control groups received a single administration of the vehicle (saline solution 0.9%). After this, animals from exercised groups were acclimated to the treadmill running (Treadmill Control® LE 8710, Panlab, Harvard Apparatus, USA) for a five-day period at a speed of 20 m/min increasing progressively from 20 to 60 min/day. Then, the duration of the exercise was maintained as 60 min/day, 5 times/week during 35 weeks. The animals were daily observed to monitor their general health status. They were weekly palpated for the detection of mammary tumors development. The animals’ body weight was measured weekly using a top-loading scale (Mettler PM 4000, LabWrench, Midland, Canada). The day of the MNU administration was considered the first day of the study and the animals’ sacrifice 35 weeks later was considered the end of the study. At the end of the study, the accurate body weight was calculated by subtracting the tumors’ weight to the total body weight, and the mortality index (MI) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
MI(\%) = \frac{\text{number of animals that died during the study}}{\text{number of animals at the beginning of the study}} \times 100
\]
23 Mammary tumors evaluation

Twenty-four hours before the examination, the skin overlying the mammary tumors was shaved using a machine clipper (AESCULAP® GT420 Isis, Aesculap Inc, Center Valley, PA, USA). At the end of the experimental protocol, immediately before the animals’ sacrifice, the mammary tumors were evaluated by thermography and ultrasonography. For these examinations, all survived animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal administration of ketamine (75 mg/kg; Imalgene 1000, lot LBF133BB, Merial S.A.S., Lyon, France) and xylazine (10 mg/kg; Rompun 2%, lot KPO78 x 0, Bayer Healthcare S.A., Kiel, Germany).

24 Thermographic evaluation

The thermographic evaluation was performed using a far infrared camera from FLIR® model A325 (USA), with a sensitivity of 68 mK and a spatial resolution of 320x240 pixels. The images were recorded at one frame per second for future analyses but the integration time for the micro bolometer was approximately 16.6 ms. Animals were manually held and filmed at a constant distance (0.35 m). The animal emissivity was set to 0.98 and the tumor borders were marked to overlap with a visible image [29]. Representative frames were selected and analyzed using the ThermaCam Researcher Pro 2.10 (FLIR Systems, Inc., USA) software. In this analysis, the maximum, minimum and average temperatures of each region of interest were obtained. These measurements reflect the vascularization and the extension of necrotic areas of mammary tumors. Higher vascularized tumors are expected to exhibit less extensive necrotic areas and consequently to present higher maximum, minimum and mean temperature, and lower thermal amplitude. The opposite is expected to poor vascularized tumors.

25 Ultrasonographic evaluation

For ultrasonographic analysis it was used a real-time scanner (Logic P6®, General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) and a 10 MHz linear transducer with a standoff pad (Sonokit1, MIUS Ltd, Gloucestershire, England). The animals were placed in supine position and it was applied acoustic gel (Aquasonic®, Parker Laboratories Inc, Fairfield, New Jersey, USA), the ultrasonographic images using Power Doppler (PDI) and B Flow modes were obtained in sagittal planes using light pressure to avoid the distortion of tumors’ shape. The images were recorded and the color pixels density (CPD) in PDI and B Flow images was determined according to the formula previously published by Denis et al. [30], using Adobe Photoshop version 7.0.

26 Necropsy

Following ultrasonographic examination, all survived animals were humanely sacrificed by exsanguination by cardiac puncture as indicated by Federation for Laboratory Animal Science Associations [31]. All animals were scalped and the skin was carefully observed under a light for the detection of small mammary tumors. All tumors were removed and weighed. Mammary tumors’ volume was calculated based on tumors’ weight applying a
previously published formula [32]. All mammary tumors and organs were fixated in buffered formalin during 24 h.

27. Histology and immunohistochemistry

After fixation, mammary tumors were routinely processed for histological analysis; 2 µm-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and histologically classified by a pathologist according to the classification previously established by Russo and Russo [33]. Each mammary tumor was classified according to the histological pattern with higher proportion in each tumor section. The mean area of necrosis was quantified in each histological section. For this purpose, three different fields were randomly selected and analyzed using ImageJ software (US National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA).

The immunohistochemical detection of VEGF-A was performed using the standard NovoLink Polymer Detection System protocol (Leica Biosystems, Newcastle, UK). Sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with a primary antibody for VEGF-A (clone JH121, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) at a dilution of 1:100. The VEGF-A immunoexpression was semi-quantitatively and quantitatively assessed. For the semi-quantitative way, a minimum of 1000 neoplastic cells were evaluated in each mammary tumor and the VEGF-A immunoexpression was assessed according to five levels: grade 0 (no staining detected in tumor cells), grade 1 (1–24% of tumor area showed positive staining), grade 2 (25–49% of tumor stained), grade 3 (50–75% of tumor stained) and grade 4 >75% of tumor stained). The staining intensity was also evaluated as: level 0 (unstained), + (weak staining), ++ (moderate staining) and +++ (intense staining) [34]. For the quantitative way, a representative image from each tumor was taken with a 40x objective and the VEGF immunoexpression was quantified using an Image Manipulation Program 2.8 (GIMP 2.8, CNE, Free Software Foundation, Boston, USA) [35]. The micro- vessels were counted in the three most vascularized hot spots, in 200x magnification fields (corresponding to approximately 0.76 mm²) from which the mean was obtained in order to determine the microvessel density (MVD). Areas of fibrosis, necrosis and inflammation and vessels with muscular walls were not counted.

28. Statistical analysis

Continuous data were statistically analyzed with SPSS® version 17 (Chicago, IL, USA) using independent sample t-test and ANOVA with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Histological and immunohistochemical results were analyzed using χ² tests. Pearson correlation was used to assess the correlation between tumors’ volume, temperature (maximum, minimum, mean and thermal amplitude), CPD (for PDI and B Flow) and MVD. All data were expressed as mean±standard error (S.E.); p-values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
3. Results

3.1. General findings

One animal from MNU exercised group did not adapt to the exercise training and was excluded from the study. During the experiment nine animals died: four animals from the MNU sedentary group (MI = 27%), four animals from the MNU exercised group (MI = 29%) and one animal from the control sedentary group (MI = 10%). Data from these animals were not included in the study. The final accurate body weight was not statistically different among groups; however, it was slightly lower in MNU groups than in control ones ($p > 0.05$) (data not shown).

3.2. Mammary tumors

Animals from control groups did not develop any mammary tumor. All animals from both MNU groups developed mammary tumors (incidence of 100%). The first mammary tumor was palpated ten weeks after MNU administration in the MNU sedentary group, while the animals from MNU exercised group developed the first mammary tumor two weeks later, at 12th week after MNU administration. At the end of the experiment, the MNU sedentary group developed a total of 28 mammary tumors (2.55±1.44 tumors per animal), while the MNU exercised group developed 23 mammary tumors (2.30±1.42 tumors per animal); the difference did not reach the level of statistical significance ($p = 0.484$) (Table 1). Although the differences were not statistical significant, the tumors’ weight and volume were higher in MNU exercised animals compared with MNU sedentary ones ($p > 0.05$). Similarly, the mean area of necrosis was higher in tumors from exercised animals compared with tumors from sedentary ones ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1). All mammary tumors from both groups were histologically evaluated according to the predominant histological pattern (Table 1). Different histological patterns of non-neoplastic, benign and malignant mammary lesions were identified. Animals from the MNU exercised group developed a higher number of benign lesions ($p = 0.034$) and less malignant lesions ($p = 0.123$) compared with animals from the MNU sedentary group. In both groups, MNU sedentary and MNU exercised, the number of malignant lesions was higher than the number of benign ones, being the papillary noninvasive carcinoma the most frequent histological pattern. It was also important to note that animals from the MNU exercised group did not develop any invasive comedocarcinoma, which was the most aggressive lesion identified in this experimental protocol, while animals from MNU sedentary group developed two invasive comedocarcinomas.

3.3. Thermographic and ultrasonographic analysis

Eleven tumors from each MNU group were evaluated by thermography ($n = 11 + 11$) and seventeen were evaluated by ultrasonography ($n = 17 + 17$). The maximum temperature was very similar between groups ($p > 0.05$). Although the differences did not reach the level of statistical significance, the minimum and mean temperatures were slightly higher in MNU sedentary group compared with MNU exercised one; inversely, the thermal amplitude was higher in MNU exercised group ($p > 0.05$). Although the maximum temperature was very
similar between groups, the lower minimum temperature in MNU exercised group when compared with MNU sedentary one led to a lower mean temperature and higher thermal amplitude in exercised group. This lower minimum temperature in MNU exercised group was probably due to the higher volume of these mammary tumors and the consequent occurrence of more extensive necrotic areas that are characterized by low temperature. The minimum temperature was statistically different from the maximum temperature in both groups MNU sedentary and MNU exercised \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 2, Fig. 1), suggesting that the tumors from both experimental groups were not uniform and there were very distinct areas in each one. Some of these areas were highly vascularized with high temperature, and other ones were necrotic with low temperature.

In both groups the CPD detected by B Flow was higher than CPD detected by PDI, however the difference was only statistically significant in MNU exercised group \((p < 0.05)\). The CPD detected in MNU exercised group was higher than that detected in MNU sedentary group \((p > 0.05)\). Similarly, the MVD determined in MNU exercised animals was higher than that detected in MNU sedentary animals \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 2, Fig. 2). These data together suggest that B Flow mode is more sensitive than PDI in the detection of small blood vessels and that exercise training increased the mammary tumors vascularization (tumors from MNU exercised group were more vascularized that those from animals from MNU sedentary group).

3.4 Immunohistochemical analysis

VEGF-A showed a cytoplasmic and homogenous immunolabelling in neoplastic cells (Fig. 3), while endothelial cells exhibited variably intense cytoplasmic VEGF-A immunexpression. All mammary lesions from both groups exhibited immunolabelling for VEGF-A (no lesions were classified with score 0) (Table 3).

The majority of mammary lesions from MNU sedentary group were classified with score 3 (46.4\% of the lesions) \((p < 0.05 \text{ from scores 1 and 2})\). The score 4 was the most frequently identified in MNU exercised group (47.8\% of the lesions were classified with this score) \((p < 0.05 \text{ from score 1})\) (Table 3). In the same way, the VEGF-A immunexpression evaluated by GIMP revealed a higher immunexpression of this marker in tumors from MNU exercised groups compared with tumors from sedentary one \((p > 0.05)\) (Table 2).

The staining intensity in the lesions from MNU sedentary group was mainly classified as moderate (++) (71.4\% of the lesions). This score was statistically different from the remaining scores \((p < 0.05 \text{ from weak (+) and intense (+++))}\). Additionally, the number of mammary tumors classified with moderate (++) intensity in MNU sedentary group was higher when compared with those classified with this score in MNU exercised group \((p < 0.05)\). In the MNU exercised group, the staining intensity was mainly classified as weak (+) (this score was attributed to 47.8\% of the lesions) \((p > 0.05, \text{ not statistically different from the remaining scores of intensity})\) (Table 3).

3.5 Correlations between data

A significant negative correlation was observed between tumors’ volume and minimum temperature, and between thermal amplitude and minimum and mean temperature \((p <
A positive significant correlation was observed between tumors’ volume and thermal amplitude, and between maximum, mean, and minimum temperature \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 4). Correlation between MVD and tumor vascularization as detected with ultrasound and thermography images, was weak and statistically non-significant \((p > 0.05)\).

4. Discussion

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in women worldwide [1]. Angiogenesis is essential for breast cancer growth and invasion [3] but hypoxic tumors often show poor prognosis, developing an aggressive phenotype and resistance to systemic (chemotherapy) and regional (radiotherapy) therapy [24,36]. This resistance occurs due to the lack of oxygen, which is necessary to fixate DNA damage caused by chemotherapy or radiotherapy, and also due to the non-proliferative status of many neoplastic cells in hypoxic microenvironments [37]. Tumor vascularization thus appears as a double-edged sword that requires a deeper understanding before rational modulation approaches may be applied.

In the present study, mortality was associated with the development of mammary tumors in the MNU-exposed groups, and was lower than previously reported in a similar work [38]. MNU-exposed animals also showed a lower accurate body weight compared with control animals, revealing a loss of body condition, which had not been reported in a comparable study [39]. Exercise training increased the latency period and reduced the number of tumors, which may be due to the up-regulation of immunity induced by exercise training [40]. These effects are in line with previous findings from related models [39,41]. However, exercise training increased the tumors’ weight and volume, which may be due to the enhancement of blood perfusion, as previously reported [42]. A similar observation was made in prostate tumors in exercised mice [36]. Histologically, exercised animals developed more benign and less malignant lesions compared with sedentary animals. However, in both groups, the number of malignant lesions was higher than the number of benign ones. Papillary carcinoma was the most frequently identified histological pattern, in accordance with previous findings [38,43]. It worth to note that exercised animals did not develop any invasive comedocarcinoma, the most aggressive lesions diagnosed in sedentary animals, further suggesting that exercise training played a protective effect. Mammography and ultrasonography are well established techniques for breast cancer screening [44], especially when used in combination [44]. It is worth noting that B Flow consistently detected higher CPD than PDI and seems to be a more sensitive technique for assessing tumor vascularization, as previously observed [32]. Concerning thermography, the Society of Breast Imaging reports that no studies show clear benefits when using this technique alone or as an adjunct to mammography [45].

In fact, breast infrared thermography has rarely been used to monitor tumor growth in experimental animals [46,47]. The use of thermography for studying cancer is based on the detection of heat generated by the metabolic activity of the proliferating tumor cells and of heat generated by new blood vessels supporting the growth of tumor [44,48]. Thus, the process of neoangiogenesis associated with carcinogenesis induces an increase of the skin temperature above the developing tumor [49–51]. In this study, larger tumors were correlated with lower minimum temperature \((p < 0.05)\) and increased thermal amplitude \((p < 0.05)\), most likely because they had wider central necrotic areas. Previous studies
also reported a thermographic association between tumor necrosis and reduced surface skin temperature [52–54].

Elevated VEGF-A levels have been correlated with higher proliferation rate, infiltrative growth and poor prognosis in many solid tumors including those of the breast [55], lung [56], colon [57], liver [58], and bladder [59]. In the present study, higher VEGF-A expression was found among exercised animals, and this correlated with higher tumor vascularization, as assessed by MVD and CPD. This is in line with previous studies using lung [60] and breast cancer [24] xenografts, but in contrast with other reports [20,61–65]. Importantly, tumors from the exercised group showed higher VEGF-A expression and vascularization were also histologically less aggressive and longer latency periods. This agrees with findings from canine models [66] and supports the hypothesis that enhanced tumor vascularization may have a beneficial effect and help countering tumor progression.

Although, we hypothesized that long-term exercise training could inhibit mammary tumors’ growth and aggressiveness by the inhibition of tumors vascularization, surprisingly we verified that the exercise training promoted tumors vascularization (increased VEGF-A immunoexpression, MVD, and CPD detected by PDI and B Flow) and growth (tumors with higher volume) but reduced the number of mammary tumors and their aggressiveness, and increased latency period.

This study is the first to evaluate the effects of long-term exercise training on an immunocompetent model of mammary tumorigenesis, and comparisons with data from xenograft models should be made cautiously. The present results pave the way for further studies on modulation approaches for tumor vascularization using relevant immunocompetent models, particularly those addressing the relationship between exercise training, angiogenesis and tumor progression.
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Fig. 1. Visible image (left) and thermographic analysis (right) of a MNU-induced mammary tumor (temperature range 30–38 °C). Mammary tumor is delimited in both images by the black circle.

Fig. 2. Evaluation of mammary tumors from MNU sedentary (A and B) and MNU exercised (C and D) groups by Power Doppler (A and C) and B Flow (B and D).
Fig. 3. Immunoexpression of VEGF-A in mammary tumors from MNU sedentary (A) and MNU exercised animals (B). (A) 50.35% of immunopositive cells for VEGF-A (grade 3), moderate staining (++) . (B) 64.30% of immunopositive cells for VEGF-A (grade 3), intense staining (+++). Some endothelial cells were immunopositive for VEGF-A (arrowheads).
Table 1 - Histological classification, weight, volume and area of necrosis of mammary tumors identified in both MNU sedentary and exercised groups taking in account the predominant pattern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histological classification</th>
<th>MNU sedentary</th>
<th>MNU exercised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-neoplastic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermal cysts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal papilloma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary cystadenoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubular adenoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating adenoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroadenoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary noninvasive carcinoma</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribriform noninvasive carcinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary invasive carcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribriform invasive carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedo invasive carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 28

Tumors' weight (g): 5.15 ± 2.04 vs. 8.31 ± 2.82

Tumors' volume (cm³): 4.88 ± 1.93 vs. 7.87 ± 2.67

Area of necrosis (mm²): 0.34 ± 0.05 vs. 0.61 ± 0.17

\( p = 0.034 \) from MNU exercised group.

\( p = 0.123 \) from MNU exercised group.

\( p = 0.484 \) from MNU exercised group.
Table 2 - Thermographic, ultrasonographic and immunohistochemical evaluation of mammary tumors in both groups MNU sedentary and exercised (mean± S.E.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MNU sedentary</td>
<td>MNU exercised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>37.46 ± 0.21&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>34.12 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal amplitude</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>35.28 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD (%)</td>
<td>Power Doppler</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Flow</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-A immunoexpression by GIMP (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.91 ± 3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD (microvessel density)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.82 ± 1.09&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFD: color pixels density.
<sup>a</sup> Statistically different from minimum temperature (p < 0.05).
<sup>b</sup> Statistically different from B Flow (p < 0.05).
<sup>c</sup> Statistically different from MNU exercised group (p < 0.05).
Table 3 - Semi-quantitative evaluation of VEGF-A immunoexpression in neoplastic cells of MNU-induced mammary tumors from sedentary and exercised animals (only the data from malignant tumors were compared between groups).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score/Group</th>
<th>Immunopositive cells</th>
<th>MNJ sedentary (n = 28)</th>
<th>MNJ exercised (n = 23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic cells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1–24%</td>
<td>3 (10.7%)</td>
<td>2 (8.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25–49%</td>
<td>3 (10.7%)</td>
<td>4 (17.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50–75%</td>
<td>13 (46.4%)</td>
<td>6 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;75%</td>
<td>9 (32.1%)</td>
<td>11 (47.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity of immunostaining</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unstained</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>6 (24.4%)</td>
<td>11 (47.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>20 (71.4%)</td>
<td>5 (21.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>2 (7.1%)</td>
<td>7 (30.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically different from Score 3 (p < 0.05).
* Statistically different from Score 1 (p < 0.05).
* Statistically different from weak (+) and intense staining (++) (p < 0.05).
* Statistically different from moderate staining (++) in MNJ exercised group (p < 0.05).

Table 4 - Correlation between data from thermography, ultrasonography and immunohistochemistry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Tumors' volume</th>
<th>Maximum T°</th>
<th>Minimum T°</th>
<th>Mean T°</th>
<th>Thermal amplitude</th>
<th>CPD FI</th>
<th>CPD B Flow</th>
<th>MVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumors' volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.113 (p = 0.626)</td>
<td>-0.491 (p = 0.024)</td>
<td>-0.0197 (p = 0.381)</td>
<td>0.568 (p = 0.007)</td>
<td>0.098 (p = 0.599)</td>
<td>0.007 (p = 0.969)</td>
<td>-0.160 (p = 0.281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum T°</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.362 (p = 0.107)</td>
<td>0.870 (p = 0.000)</td>
<td>-0.028 (p = 0.904)</td>
<td>-0.250 (p = 0.275)</td>
<td>0.001 (p = 0.996)</td>
<td>-0.023 (p = 0.922)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum T°</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.731 (p = 0.000)</td>
<td>-0.942 (p = 0.000)</td>
<td>0.251 (p = 0.273)</td>
<td>0.137 (p = 0.553)</td>
<td>-0.184 (p = 0.425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean T°</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.472 (p = 0.031)</td>
<td>0.028 (p = 0.905)</td>
<td>0.108 (p = 0.641)</td>
<td>-0.195 (p = 0.398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal amplitude</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.270 (p = 0.237)</td>
<td>-0.369 (p = 0.099)</td>
<td>0.195 (p = 0.396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD FI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.012 (p = 0.945)</td>
<td>0.073 (p = 0.740)</td>
<td>0.359 (p = 0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD B Flow</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These correlations were considered statistically significant (p < 0.05).